STATE OF CALIFORNIA THE RESOURCES AGENCY CENTRAL VALLEY FLOOD PROTECTION BOARD

RESOLUTION NO. 2013-07

FINDINGS AND DECISION AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF FLOOD SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT PROJECT PERMIT APPLICATION NO. 18793-1

SUTTER BUTTE FLOOD CONTROL AGENCY FEATHER RIVER WEST LEVEE PROJECT PROJECT AREA C (REACHES 13 THROUGH 24) CONSTRUCTION PERMIT SUTTER COUNTY

WHEREAS, the Central Valley Flood Protection Board (Board), in support of the Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency (SBFCA), approved on October 26, 2012 a request to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) for 33 U.S.C. Section 408 (Section 408) approval to alter of 41 miles of federal flood control project levee, the Feather River West Levee Project (FRWLP), located on the west side (right bank) of the Feather River from Thermalito Afterbay in Butte County downstream to approximately 3.5 miles north of the Feather River's confluence with Sutter Bypass in Sutter County; and

WHEREAS, the SBFCA submitted an application and supporting documentation to the Board in March 2013 to construct Project Area C, the first phase of the FRWLP, including approximately 14.78 miles of levee improvements in Reaches 13 to 24 within Sutter County; and

WHEREAS, SBFCA released a Notice of Preparation initiating a 30-day public comment period on May 20, 2011 and extended the comment period to July 8, 2011; and

WHEREAS, SBFCA as lead agency under the California Environmental Quality Act, Public Resources Code sections 21000 *et seq*. ("CEQA") prepared a Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) (SCH No. 2011052062, December 2012), and Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR) (SCH No. 2011052062, April 2013), and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan (MMRP) for the FRWLP (incorporated herein by reference and available at Board or SBFCA offices); and

WHEREAS, the SBFCA Board approved the FRWLP (SBFCA Resolutions 2013-05 and 2013-06), the FEIR, and MMRP, and approved findings and a Statement of Overriding Considerations pursuant to the CEQA Guidelines (incorporated herein by reference), and filed a Notice of Determination with the State Clearinghouse on April 12, 2013; and

WHEREAS, the Boards of Levee District 1 (Sutter) and Levee District 9 (Sutter) endorsed the Project Area C application on April 16, 2013 without conditions; and

1

WHEREAS, the Department of Water Resources (DWR) Flood Maintenance Office conditionally endorsed the Project Area C application on May 16, 2013; and

WHEREAS, the USACE Washington DC headquarters Section 408 Record of Decision (ROD) and USACE Sacramento District Letter of Permission (LOP) are anticipated in late July 2013; and

WHEREAS, if the Section 408 request is approved by USACE, staff will review and incorporate any USACE conditions into the final permit; and

WHEREAS, Board staff completed a comprehensive technical review of SBFCA's Project Area C Permit Application No. 18793-1 including the following documents:

- Hydraulic analysis and geotechnical reports and data
- 100% Plans and Specifications
- 100% "Issued for Bid" Plans and Specifications:
- 100% Design Documentation Report
- 100% Technical Specifications
- 100% "Issued for Bid" Technical Specifications
- Addenda 1 and 2
- Draft and Final Environmental Impact Reports pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act
- · Project bid schedules; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with California Code of Regulations, Title 23 (CCR 23), § 11, the Board may grant variances to its standards for uses that are not consistent with the Board's standards. When approval of a permit requires variances, the applicant must clearly state in its application why compliance with the Board's standards is infeasible or not appropriate; and

WHEREAS, SBFCA has requested the Board to grant variances from CCR 23, pursuant to the requirements of CCR 23 § 11, and as summarized in Staff Report Section 8.5 and further detailed in Staff Report Attachments J, K, and L; and

WHEREAS, Board, SBFCA, DWR, and USACE staffs have developed a strategy to (1) update existing encroachment pipeline crossing permits to ensure that they conform to current CCR 23 regulations and USACE policies, and (2) issue encroachment permits to owners of currently unpermitted encroachments to ensure that all regulatory parties, levee maintainers, and owners will be able to accurately and efficiently track and inspect future operations and maintenance of these encroachments; and

WHEREAS, SBFCA has agreed to act on each owner's behalf to prepare all required encroachment permit application documents, obtain owner signatures, and support the Board staff's application review and permitting activities; and

WHEREAS, the SBFCA Area C construction project will:

- address major geotechnical concerns such as through- and under-seepage and related slope stability, and condition and impact of existing encroachments,
- reduce the risk of flooding for existing urban areas, agricultural commodities, infrastructure, and other properties,
- increase the level of flood protection to a targeted 200-year level for Yuba City and Live Oak
 consistent with the adopted CVFPP, and Senate Bill 5 (Statutes of 2008) to provide 200-year
 flood protection for urban and urbanizing areas,
- preserve riparian and other native habitats,
- bring encroachments surveyed by SBFCA into CCR 23 compliance while addressing 100
 percent of the encroachment issues categorized by the USACE in their 2010 periodic
 inspections as "Unacceptable likely to prevent performance in the next flood event."; and

WHEREAS, The Board has conducted a public hearing on Permit Application No. 18793-1 and has reviewed the Staff Report and Attachments, the documents and correspondence in its file, and the environmental documents prepared by the SBFCA.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT,

Findings of Fact.

- 1. The Board hereby adopts as findings the facts set forth in the Staff Report.
- The Board has reviewed all Attachments, Exhibits, Figures, and References listed in the Staff Report.

CEQA Findings.

- 3. The Board, as a responsible agency, has independently reviewed the analyses in the DEIR (SCH No. 2011052062, December 2012) and the FEIR (April 2013) for the FRWLP which includes the SBFCA Lead Agency findings, Statement of Overriding Considerations, MMRP, and has reached its own conclusions regarding them.
- 4. The Board, after consideration of the DEIR (SCH No. 2011052062, December 2012) and the FEIR (April 2013) on the FRWLP, and the SBFCA Lead Agency findings, adopts the project description, analysis and findings which are relevant to the project.
- Findings regarding Significant Impacts. Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines sections 15096(h) and 15091, the Board determines that the SBFCA findings, incorporated herein by reference, summarize the FEIR determinations regarding impacts of the FRWLP,

before and after mitigation. Having reviewed the FEIR and the SBFCA findings, the Board makes its findings as follows:

a. Findings Regarding Significant and Unavoidable Impacts.

The Board finds that the FRWLP may have the following significant, unavoidable impacts, as more fully described in the SBFCA findings. Mitigation has been adopted for each of these impacts although it does not reduce the impacts to less than significant. The impacts and mitigation measures are set forth in more detail in the SBFCA findings.

- A. Air quality The project could exceed applicable thresholds for construction emissions. SBFCA will provide an Advance Notification of Construction Schedule and a 24-Hour Hotline to Residents; implement a Fugitive Dust Control Plan and measures to reduce emissions. Fees will be paid to offset annual construction emissions to net zero.
- B. Noise The project could result in temporary construction-related noise up to 24 hours per day. To the extent feasible construction contractors shall control noise from construction activity such that noise does not exceed applicable noise standards.
- C. Vegetation and wetlands The project would result in loss of wetlands and vegetation. For direct effects on woody riparian trees that cannot be avoided, SBFCA will compensate for the loss of riparian habitat to ensure no net loss of habitat functions and values. Compensation ratios will be based on site specific information and determined through coordination with the appropriate State and federal agencies during the permitting process.
- D. Visual resources The project could result in impacts to visual resources. Viewers would experience construction in both rural and urban reaches during more than one construction season (typically April 15 to November 30, subject to conditions). In general, construction operations along the levee and at borrow sites, construction traffic, haul trucks, and staging areas would be visible in the foreground and middleground to residents, businesses, roadway users, and recreationists.
- E. Cultural resources The project could result in cumulative impacts to cultural resources. The project may result in the demolition of individual structures and residences that contribute to rural historic landscapes. Other projects that form the cumulative context may contribute to these effects through plan build-out, levee repair, or other actions requiring demolition of structures forming portions of rural historic landscapes also affected by the FRWLP. For these reasons the FRWLP may contribute to cumulatively significant and unavoidable effects on rural historic landscapes. SBFCA will develop and implement treatment for avoidance and preservation in place or relocation of individual California Register of Historic Resources that are eligible buildings (noncontributing or unaffected

buildings would remain in place). Where avoidance or relocation is not feasible standard treatment such as documentation through the Historic American Buildings Survey, Historic American Landscape Survey, Historic American Engineering Record, or district documentation will be completed. Interpretive displays, online resource, and historic contexts or walking tours may also be used, as appropriate.

Finding: The Board finds that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project which substantially lessen such impacts, as set forth more fully in the SBFCA findings, but that each of the above impacts remains significant after mitigation. Such mitigation measures are within the responsibility of another agency (SBFCA), and should be implemented as described. Specific economic, legal, social, technological or other considerations have rendered infeasible mitigation or alternatives that would have reduced these impacts to less than significant.

b. <u>Findings regarding Significant Impacts that can be Reduced to Less Than</u> <u>Significant.</u>

The significant impacts and the mitigation measures to reduce them to less than significant are described in the FEIR and SBFCA's Adopted Resolution 2013-06 dated April 10, 2013. This Resolution includes a Statement of Facts, Findings, Impacts and Mitigation Measures, Statement of Overriding Considerations, and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program. Based on its independent review of the FEIR and SBFCA Resolution 2013-06, the Board finds that for each of the significant impacts described, changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project which avoid or substantially lessen the significant environmental effects as identified in the FEIR. Moreover, such changes or alterations are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of another public agency (SBFCA) and such changes have been adopted by that agency. It is hereby determined that the impacts addressed by these mitigation measures will be mitigated to a less-than-significant level or avoided by incorporation of these mitigation measures into the project.

As a responsible agency, the Board has responsibility for mitigating or avoiding only the direct or indirect environmental effects of those parts of the Project which it decides to carry out, finance, or approve. The Board confirms that it has reviewed the MMRP, and confirmed that SBFCA has adopted and committed to implementation of the measures identified therein. The Board agrees with the analysis in the MMRP and confirms that there are no feasible mitigation measures within its powers that would substantially lessen or avoid any significant effect the project would have on the environment. None of the mitigation measures in the MMRP require implementation by the Board directly, although continued implementation of the MMRP shall be made a condition of issuance of the Permit. However, the measures in the MMRP may be modified without triggering the need for subsequent or supplemental analysis under CEQA Guidelines section 15162(c).

6. <u>Statement of Overriding Considerations.</u> Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines sections 15096(h) and 15093, the Board has balanced the economic, social, technological and other benefits of the Project described in Permit Application No. 18793-1 against its significant and unavoidable impacts listed in paragraph 5(a) above, and finds that the benefits of the Project outweigh these impacts and they may, therefore, be considered "acceptable".

The Board finds the project will enhance public safety in the Sutter Basin by addressing known levee and encroachment deficiencies on the west bank of the Feather River. The Feather River west levee suffers from risks of levee failure mechanisms including through- and under-seepage and related slope stability and geometry, erosion, and levee encroachments result in the immediate need for repairs to protect the people and property at risk within the project area. The health and safety benefits of the project, which would significantly reduce the risk of an uncontrolled flood that would result in a catastrophic loss of property and threat to residents of the area, outweigh the remaining unavoidable environmental impacts.

 <u>Custodian of Record.</u> The custodian of the CEQA record for the Board is its Executive Officer, Jay Punia, at the Board offices at 3310 El Camino Avenue, Room 151, Sacramento, California 95821.

Considerations pursuant to Water Code section 8610.5.

- 8. Evidence Admitted into the Record. The Board has considered all the evidence presented in this matter, including the original application for Permit No. 18793-1 and technical documentation provided by SBFCA on the FRWLP past and present Staff Reports and attachments, the Environmental Impact Report on the FRWLP (Draft and Final Versions), SBFCA Board Resolutions 2013-05 and 2013-06 including findings, Statement of Overriding Considerations, and the MMRP.
- 9. Best Available Science. In making its findings, the Board has used the best available science relating to the issues presented by all parties. On the important issue of hydraulic impacts and the computed water surface profiles, SBFCA used a HEC-RAS one-dimensional unsteady flow model that was also utilized by the USACE for the on-going Sutter Basin Feasibility Study. The model is considered by many experts as the best available scientific tool for the purpose of modeling river hydraulics for the Feather River. Geotechnical and overall standards for levee design including those of the USACE, DWR ULDC, and Board have been taken into consideration and the design is in compliance with these standards.
- 10. Effects on State Plan of Flood Control. This project has positive effects on the State Plan of Flood Control as it includes features that will provide 200-year protection to urban and urbanizing areas of the Sutter Basin. The Board finds that the 65 percent projects designs used to support the program-level Section 408 request, and none of the changes in project design made subsequent to 65 percent design up to and including the

100 percent issued for bid design and Addenda A and B result in adverse hydraulic impacts on the entire State Plan of Flood Control.

The Board further finds that the proposed Area C construction phase of the FRWLP, to be constructed as described in SBFCA's 100 percent "Issued For Bid Set", dated March 13, 2013, and in Addenda Nos. 1 and 2, will result in an overall betterment to the SRFCP and State Plan of Flood Control, and will be consistent with the adopted 2012 Central Valley Flood Protection Plan.

The Board further finds that the proposed project alterations can be constructed in a manner not injurious to the public interest, and that will not impair the usefulness of the SRFCP.

In California Statutes of 2007, Chapter 641 (SB276), the Legislature found and declared that "The projects authorized in Section 12670.14 of the Water Code will increase the ability of the existing flood control system in the Sacramento Valley to protect urbanized areas within Sutter County against very rare floods without altering the design flows and water surface elevations prescribed as part of the SRFCP or impairing the capacity of other segments of the SRFCP to contain these design flows and to maintain water surface elevations. Accordingly, the projects authorized in that section will not result in significant adverse hydraulic impacts to the lands protected by the SRFCP and neither the Board nor any other State agency shall require the authorized projects to include hydraulic mitigation for these protected lands."

11. Effects of Reasonably Projected Future Events. The project would have no net increases in operational greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions impacting climate change. Emissions associated with the project would occur over a finite period of time (2 year) as opposed to operational emissions, which would occur over the lifetime of a project. There are no other foreseeable projected future events that would impact this project.

Other Findings/Conclusions regarding Issuance of the Permit.

 This resolution shall constitute the written decision of the Board in the matter of Permit No. 18793-1.

Approval of Encroachment Permit No. 18793-1.

- 13. The Board adopts the CEQA findings and Resolution 2013-07, and
- The Board approves, pursuant to CCR 23, § 11(a) and (b) with regard to Variances to Board Standards, the requested construction variances summarized in Staff Report Section 8.5 and further detailed Staff Report Attachments J, K, and L, and
- 15. Based on the foregoing, the Board hereby conditionally approves issuance of Permit No. 18793-1 in substantially the form provided by the Board Staff at the May 24, 2013 meeting of the Board, subject to receipt, review and incorporation of conditions required

by the USACE in their Record of Decision and Letter of Permission anticipated to be received by late July 2013, and

- 16. The Board delegates authority to the Executive Officer to make non-substantive changes to the draft permit as needed to incorporate additional design changes submitted by SBFCA prior to receipt of the USACE ROD and LOP, and that if substantive changes to the draft permit are required, the Board staff will bring the permit back to the Board at a future meeting to seek approval for substantive changes, and
- 17. The Board directs the Executive Officer to take the necessary actions to prepare and execute Permit No. 18793-1 and all related documents and to prepare and file a Notice of Determination pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act for the Feather River West Levee, Project Area C construction project, and
- 18. The Board directs the Executive Officer to consider applications to amend existing or issue new encroachment permits to owners of pipeline crossings within Project Area C that will be reconstructed as part of the Area C project, and as detailed in Staff Report Section 8.5.5. Board staff will evaluate the proposal(s) for potential approval by direct Board action or by delegation to the Executive Officer as appropriate, and
- 19. The Board directs the Executive Officer that if, during construction, additional nonconforming encroachments or constructability issues are discovered by any party SBFCA will consider whether or not they can be brought into compliance during construction. Board staff will evaluate the proposal(s) for potential approval by direct Board action or by delegation to the Executive Officer as appropriate.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by vote of the Board on July 24, 2013, 2013

William H. Edgar President

Jane Dolan

Secretary

RESOLUTION NO. 2013-5

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SUTTER BUTTE FLOOD CONTROL AGENCY CERTIFYING THE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FOR THE FEATHER RIVER WEST LEVEE PROJECT

WHEREAS, Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency ("SBFCA") proposes the Feather River West Levee Project (the "Project") to reduce flood risk in the Sutter Basin;

WHEREAS, SBFCA is the lead agency for environmental review of the Project under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA");

WHEREAS, a Notice of Preparation for a Draft Environmental Impact Report ("Draft EIR") was prepared and released for public comment on May 20, 2011;

WHEREAS, the release of the Notice of Preparation initiated a 30-day public comment period that ended on June 19, 2011. SBFCA invited members of the public to provide additional comment through July 8, 2011;

WHEREAS, During the public review period, public scoping meetings were held on June 27 and June 28, 2011 at 3:30 pm and 6:30 pm on each day, to receive agency and public comments regarding the scope of the environmental analysis for the EIR. Notice of these meetings was given in accordance with Government Code Sections 65355 and 65453;

WHEREAS, a Draft EIR was prepared and circulated for public review and comment between December 28, 2012, and February 13, 2013;

WHEREAS, on January 15, 2013, and January 16, 2013, SBFCA held three public comment meetings during which it received and considered testimony from the public, concerning the Project and the Draft EIR. Notice of these meetings was given in accordance with Government Code Sections 65355 and 65453;

WHEREAS, SBFCA received written comments on the Draft EIR from individuals, organizations and public agencies;

WHEREAS, a Final Environmental Impact Report ("Final EIR") that incorporated the Draft EIR by reference and provided responses to public comments was prepared and distributed to the public on April 1, 2013; and

WHEREAS, SBFCA discussed the Final EIR during its meeting on April 10, 2013 and provided the opportunity for the public to give comments on the Final EIR during that meeting;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Board of Directors of the Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency resolves as follows:

1. The Final EIR is hereby certified as being completed in compliance with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act and its implementing regulations.

2. The Final EIR was presented to the Board on April 1, 2013 and the Board discussed the contents of the Final EIR during its meeting on April 10, 2013.

3. The Board has reviewed and considered the information contained in the Final EIR prior to taking any action to approve or disapprove the Project.

4. The Board hereby ratifies and adopts the conclusions of the Final EIR. The Final EIR represents the independent judgment and analysis of the Board.

5. The Board hereby directs staff to file a Notice of Determination pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act.

ADOPTED this 10th day of April, 2013.

James Gallagher, Chair

Findings of the Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency Related to the Approval of the Final Environmental Impact Report for the Feather River West Levee Project

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

SBFCA is proposing the Feather River West Levee Project (FRWLP, or Project) to reduce flood risk in the Sutter Basin, which includes portions of Sutter and Butte Counties in the Sacramento Valley of California. To protect human health and safety and prevent adverse effects on property and the regional economy, SBFCA was formed as a joint powers authority in 2007 through a joint exercise of powers agreement by the Counties of Sutter and Butte; the Cities of Yuba City, Gridley, Live Oak, and Biggs; and Levee Districts (LDs) 1 and 9. SBFCA was established to coordinate the planning and construction of flood protection facilities and to finance the local share of flood management projects. SBFCA's member agencies as well as the State of California are responsible for the operations and maintenance of the detention basins, pump stations, and levees that protect the area.

In partnership with the State of California (through the Department of Water Resources [DWR] and Central Valley Flood Protection Board [CVFPB]), SBFCA embarked on a comprehensive evaluation of the condition of the levees protecting the area in 2007, the results of which are also being used by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). The evaluation was necessary to identify the magnitude and severity of deficiencies and determine measures to address the deficiencies. The results of the comprehensive evaluation revealed that substantial construction is necessary to meet current flood protection standards.

In light of the flood risk to the area, SBFCA is leading the planning, design, and construction of the FRWLP, in partnership with DWR. This project is being conducted in coordination and parallel with a separate planning study led by USACE in partnership with SBFCA, and the CVFPB, to determine the Federal interest in a flood risk reduction project in the Sutter Basin. This project is termed the Sutter Basin Pilot Feasibility Study or Sutter Basin Feasibility Study.

The FRWLP is being advanced by SBFCA to expeditiously reduce flood risk before the feasibility study is completed and an anticipated recommendation is made to Congress for project authorization and eventual appropriation—typically a lengthy process that may take 10 or more years. SBFCA anticipates that (1) rehabilitation of remaining segments of the levee system (not of covered by FRWLP) would be implemented by USACE and (2) the non-Federal costs SBFCA incurs for the FRWLP will be credited against the remaining non-Federal share of the cost of the project approved under the feasibility study. To construct the FRWLP, SBFCA is requesting permission from USACE pursuant to Section 14 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (Title 33 of the United States Code [USC], Section 408, [33 USC 408])—hereinafter referred to as Section 408—for the alteration of a levee as part of the Sacramento River Flood Control Project, a Federal work. USACE's authority to grant permission for the FRWLP under Section 408 triggers the requirement for USACE to comply with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). The project is also subject to Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act and Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, whose authorities also lie under USACE. SBFCA, in conjunction with USACE, prepared a joint EIS/EIR to assess the environmental impacts of the Project. The EIS and EIR were split after public review of the Draft EIS/EIR.

The purpose of these Findings is to comply with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) related to a public entity's approval and certification of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR). Specifically, these Findings represent the SBFCA Board of Director's conclusions about the Project's significant impacts on the environment.

II. <u>ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW OF THE PROJECT</u>

Pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act, Public Resources Code §§21000 *et seq.* and the CEQA guidelines, Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 14, §§1500 *et seq.* (collectively, "CEQA") an EIR was prepared for the Project to analyze the environmental effects of the Project. The EIR was prepared in conjunction with the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers as lead for the EIS. The Draft EIR/EIS was circulated for public review and comment and accordance with CEQA and NEPA. The documents were then split into a separate Final EIR and Final EIS.

SBFCA conducted a thorough public information program during the environmental review process. The initial decision to prepare an EIR for the Project was made following completion of an Initial Study. A Notice of Preparation (NOP), including the initial study, was distributed to the California State Clearinghouse and other potentially interested parties May 20, 2011. The release of the NOP initiated a 30-day public comment period that ended on June 19, 2011, and was extended to July 8, 2011. During the public review period, a public scoping meeting was held in Yuba City and Gridley on June 27 and 28, 2011, to receive agency and public comments regarding the scope of the environmental analysis for the EIR. Comments on the NOP and Initial Study were received from state agencies, regional and local governmental agencies, regional authorities, and other non-governmental organizations. SBFCA considered the comments received in refining the scope of analysis for the EIR.

The Draft EIR was subsequently released in December 2012, and comments were accepted on the Draft EIR over a 45-day review period pursuant to CEQA Guidelines §15105. The review period closed on February 13, 2013. Interactions with the public have included public meetings on the scope of the EIR and public informational meetings on January 15 and January 16, 2013 in Gridley and Yuba City. Listed below are the various public meetings/hearings that have been held during this process. At these meetings/hearings, SBFCA provided information about the Project, the potential environmental impacts and the CEQA review process. At each meeting/hearing, members of the public had the opportunity to ask questions, convey their concerns or express support for the Project.

Public Meetings Held During the CEQA Process

Date	Event
June 27, 2012	Scoping Meetings (2), Yuba City
June 28, 2012	Scoping Meetings (2), Gridley
January 15, 2013	Public Information Meeting, Gridley
January 16, 2013	Public Information Meetings (2), Yuba City

III. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED ACTION

General Description

SBFCA's goal is to achieve a minimum of 200-year flood protection for the more urbanized areas with population centers and 100-year flood protection for the remaining more rural agricultural parts of the planning area. A 200-year flood is a flood that has a 0.5% chance of occurring in any given year, also referred to as a 0.5% annual exceedance probability (AEP). A 100-year flood has a 1% AEP. The target of 100-year protection for the more rural, agriculture parts of the planning area, specifically the southern portion of the basin downstream of Yuba City, is driven by the goal to maintain viability and sustainability of agriculture by avoiding FEMA restrictions that would hinder construction or upgrade of agricultural infrastructure (such as farm residences, barns, silos, dryers, seasonal worker housing) and supporting business.

The primary purpose of the FRWLP is to reduce flood risk for the entire planning area by addressing known levee deficiencies along the Feather River West Levee from Thermalito Afterbay downstream to approximately 4 miles upstream of the confluence with the Sutter Bypass. While the FRWLP would not by itself reduce all flood risks affecting the planning area, it would address the most immediate risk based on the following.

- The proximity of the Feather River to population centers and key infrastructure.
- The nature of Feather River West Levee being the longest and most contiguous portion of the planning area perimeter.
- The location of known levee deficiencies and the clarity and feasibility of available measures to address them.

The Project consists of a blend of flood management measures – slurry cutoff walls, slope flattening, stability berms, levee reconstruction, seepage berms, relief wells, depression/ditch infilling, limited encroachment removal, and canal seepage treatment – to address deficiencies in the Feather River West Levee. The measures have been optimized to avoid and minimize environmental effects.

Project Objectives

The following objectives provide additional detail in support of the project purpose above.

• Protect existing populations and minimize exposure to flooding for agricultural commodities, infrastructure use, and other property.

- Reduce flood risk from Feather River toward a target of 200-year protection for Yuba City and to the north of the planning area, in compliance with Senate Bill (SB) 5 mandates for 200-year protection for urbanized areas and in avoidance of FEMA restrictions that would compromise agricultural sustainability.
- Address known deficiencies and observed performance issues.
- Construct a project as soon as possible to reduce flood risk as quickly as possible.
- Construct a project that is economically, environmentally, politically, and socially acceptable.
- Facilitate compatibility with the CVFPP and Sutter Basin Feasibility Study such that proposed activities would be "no regrets" and not inconsistent with any future plans.
- Facilitate compatibility with recreation and restoration goals in the planning area and incorporate multiple benefits in addition to flood-risk reduction, such as fish and wildlife habitat and recreation. In regard to this last objective, SBFCA has identified several multi-benefit floodplain actions targeted at floodplain habitat restoration in combination with flood management. These actions are not part of the project analyzed in this EIS/EIR. SBFCA seeks to partner with other public agencies and environmental organizations to implement these actions.

IV. DESCRIPTION OF THE RECORD

For purposes of CEQA and these Findings, the record before the SBFCA Board of Directors includes, without limitation, the following:

- **A.** All applications for approvals related to the Project;
- **B.** The Draft EIR for the Feather River West Levee Project and all appendices to the Draft EIR;
- C. The Final EIR for the Feather River West Levee Project and all appendices to the Final EIR;
- **D.** All staff reports and presentation materials related to the Project;
- **E.** All studies conducted for the Project and contained in, or referenced by, staff reports, the Draft EIR, or the Final EIR;
- **F.** All documentary and oral evidence received and reviewed at public hearings and workshops related to the Project, the Draft EIR, and the Final EIR;
- **G.** For documentary and informational purposes, all locally-adopted land use plans and ordinances, including, without limitation, general plans, specific plans and ordinances, together with environmental review documents, Findings, mitigation monitoring programs and all other documentation relevant to planned growth in the area.

V. <u>GENERAL FINDINGS</u>

A. <u>Certification of the Final EIR</u>

In accordance with CEQA, in adopting these Findings, the SBFCA Board of Directors certifies that the Final EIR has been completed in compliance with CEQA and that it was presented to the Board of Directors, which reviewed and considered the information in the Final EIR prior to approving the Project. By these Findings, the Board of Directors ratifies and adopts the Findings and conclusions of the Final EIR as set forth in these Findings. The Final EIR and these Findings represent the independent judgment and analysis of the Board of Directors.

The Final EIR concludes that certain Project impacts are potentially significant but can be mitigated to a less than significant level with the implementation of recommended mitigation measures, while certain impacts will remain significant even after feasible mitigation measures are implemented. General Findings are set forth in this Section V. Findings regarding potentially significant impacts that can be mitigated to a less than significant level are set forth in Section VI. Further Findings regarding impacts that will remain significant after mitigation are set forth in Section VII (Statement of Overriding Considerations).

B. <u>Changes to the Draft EIR</u>

In the course of responding to comments received during the public review and comment period on the Draft EIR, certain portions of the Draft EIR have been modified and some new information has been added. The Draft EIR has been the subject of review and comment by the public and responsible agencies prior to the adoption of these Findings. No information has revealed the existence of: (1) a significant new environmental impact that would result from the Project or an adopted mitigation measure; (2) a substantial increase in the severity of an environmental impact; (3) a feasible project alternative or mitigation measure not adopted that is considerably different from others analyzed in the Draft EIR that would clearly lessen the significant environmental impacts of the Project; or (4) information that indicates that the public was deprived of a meaningful opportunity to review and comment on the Draft EIR. SBFCA finds that the changes and modifications made to the Draft EIR after the Draft EIR was circulated for public review and comment do not collectively or individually constitute significant new information within the meaning of Public Resources Code §21092.1 and CEQA Guidelines §15088.5.

C. <u>Evidentiary Basis for Findings</u>

These Findings are based upon substantial evidence in the entire record before the SBFCA Board of Directors. The references to the Draft EIR and Final EIR set forth in the Findings are for ease of reference and are not intended to provide an exhaustive list of the evidence relied upon for these Findings.

D. <u>Findings Regarding Mitigation Measures</u>

- 1. Mitigation Measures Adopted. Except as otherwise noted, the mitigation measures herein referenced are those identified in the Final EIR and adopted by the Board of Directors set forth in the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan (MMRP).
- 2. Impact After Implementation of Mitigation Measures. Except as otherwise stated in these Findings, in accordance with CEQA Guidelines §15092, the Board of Directors finds that environmental effects of the Project will not be significant or will be mitigated to a less than significant level by the adopted mitigation measures. SBFCA has substantially lessened or eliminated all significant environmental effects where feasible. The Board of Directors has determined that any remaining significant effects on the environment that are found to be unavoidable under CEOA Guidelines §15091, and are acceptable due to overriding considerations as described in CEQA Guidelines §15093. These overriding considerations consist of specific environmental, economic, legal, social, technological, and other benefits of the Project, which justify approval of the Project and outweigh the unavoidable adverse environmental effects of the Project, as more fully stated in Section X (Statement of Overriding Considerations). Except as otherwise stated in these Findings, the Board of Directors finds that the mitigation measures incorporated into and imposed upon the Project will not have new significant environmental impacts that were not analyzed in the Draft EIR.

E. Location and Custodian of Records

Pursuant to Public Resource Code §15091, SBFCA is the custodian of the documents and other material that constitute the record of proceedings upon which the decision is based, and such documents and other materials are located at SBFCA's offices, 1227 Bridge Street, Suite C, Yuba City CA 95991. A copy of the Final EIR is also available for review at the SBFCA website (www.sutterbutteflood.org), and at the following local libraries: Butte County Library – Main Branch, Oroville; City of Biggs Branch Library; Gridley Branch Library; Sutter County Library – Main Branch, Yuba City.

VI. <u>FINDINGS REGARDING POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS</u> WHICH CAN BE MITIGATED BELOW A LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE

WITH MITIGATION MEASURES

The following Findings are made with respect to potentially significant environmental effects analyzed in the Final EIR. The Draft EIR identified the following potential impacts on the environment that are deemed to be potentially significant, but will have less than significant impacts with the implementation of appropriate mitigation measures.

Public Resources Code § 21081 states that no public agency shall approve or carry out a project for which an EIR has been completed which identifies one or more significant effects, unless the public agency makes one or more of the following findings:

1. Changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the Project which mitigate or avoid the significant effects on the environment.

2. Those changes or alterations are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of another public agency and have been, or can and should be, adopted by that other agency.

3. Specific economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations make infeasible the mitigation measure or alternatives identified in the EIR, and overriding economic, legal, social, technological, or other benefits of the Project outweigh the significant effects on the environment.

The Board of Directors hereby finds, pursuant to the Public Resources Code §21081 and CEQA Guidelines §§15091-15093, that with regard to each of the following potentially significant impacts identified in the Final EIR, that changes or alterations have been required in or incorporated into the proposed project that avoid or lessen the potentially significant impacts identified in the Draft EIR to levels below the thresholds of significance identified in the Draft EIR. These mitigation measures are set forth in the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan proposed for adoption by SBFCA. Specific findings of SBFCA for each category of such impacts are set forth in detail below.

A. Flood Control and Geomorphic Conditions

- 1. FC-6 Implementation of levee degrades and reconstructions would involve disturbance to the entire levee. Drainage infrastructure maintained by local landowners or agencies and local surface runoff patterns could be impacted, causing or exacerbating localized flooding.
 - (a) <u>Potential Impact</u>. The Project could cause or exacerbate localized flooding. This potential impact is discussed in the Final EIR at page 3.1-22.
 - (b) <u>Impact Prior to Mitigation</u>. Significant.
 - (c) <u>Mitigation Measure</u>. The Project will incorporate mitigation measure FC-MM-1, which involves coordination with owners and operators of local drainage systems and landowners served by the systems to evaluate pre- and post-project drainage needs and to remediate drainage disruption or alternation in runoff that would increase the potential for localized flooding. If substantial alteration in runoff patterns or disruption of local drainage systems could result from the project, a drainage study will be

prepared to develop appropriate plans to ensure equivalent functioning of the system during and after construction.

- (d) <u>Findings</u>: Because any necessary features to remediate project-induced drainage problems will be installed before the project is completed or as part of the project, with mitigation there will be no impact.
- (e) <u>Conclusion</u>. The potential impact of the Project on flood control and geomorphic conditions is less than significant.

B. Water Quality and Groundwater Resources

- 1. WQ-3
 - (a) <u>Potential Impact</u>: Project construction will involve trenching and excavation associated with a cutoff wall and/or levee reconstruction. These activities could expose the water table and create a path to the groundwater basin that would allow contaminants to enter the groundwater system. While dewatering of the construction area is not anticipated, if it is necessary it could result in the release of contaminants to surface or groundwater. This potential impact is discussed in the Final EIR at page 3.2-18.
 - (b) <u>Impact Prior to Mitigation</u>: Significant.
 - (c) Mitigation Measure: The project proponents would adhere to environmental commitments of the Stormwater Pollution Protection Plan (SWPPP), the Spill Prevention, ,Control, and Counter-Measure Plan (SPCCP), and the Bentonite Slurry Spill Contingency Plan (BSSCP). In addition, the Project will incorporate mitigation measure WQ-MM-1, which involves obtaining a Low Threat Discharge and Dewatering National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit from the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) if the dewatering is not covered under the Central Valley RWOCB's NPDES Construction General Permit. The permit requires water quality monitoring to adhere to strict criteria and the design and implementation of measures to meet the discharge limits.
 - (d) <u>Findings</u>: Because SBFCA will verify that coverage under the appropriate NPDES permit has been obtained prior to any dewatering activities and perform routine inspections of the construction area to verify that water quality control measures are property implemented, any remaining impact will be less than significant.
 - (e) <u>Conclusion</u>: The potential impact of the Project on water quality and groundwater resources is less than significant.

C. Air Quality

- 1. AQ-3
 - (a) <u>Potential Impact</u>: The Project could cause exceedance of the Federal General Conformity Thresholds during construction. This potential impact is discussed in the Final EIR at page 3.5-21.
 - (b) <u>Impact Prior to Mitigation</u>: Significant.
 - (c) Mitigation Measure: The Project will incorporate mitigation measures AQ-MM 1 through AQ-MM -4. AQ-MM -1 involves providing advance notification of the proposed construction schedule to all residences and other air-quality sensitive uses within 500 feet of the construction site, as well as a publicly visible sign with the phone number and person to contact regarding dust complaints. This person will respond and take corrective action within 48 hours. AQ-MM -2 involves implementation of fugitive dust control measures as required by FRAQMD and BCAQMD, including submitting a dust control plan, watering unpaved areas, prohibiting certain activities during dry conditions, and others discussed on page 3.5-18 of the Final EIR. AQ-MM -3 involves general measures to reduce emissions such as no open burning of removed vegetation, development of a traffic plan, reducing use, trips and unnecessary idling of heavy equipment, and other measures listed on page 3.5-19 of the Final EIR. AQ-MM-4 involves various fleet-wide emission reductions for large off-road equipment as discussed on page 3.5-19 of the Final EIR.
 - (d) <u>Findings</u>: With application of these mitigation measures, construction of the Project would not exceed applicable federal de minimis thresholds and General Conformity requirements would be met. The Project would not cause or contribute to new or worsening violations of the ambient air quality standards. Any remaining impact will be less than significant.
 - (e) <u>Conclusion</u>: The potential impact of the Project with respect to the Federal General Conformity thresholds is less than significant.

D. Vegetation and Wetlands

- 1. VEG-1
 - (a) <u>Potential Impact</u>: The Project would disturb or remove a total of 134 riparian trees on the water side of the levee. This potential impact is discussed in the Final EIR at page 3.8-24.
 - (b) <u>Impact Prior to Mitigation</u>: Significant.

- (c) <u>Mitigation Measure</u>: The Project would incorporate mitigations measures VEG-MM-1 through VEG-MM-4. VEG-MM-1 involves compensation for the loss of woody riparian trees to ensure no net loss of habitat functions and values. VEG-MM-2 involves installation of exclusion fencing and/or K-rails along the perimeter of construction work and implementation of general measures such as having a biological monitor on-site during installation of the fencing and explanatory signage. VEG-MM-3 involves mandatory contractor/worker awareness training on avoiding effects on sensitive biological resources and penalties for noncompliance. VEG-MM-4 involves retaining a qualified biologist to monitor construction activities adjacent to sensitive biological resources.
- (d) <u>Findings</u>: In the long term, after establishment of compensatory vegetation, this impact will be less than significant.
- (e) <u>Conclusion</u>: The long-term impact of the Project on waterside trees is less than significant.
- 2. VEG-2
 - (a) <u>Potential Impact</u>: The Project could result in the loss of seasonal wetlands and other waters of the United States. This potential impact is discussed in the Final EIR at page 3.8-29.
 - (b) <u>Impact Prior to Mitigation</u>: Significant.
 - (c) Mitigation Measure: The Project would incorporate mitigations measures VEG-MM-2 through VEG-MM-5 in addition to the environmental commitment to develop a SWPPP. VEG-MM-2 involves installation of exclusion fencing and/or K-rails along the perimeter of construction work and implementation of general measures such as having a biological monitor on-site during installation of the fencing and explanatory signage. VEG-MM-3 involves mandatory contractor/worker awareness training on avoiding effects on sensitive biological resources and penalties for noncompliance. VEG-MM-4 involves retaining a qualified biologist to monitor construction activities adjacent to sensitive biological resources. VEG-MM-5 involves compensation for the loss of wetlands through restoring or enhancing in-kind wetland habitat to ensure no net loss of habitat functions and values.
 - (d) <u>Findings</u>: Incorporation of these mitigation measures will reduce this impact to a less-than-significant level.
 - (e) <u>Conclusion</u>: The Project's impact on seasonal wetlands and other waters of the United States is less than significant.
- 3. VEG-3

- (a) <u>Potential Impact</u>: The Project could result in disturbance or removal of up to 5,118 trees protected under local ordinances or that meet the definition of oaks. This potential impact is discussed in the Final EIR at page 3.8-31.
- (b) <u>Impact Prior to Mitigation</u>: Significant.
- Mitigation Measure: The Project would incorporate (c) mitigations measures VEG-MM-2 through VEG-MM-4 and VEG-MM-6, in addition to the environmental commitment to comply with each city tree ordinance and where applicable, Public Resources Code Section 21083.4. VEG-MM-2 involves installation of exclusion fencing and/or K-rails along the perimeter of construction work and implementation of general measures such as having a biological monitor on-site during installation of the fencing and explanatory signage. VEG-MM-3 involves mandatory contractor/worker awareness training on avoiding effects on sensitive biological resources and penalties for noncompliance. VEG-MM-4 involves retaining a qualified biologist to monitor construction activities adjacent to sensitive biological resources. VEG-MM-6 involves compensation for the loss of protected trees by applying for a tree permit for tree removal and replace removed trees with trees at or near the location of the effect. SBFCA will also replace any replacement trees that die within 3 years of the initial planting..
- (d) <u>Findings</u>: Incorporation of these mitigation measures will reduce this impact to a less-than-significant level.
- (e) <u>Conclusion</u>: The Project's impact on protected trees is less than significant.

E. Wildlife

- 1. WILD-1
 - (a) <u>Potential Impact</u>: The Project could result in mortality of or loss of habitat for Antioch Dunes anthicid, Sacramento anthicid, and Sacramento Valley tiger beetle. This potential impact is discussed in the Final EIR at page 3.9-35.
 - (b) <u>Impact Prior to Mitigation</u>: Significant.
 - (c) <u>Mitigation Measure</u>: The Project would incorporate mitigation measures VEG-MM-2, VEG-MM-3, VEG-MM-4, and WILD-MM-1. VEG-MM-2 involves installation of exclusion fencing and/or K-rails along the perimeter of construction work and implementation of general measures such as having a biological monitor on-site during installation of the fencing and explanatory signage. VEG-

MM-3 involves mandatory contractor/worker awareness training on avoiding effects on sensitive biological resources and penalties for noncompliance. VEG-MM-4 involves retaining a qualified biologist to monitor construction activities adjacent to sensitive biological resources. WILD-MM-1 involves fencing and avoiding habitat for these three beetle species, and if avoidance is not possible, a qualified entomologist will survey the suitable habitat for the beetle species' presence and, if recommended, the beetles may be relocated to suitable habitat.

- (d) <u>Findings</u>: Incorporation of these mitigation measures will reduce this impact to a less-than-significant level.
- (e) <u>Conclusion</u>: The Project's impact on the Antioch Dunes anthicid, Sacramento anthicid, and Sacramento Valley tiger beetle is less than significant.
- 2. WILD-2
 - (a) <u>Potential Impact</u>: The Project could result in mortality or disturbance of VELB and its habitat (elderberry shrubs). This potential impact is discussed in the Final EIR at page 3.9-36.
 - (b) <u>Impact Prior to Mitigation</u>: Significant.
 - (c) Mitigation Measure: The Project would incorporate mitigation measures VEG-MM-2, VEG-MM-3, VEG-MM-4, WILD-MM-2, WILD-MM-3 and WILD-MM-4. VEG-MM-2 involves installation of exclusion fencing and/or Krails along the perimeter of construction work and implementation of general measures such as having a biological monitor on-site during installation of the fencing and explanatory signage. VEG-MM-3 involves mandatory contractor/worker awareness training on avoiding effects on sensitive biological resources and penalties for noncompliance. VEG-MM-4 involves retaining a qualified biologist to monitor construction activities adjacent to sensitive biological resources. WILD-MM-2 involves surveys by a qualified biologist of elderberry shrubs to be transplanted, and in order to compensate for loss of VELB SBFCA will plant seedlings/cuttings and associated native plants prior to transplantation of elderberry shrubs. WILD-MM-3 involves implementing measures to protect VELB and its habitat, including protection of shrubs within 100 feet of construction and placement of orange construction barrier fencing at the edge of the respective buffer areas. Additional measures are described in the Final EIR on page 3.9-36 and 3.9-37. WILD-MM-4 involves compensation for effects on VELB and its habitat through transplanting of shrubs that cannot be avoided to a USFWS-approved

conservation area, in accordance with USFWS-approved procedures.

- (d) <u>Findings</u>: Incorporation of these mitigation measures will reduce this impact to a less-than-significant level.
- (e) <u>Conclusion</u>: The Project's impact on VELB is less than significant.
- 3. WILD-3
 - (a) <u>Potential Impact</u>: The Project could cause mortality or disturbance of Western pond turtles. This impact is discussed in the Final EIR at page 3.9-38.
 - (b) <u>Impact Prior to Mitigation</u>: Significant.
 - (c) Mitigation Measure: The Project will incorporate mitigation measures VEG-MM-2, VEG-MM-3, VEG-MM-4, and WILD-MM-5. VEG-MM-2 involves installation of exclusion fencing and/or K-rails along the perimeter of construction work and implementation of general measures such as having a biological monitor on-site during installation of the fencing and explanatory signage. VEG-MM-3 involves mandatory contractor/worker awareness training on avoiding effects on sensitive biological resources and penalties for noncompliance. VEG-MM-4 involves retaining a qualified biologist to monitor construction activities adjacent to sensitive biological resources. WILD-MM-5 involves preconstruction surveys for Western pond turtle by a qualified biologist one week before and within 24 hours of beginning work. If turtles are observed a biological monitor will be present during construction to capture and remove, if possible, any entrapped turtle.
 - (d) <u>Findings</u>: Incorporation of these mitigation measures will reduce this impact to a less-than-significant level.
 - (e) <u>Conclusion</u>: The Project's impact on Western pond turtle is less than significant.
- 4. WILD-4
 - (a) <u>Potential Impact</u>: The Project could result in disturbance or mortality of and loss of suitable habitat for Giant Garter Snake. This impact is discussed in the Final EIR at page 3.9-39.
 - (b) <u>Impact Prior to Mitigation</u>: Significant.
 - (c) <u>Mitigation Measure</u>: The Project will incorporate mitigation measures VEG-MM-2, VEG-MM-3, VEG-MM-4, and WILD-MM-6, WILD-MM-7, WILD-MM-8, and WILD-MM-9. VEG-MM-2 involves installation of

exclusion fencing and/or K-rails along the perimeter of construction work and implementation of general measures such as having a biological monitor on-site during installation of the fencing and explanatory signage. VEG-MM-3 involves mandatory contractor/worker awareness training on avoiding effects on sensitive biological resources and penalties for noncompliance. VEG-MM-4 involves retaining a qualified biologist to monitor construction activities adjacent to sensitive biological resources. WILD-MM-6 involves avoidance and minimization of construction effects on Giant Garter Snake through timing considerations, protective measures determined during consultation with USFWS, installation of exclusion fencing, preconstruction surveys, vegetation clearing, confining haul routes, escape ramps, and relocation of PG&E facilities. WILD-MM-7 involves ensuring through an operations and maintenance plan that impacts to suitable habitat for Giant Garter Snake and Western burrowing owl along the levee are minimized to the maximum extent feasible. Measures include minimization of vegetation control by burning, reduction of maintenance activities near toe drains, avoidance of grouting of burrows, preparation of a database of sensitive areas, and staff training. WILD-MM-8 involves compensation for permanent loss of suitable Giant Garter Snake habitat by purchasing preservation credits at a USFWS and DFW approved conservation bank in perpetuity. WILD-MM-9 involves restoration of temporarily disturbed aquatic and upland habitat to preproject conditions.

- (d) <u>Findings</u>: Incorporation of these mitigation measures will reduce this impact to a less-than-significant level.
- (e) <u>Conclusion</u>: The Project's impact on Giant Garter Snake is less than significant.
- 5. WILD-5
 - (a) <u>Potential Impact</u>: The Project could result in the loss or disturbance of nesting Swainson's hawk and loss of nesting and foraging habitat. This impact is discussed in the Final EIR at page 3.9-42.
 - (b) <u>Impact Prior to Mitigation</u>: Significant.
 - (c) <u>Mitigation Measure</u>: The Project will incorporate mitigation measures VEG-MM-2, VEG-MM-3, VEG-MM-4, and WILD-MM-10, WILD-MM-11, and WILD-MM-12. VEG-MM-2 involves installation of exclusion fencing and/or K-rails along the perimeter of construction work and implementation of general measures such as having a biological monitor on-site during installation of the fencing

and explanatory signage. VEG-MM-3 involves mandatory contractor/worker awareness training on avoiding effects on sensitive biological resources and penalties for noncompliance. VEG-MM-4 involves retaining a qualified biologist to monitor construction activities adjacent to sensitive biological resources. WILD-MM-10 involves conducting vegetation removal activities outside the breeding season for birds. Where this is not possible, preconstruction surveys and additional protective measures will be implemented per WILD-MM-13. WILD-MM-11 involves conducting focused surveys for nesting Swainson's hawk prior to construction and implementing protective measures during construction, such as maintenance of a buffer area and presence of a qualified biologist during construction. WILD-MM-12 involves compensation for the permanent loss of foraging habitat for Swainson's hawk by providing offsite habitat management lands or purchasing mitigation credits from a DFWapproved mitigation or conservation bank.

- (d) <u>Findings</u>: Incorporation of these mitigation measures will reduce this impact to a less-than-significant level.
- (e) <u>Conclusion</u>: The Project's impact on Swainson's hawk is less than significant.

6. WILD-6

- (a) <u>Potential Impact</u>: The Project could result in mortality or disturbance of nesting special-status and non-special-status birds and removal of suitable breeding habitat. This impact is discussed in the Final EIR at page 3.9-44.
- (b) <u>Impact Prior to Mitigation</u>: Significant.
- Mitigation Measure: The Project will incorporate (c) mitigation measures VEG-MM-2, VEG-MM-3, VEG-MM-4, WILD-MM-10, and WILD-MM-13. VEG-MM-2 involves installation of exclusion fencing and/or K-rails along the perimeter of construction work and implementation of general measures such as having a biological monitor on-site during installation of the fencing and explanatory signage. VEG-MM-3 involves mandatory contractor/worker awareness training on avoiding effects on sensitive biological resources and penalties for noncompliance. VEG-MM-4 involves retaining a qualified biologist to monitor construction activities adjacent to sensitive biological resources. WILD-MM-10 involves conducting vegetation removal activities outside the breeding season for birds. Where this is not possible, preconstruction surveys and additional protective measures will be implemented per WILD-MM-13. WILD-MM-13 involves retaining a qualified wildlife biologist to conduct

nesting surveys before the start of construction. At least three separate surveys will be conducted, and if active nests are found, no-disturbance buffers will be established around the nest sites until the end of the breeding season or otherwise determined by a qualified wildlife biologist.

- (d) <u>Findings</u>: Incorporation of these mitigation measures will reduce this impact to a less-than-significant level.
- (e) <u>Conclusion</u>: The Project's impact on nesting special-status and non-special status birds is less than significant.
- 7. WILD-7
 - (a) <u>Potential Impact</u>: The Project could result in loss or disturbance of Western Burrowing Owl and loss of nesting and foraging habitat. This impact is discussed in the Final EIR at page 3.9-45.
 - (b) <u>Impact Prior to Mitigation</u>: Significant.
 - (c) Mitigation Measure: The Project will incorporate mitigation measures VEG-MM-2, VEG-MM-3, VEG-MM-4, WILD-MM-7, WILD-MM-10, WILD-MM-14 and WILD-MM-15. VEG-MM-2 involves installation of exclusion fencing and/or K-rails along the perimeter of construction work and implementation of general measures such as having a biological monitor on-site during installation of the fencing and explanatory signage. VEG-MM-3 involves mandatory contractor/worker awareness training on avoiding effects on sensitive biological resources and penalties for noncompliance. VEG-MM-4 involves retaining a qualified biologist to monitor construction activities adjacent to sensitive biological resources. WILD-MM-7 involves ensuring through an operations and maintenance plan that impacts to suitable habitat for Giant Garter Snake and Western burrowing owl along the levee are minimized to the maximum extent feasible. Measures include minimization of vegetation control by burning, reduction of maintenance activities near toe drains, avoidance of grouting of burrows, preparation of a database of sensitive areas, and staff training. WILD-MM-10 involves conducting vegetation removal activities outside the breeding season for birds. Where this is not possible, preconstruction surveys and additional protective measures will be implemented per WILD-MM-13. WILD-MM-14 involves conducting surveys for western burrowing owl prior to construction whenever burrowing owl habitat is present on or within 500 feet of the project site. If burrowing owls are found, compensatory measures will be put in place, including nondisturbance of burrows, buffer areas, visible markers, worker awareness programs, additional take avoidance surveys, and ongoing

surveillance. Take avoidance surveys will be conducted regardless of survey results. WILD-MM-15 involves compensation for the loss of occupied western burrowing owl habitat through restoration of the disturbed area and/or permanent conservation of vegetation communities similar to burrowing owl habitat or conservation easements. SBFCA may consult with DFW to develop appropriate mitigation alternatives with the standard of full mitigation for permanent impacts.

- (d) <u>Findings</u>: Incorporation of these mitigation measures will reduce this impact to a less-than-significant level.
- (e) <u>Conclusion</u>: The Project's impact on western burrowing owl is less than significant.

8. WILD-8

- (a) <u>Potential Impact</u>: The Project could result in injury, mortality or disturbance of tree-roosting bats and removal of roosting habitat. This impact is discussed in the Final EIR at page 3.9-48.
- (b) <u>Impact Prior to Mitigation</u>: Significant.
- (c) Mitigation Measure: The Project will incorporate mitigation measures VEG-MM-2, VEG-MM-3, VEG-MM-4, WILD-MM-10, and WILD-MM-16. VEG-MM-2 involves installation of exclusion fencing and/or K-rails along the perimeter of construction work and implementation of general measures such as having a biological monitor on-site during installation of the fencing and explanatory signage. VEG-MM-3 involves mandatory contractor/worker awareness training on avoiding effects on sensitive biological resources and penalties for noncompliance. VEG-MM-4 involves retaining a qualified biologist to monitor construction activities adjacent to sensitive biological resources. WILD-MM-10 involves conducting vegetation removal activities outside the breeding season for birds. Where this is not possible, preconstruction surveys and additional protective measures will be implemented per WILD-MM-13. WILD-MM-16 involves identification of suitable roosting habitat for bats where tree removal/trimming cannot be conducted between September 15 and October 30 (prior to hibernation). Identification will be performed by qualified biologists, and measures to avoid and minimize impacts to sensitive bat species will be determined in coordination with DFW. Such measures may include timing of tree removal, removal in pieces, and monitoring of tree trimming/removal.

- (d) <u>Findings</u>: Incorporation of these mitigation measures will reduce this impact to a less-than-significant level.
- (e) <u>Conclusion</u>: The Project's impact on tree-roosting bats is less than significant.

F. Population, Housing and Environmental Justice

- 1. POP-1
 - (a) <u>Potential Impact</u>: The Project could displace existing housing units wince it requires the permanent acquisition of five existing residences to accommodate the expanded footprint of the flood control system. This impact is discussed in the Final EIR at page 3.12-13.
 - (b) <u>Impact Prior to Mitigation</u>: Significant.
 - (c) <u>Mitigation Measure</u>: The Project will incorporate mitigation measure POP-MM-1, which provides that permanent acquisition, relocation and compensation services will be conducted in compliance with federal and state relocation laws. These laws require appropriate compensation and relocation to comparable replacement housing, and where construction is temporarily disruptive to nearby residents, SBFCA will develop a Temporary Resident Relocation Plan to guide temporary relocation services and compensation.
 - (d) <u>Findings</u>: Incorporation of this mitigation measure will reduce this impact to a less-than-significant level.
 - (e) <u>Conclusion</u>: The Project's impact on population, housing and environmental justice is less than significant.

G. Utilities and Public Service

- 1. UTL-1
 - (a) <u>Potential Impact</u>: The Project could temporarily disrupt irrigation/drainage facilities and agricultural and domestic water supply through modifications to irrigation, drainage, and domestic water supply infrastructure. This impact is discussed in the Final EIR at page 3.15-10.
 - (b) <u>Impact Prior to Mitigation</u>: Significant.
 - (c) <u>Mitigation Measure</u>: The Project will incorporate mitigation measure UTL-MM-1, involving coordination with water supply users before and during all infrastructure modifications, and implementation of measures to minimize interruptions of supply, such as coordination of timing, work during non-irrigation season, provision for alternative water supply as necessary, and ensuring that

water users do not experience a substantial interruption in supply.

- (d) <u>Findings</u>: Incorporation of this mitigation measure will reduce this impact to a less-than-significant level.
- (e) <u>Conclusion</u>: The Project's impact on irrigation/drainage facilities and agricultural and domestic water supply is less than significant.
- 2. UTL-2
 - (a) <u>Potential Impact</u>: The Project could damage public utility infrastructure and disrupt service where encroachments within the levee prism require repair, relocation or replacement. This impact is discussed in the Final EIR at page 3.15-11.
 - (b) <u>Impact Prior to Mitigation</u>: Significant.
 - (c) <u>Mitigation Measure</u>: The Project will incorporate mitigation measure UTL-MM-2, involving verification of utility locations, obtaining utility excavation or encroachment permits as necessary prior to initiating work that could affect utility lines, coordination with utility providers and providing notification of potential interruptions in service, preparation of a response plan to address potential accidental damage to a utility line, and conducting worker training.
 - (d) <u>Findings</u>: Incorporation of this mitigation measure will reduce this impact to a less-than-significant level.
 - (e) <u>Conclusion</u>: The Project's impact on public utility infrastructure is less than significant.

H. Public Health and Environmental Hazards

- 1. PH-1
 - (a) <u>Potential Impact</u>: The Project could result in temporary exposure to or release of hazardous materials such as fuels and lubricants from the operation of construction equipment and vehicles during construction. This impact is discussed in the Final EIR at page 3.16-9.
 - (b) <u>Impact Prior to Mitigation</u>: Significant.
 - (c) <u>Mitigation Measure</u>: The Project will incorporate the environmental commitment of a SWPPP (described in the Final EIR at page 2-37), which describes the best management practices implemented to control accelerated erosion, sedimentation, and other pollutants during and after project construction. The SWPPP would be prepared prior to commencing earth-moving construction activities.

- (d) <u>Findings</u>: Incorporation of this environmental commitment will reduce this impact to a less-than-significant level by controlling the release of pollutants and hazardous materials during construction.
- (e) <u>Conclusion</u>: The Project's impact with respect to release of fuels and lubricants from the operation of construction equipment is less than significant.

2. PH-2

- (a) <u>Potential Impact</u>: The Project could result in exposure of construction workers, the public, or the environment during ground-disturbing activities to hazardous materials such as petroleum hydrocarbons, pesticides, herbicides, fertilizers, contaminated debris, or other hazardous contaminants that would otherwise remain buried in or near the levee. This impact is discussed in the Final EIR at page 3.16-9.
- (b) <u>Impact Prior to Mitigation</u>: Significant.
- (c) Mitigation Measure: The Project will incorporate mitigation measure PH-MM-2 in addition to a Stormwater Pollution Protection Plan (SWPPP). PH-MM-1 involves a Phase I environmental assessment and, if necessary, a Phase II environmental assessment. Recommendations from these assessments will be implemented prior to ground-disturbing activities. PH-MM-2 involves implementation of a toxic release contingency plan. Implementation of this plan will ensure the effective and efficient use of resources in the areas of traffic and crowd control; firefighting; hazardous materials response and cleanup; radio and communications control; and provision of medical emergency services. If a release were to occur, the environmental commitment to prepare a SWPPP, Mitigation Measure PH-MM-1, and Mitigation Measure PH-MM-2 would be implemented to ensure that water quality would be returned to baseline conditions and that any threat to public health would be met with an effective response.
- (d) <u>Findings</u>: Implementation of this environmental commitment (SWPPP) and these mitigation measures will reduce this impact to a less-than-significant level.
- (e) <u>Conclusion</u>: The Project's impact with respect to exposure of the environment to hazardous materials during ground-disturbing activities is less than significant.
- 3. PH-3
 - (a) <u>Potential Impact</u>: The Project could result in temporary exposure of construction workers and the public to safety

hazards from vehicles and other mechanical equipment if used improperly. This impact is discussed in the Final EIR at page 3.16-11.

- (b) <u>Impact Prior to Mitigation</u>: Significant.
- (c) <u>Mitigation Measure</u>: The Project will incorporate mitigation measures PH-MM-3 and PH-MM-4. PH-MM-3 involves implementation of construction site safety measures such as ensuring that workers are properly trained to use equipment. PH-MM-4 involves implementation of an emergency response plan to ensure that any accidents that occur at the construction site are handled appropriately.
- (d) <u>Findings</u>: Implementation of these mitigation measures will ensure that construction workers and the public are not exposed to safety hazards, and that if there are accidents, they will be handled appropriately. The measures will reduce this impact to a less-than-significant level.
- (e) <u>Conclusion</u>: The Project's impact with respect to exposure of construction workers and the public to safety hazards is less than significant.

The Board hereby finds that SBFCA has eliminated or substantially lessened all significant effects on the environment where feasible as shown in these Findings.

VII. <u>FINDINGS REGARDING SIGNIFICANT AND UNAVOIDABLE</u> <u>IMPACTS ON THE ENVIRONMENT</u>

The EIR identified the following significant impacts on the environment that are deemed to remain significant even after the adoption of mitigation measures. These impacts are overridden by the Project's benefits, as set forth in Section X (Statement of Overriding Considerations).

A. <u>Air Quality</u>

- 1. AQ-2
- (a) <u>Potential Impact</u>. The Project could result in exceedance of applicable thresholds for construction emissions for ROG, in the FRAQMD. This impact is discussed in the Final EIR at page 3.5-17.
- (b) <u>Impact Prior to Mitigation</u>. Significant.
- (c) <u>Mitigation Measure</u>. The Project will incorporate mitigation measures AQ-MM-1, AQ-MM-2, AQ-MM-3, AQ-MM-4, and AQ-MM-5. AQ-MM -1 involves providing advance notification of the proposed construction schedule to all residences and other air-quality sensitive uses within 500 feet of the construction site, as well as a

publicly visible sign with the phone number and person to contact regarding dust complaints. This person will respond and take corrective action within 48 hours. AQ-MM -2 involves implementation of fugitive dust control measures as required by FRAQMD and BCAQMD, including submitting a dust control plan, watering unpaved areas, prohibiting certain activities during dry conditions, and others discussed on page 3.5-18 of the Final EIR. AQ-MM -3 involves general measures to reduce emissions such as no open burning of removed vegetation, development of a traffic plan, reducing use, trips and unnecessary idling of heavy equipment, and other measures listed on page 3.5-19 of the Final EIR. AQ-MM-4 involves various fleet-wide emission reductions for large off-road equipment as discussed on page 3.5-19 of the Final EIR. AQ-MM-5 involves payment of offsite mitigation fees to FRAQMD and BCAQMD to offset NOx emissions. SBFCA will also consult with FRAQMD and BCAQMD prior to issuance of grading permits to define the best construction information and computational tools to be used for the calculations.

- (d) <u>Findings</u>: Because ROG emissions would remain in excess of FRAQMD's threshold, even after incorporation of the above mitigation measures this impact is considered significant and unavoidable.
- (e) <u>Conclusion</u>. The impact of the Project with respect to exceedance of applicable thresholds for construction emissions is significant and unavoidable.

B. Noise

- 1. NOI-1
 - (a) <u>Potential Impact</u>: The Project could expose sensitive receptors to construction noise exceeding 60 dBA-L during daytime hours and 45 dBA-L during nighttime hours. This impact is discussed in the Final EIR at page 3.7-27.
 - (b) <u>Impact Prior to Mitigation</u>: Significant.
 - (c) <u>Mitigation Measure</u>: The Project will incorporate mitigation measure NOI-MM-1, which involves employment of noise-reducing construction practices, such as locating equipment as far away as practical from residences, equipping construction equipment with mufflers, and establishing haul routes that avoid residential uses.
 - (d) <u>Findings</u>: Although implementation of this mitigation measure will reduce the effect, feasible measures will not likely be available in all situations to reduce noise to below the applicable noise ordinance limit, so the effect remains significant and unavoidable.

- (e) <u>Conclusion</u>: The Project's impact with respect to exposure of sensitive receptors to temporary construction-related noise is significant and unavoidable.
- 2. NOI-2
 - (a) <u>Potential Impact</u>: The Project could expose sensitive receptors to construction vibration. This impact is discussed in the Final EIR at page 3.7-30.
 - (b) <u>Impact Prior to Mitigation</u>: Significant.
 - (c) <u>Mitigation Measure</u>: The Project will incorporate mitigation measure NOI-MM-2, which involves employment of vibration-reducing construction practices such as maintaining a minimum distance of 150 feet of vibration including equipment and occupied buildings and other measures described in the Final EIR at page 3.7-21.
 - (d) <u>Findings</u>: Even though it is anticipated that construction equipment will not operate within 30 feet of residences and structures, there may be situations where this is required and where ground vibration could exceed 0.2 inch per second. Even with implementation of NOI-MM-2, feasible measures will not likely be available in all situations to reduce vibration to below the applicable levels, so the effect remains significant and unavoidable.
 - (e) <u>Conclusion</u>: The Project's impact with respect to exposure of sensitive receptors to temporary construction-related vibration is significant and unavoidable.

C. Vegetation and Wetlands

- 1. VEG-1
 - (a) <u>Potential Impact</u>: The Project would disturb or remove a total of 134 riparian trees on the water side of the levee. This potential impact is discussed in the Final EIR at page 3.8-24.
 - (b) <u>Impact Prior to Mitigation</u>: Significant.
 - (c) <u>Mitigation Measure</u>: The Project will incorporate mitigation measures VEG-MM-1 through VEG-MM-4. VEG-MM-1 involves compensation for the loss of woody riparian trees to ensure no net loss of habitat functions and values. VEG-MM-2 involves installation of exclusion fencing and/or K-rails along the perimeter of construction work and implementation of general measures such as having a biological monitor on-site during installation of the fencing and explanatory signage. VEG-MM-3 involves mandatory contractor/worker awareness training on avoiding effects on sensitive biological resources and

penalties for noncompliance. VEG-MM-4 involves retaining a qualified biologist to monitor construction activities adjacent to sensitive biological resources.

- (d) <u>Findings</u>: In the short term, the loss of woody riparian trees is a significant and unavoidable impact, even with implementation of the mitigation measures described herein.
- (e) <u>Conclusion</u>: The short-term impact of the Project on waterside trees is significant and unavoidable.
- 2. VEG-4
 - (a) <u>Potential Impact</u>: The Project could result in the potential loss of special-status plant populations caused by habitat loss. Although there are no known occurrences of specialstatus plants in the construction footprint, there is potential for their presence and if they are present, project construction would result in their removal. This impact is discussed in the Final EIR at page 3.8-33.
 - (b) <u>Impact Prior to Mitigation</u>: Significant.
 - (c) Mitigation Measure: The Project will incorporate mitigation measures VEG-MM-2, VEG-MM-3, VEG-MM-4, VEG-MM-7 and VEG-MM-8. VEG-MM-2 involves installation of exclusion fencing and/or K-rails along the perimeter of construction work and implementation of general measures such as having a biological monitor onsite during installation of the fencing and explanatory signage. VEG-MM-3 involves mandatory contractor/worker awareness training on avoiding effects on sensitive biological resources and penalties for noncompliance. VEG-MM-4 involves retaining a qualified biologist to monitor construction activities adjacent to sensitive biological resources. VEG-MM-7 involves retaining qualified botanists to conduct appropriately-timed floristic surveys for special-status plants before project implementation. If special-status plants are identified during the surveys, SBFCA will complete relevant forms to submit to the CNDDB. VEG-MM-8 involves avoidance or compensation for effects on special-status plants through redesign or modification of proposed project components to avoid effects, or, of avoidance is not feasible, effects would be compensated for by offsite preservation at a ratio required by the resource agencies.
 - (d) <u>Findings</u>: Because the effectiveness of mitigation measures to reduce this effect to a lesser level is not known at this time, because the extent (if any) of special-status plants is not known, the effect is considered significant and unavoidable.

(e) <u>Conclusion</u>: The impact of the Project on special-status plant populations is significant and unavoidable.

D. Cultural Resources

- 1. CR-1
 - (a) <u>Potential Impact</u>: The Project could result in effects in identified archaeological sites resulting from levee construction through ground-disturbing excavation or by placement of large, durable new features such as seepage berms or stability berms over these resources. This impact is discussed in the Final EIR at page 3.17-11.
 - (b) <u>Impact Prior to Mitigation</u>: Significant.
 - (c) <u>Mitigation Measure</u>: The Project will incorporate mitigation measure CR-MM-1, which involves performing data recovery to retrieve information useful in research. Data recovery involves excavations to retrieve samples of affected portions of sites in order to retrieve scientifically important material. The method of retrieval and analysis will vary according to the type of material present. After completion of excavations a data recovery report will be prepared and filed with relevant authorities. A detailed analysis of why preservation in place is not feasible for these identified historic resources can be found in the Final EIR's Cultural Resources chapter and in Appendix I.
 - (d) <u>Findings</u>: Even with this mitigation measure, since these sites cannot be preserved in place and mitigation cannot guarantee that all effects would be avoided, the impact remains significant and unavoidable.
 - (e) <u>Conclusion</u>: The Project's impact on identified archaeological sites is significant and unavoidable.

2. CR-2

- (a) <u>Potential Impact</u>: The Project could result in disturbance of unidentified archaeological sites in areas that remain inaccessible. This impact is described in the Final EIR at page 3.17-16.
- (b) <u>Impact Prior to Mitigation</u>: Significant.
- (c) <u>Mitigation Measure</u>: The Project will incorporate mitigation measure CR-MM-2, which involves completion of surveys prior to construction once rights of entry have been obtained. Inventory and evaluation work will be supervised by cultural resources specialists. SBFCA will evaluate the eligibility of identified resources for listing on the CRHR and determine if the resources can feasibly be preserved in place pursuant to the CEQA Guidelines.

SBFCA will also implement of a cultural resources discovery plan that includes worker training, archaeological monitoring of construction, and specific plans for inadvertent archaeological discoveries during construction.

- (d) <u>Findings</u>: Even with implementation of this mitigation measure, it cannot be ensured that all effects on archaeological sites would be avoided. For example, there may be inadvertent discoveries during construction of sites not previously identified due to their depth. The impact thus remains significant and unavoidable.
- (e) <u>Conclusion</u>: The Project's effect on unidentified archaeological resources is significant and unavoidable.
- 3. CR-3
 - (a) <u>Potential Impact</u>: The Project could inadvertently disturb human remains during ground-disturbing work. For example, slurry cutoff walls could disturb cultural remains at depths where the resource cannot be identified even during monitoring. This impact is discussed in the Final EIR at page 3.17-18.
 - (b) <u>Impact Prior to Mitigation</u>: Significant.
 - (c) <u>Mitigation Measure</u>: The Project would incorporate mitigation measure CR-MM-3, which involves monitoring of culturally sensitive areas during construction and following state and federal laws governing human remains if such resources are discovered. For example, if human remains are discovered, work will cease in the immediate vicinity and SBFCA will coordinate with the county coroner and NAHC to make appropriate determinations regarding the origin of the remains. These procedures will be covered in training of construction workers prior to construction activities.
 - (d) <u>Findings</u>: Implementation of this mitigation measure would reduce the severity of this impact, but it cannot guarantee that the impact would be avoided. Therefore the effect remains significant and unavoidable.
 - (e) <u>Conclusion</u>: The Project's effect on human remains is significant and unavoidable.

4. CR-4

- (a) <u>Potential Impact</u>: The Project could have direct and indirect effects on built environment resources (historical buildings) through demolition or damage from vibration. This impact is discussed in the Final EIR at page 3.17-19.
- (b) <u>Impact Prior to Mitigation</u>: Significant.
- (c) <u>Mitigation Measure</u>: The Project will incorporate mitigation measure CR-MM-4, which involves completion of an inventory of built environment resources for parcels that remain inaccessible to SBFCA, evaluation of identified properties, assessment of effects, and preparation of treatment to resolve and mitigate effects.
- (d) <u>Findings</u>: Implementation of this mitigation measure will reduce the Project's effects on built environment resources, but it cannot guarantee that all effects will be avoided. Therefore the effect remains significant and unavoidable.
- (e) <u>Conclusion</u>: The Project's effect on built environment resources is significant and unavoidable.

VIII. FINDINGS REGARDING ALTERNATIVES

In accordance with CEQA Guidelines §15126.6, SBFCA developed a reasonable range of alternatives for analysis in the Draft EIR (see Draft EIR, Chapter 2 and Final EIR, Chapter 2). This process involved assessing the basic feasibility of various types of measures and generally evaluating their ability to meet the project objectives.

SBFCA established and applied seven criteria to qualitatively evaluate measures and alternatives and eliminate those that did not adequately meet the criteria. The criteria are below, along with the options for evaluation. Public feedback, including that gained through the NEPA and CEQA process, is considered as part of the evaluation in screening.

- Meet the project objectives.
- Geography and jurisdictional authority.
- Avoidance of hydraulic effects.
- Land use compatibility.
- Avoidance, minimization, and mitigation of environmental effects.
- Facilitation of multi-use objectives.
- Cost.

The outcome of this process was the identification of the preferred project, or proposed action, and two alternatives to the preferred project, as well as a no action scenario pursuant to CEQA. These alternatives are summarized below:

Alternative 1

This alternative is focused on measures that would predominantly keep within the existing footprint of the Feather River West Levee. The alternative primarily uses cutoff walls as a technique to address the levee's deficiencies while minimizing change in the existing levee footprint. Specifically, Alternative 1 entails constructing a cutoff wall along the centerline of the existing levee to a varying depth and a seepage berm along a portion of the landside levee toe.

This alternative meets the project objective of reducing flood risk by addressing levee deficiencies and achieving the target levels of protection. It would be in the area and

scope of authority of SBFCA, and it would not likely induce hydraulic effects within or outside the planning area. Alternative 1 minimizes land use changes and has potential to minimize environmental effects (i.e., impacts to riparian trees) by remaining in the footprint of the levee. However, this alternative will not avoid significant, unavoidable impacts in the resource areas of air quality, noise, vegetation and wetlands, and cultural resources. Moreover, this alternative involves substantial economic implications because of its emphasis on cutoff walls, which are costly to construct.

Alternative 2

This alternative removes the constraints of the existing footprint of the levee. It primarily entails constructing seepage and stability berms along the landside toe of the levee and a shallow cutoff wall along only a portion of the centerline of the levee. Alternative 2 would include the filling of the existing canal adjacent to the levee in Reaches 22, 24, 26, 27, 28 and 31 with water during periods of high water surface elevation in the river, which would require the construction of regulating structures within the canal. Alternative 2 would meet the project objectives of reducing flood risk and would be in the area and scope of authority of SBFCA. Alternative two would not likely induce hydraulic effects within or outside of the planning area. However, Alternative 2 requires considerable land acquisition which could result in relocation of a large number of homes and infrastructure. Moreover, Alternative 2 will not avoid significant, unavoidable impacts in the resource areas of air quality, noise, vegetation and wetlands, and cultural resources.

Alternative 3 (Preferred Project)

Alternative 3 is a blend of flood management measures (e.g., cutoff wall, slope flattening, stability berms) optimized based on specific screening criteria. This alternative proposes a combination of cutoff walls and berms (along with other measures) that avoids and minimizes environmental impacts. This alternative is considered the environmentally preferable alternative because it balances borrow material import needs, emissions, real estate acquisition and land use change, habitat effects, and construction-related disturbance. This alternative is the least impactful as a composite across all resource categories.

No Project Alternative

The no project alternative consists of continuation of current conditions and operation and maintenance practices that would be expected to occur in the foreseeable future if the Project was not implemented. Under this alternative, SBFCA would not implement flood risk-reduction measures, but the levees protecting the Sutter Basin would continue to require risk-reduction measures to meet current levee standards, FEMA's minimum acceptable level of flood protection, and State requirements for 200-year flood protection for urbanized areas. The risk of a catastrophic flood and its impacts would remain high. As described in the Alternatives chapter of the Draft and Final EIR (Chapter 2), the consequences of a levee failure are widespread: flooding, damage to residential, commercial, agricultural and industrial structures, and potential loss of life and property.

Moreover, FEMA's RiskMAP process could result in remapping of Sutter Basin areas into zones that require flood insurance and trigger constraints on further development in the basin. Depending on the future of the USACE levee vegetation policy, that policy could either require removal of woody vegetation within the levee prism or within 15 feet of the waterside and landside levee toes, or future application of a variance.

The Board finds that this alternative attains none of the Project objectives.

Alternatives Considered but Not Carried Forward for Analysis

SBFCA analyzed the following measures and alternatives based on specific criteria listed above, and determined for the following reasons that these measures/alternatives would not be carried forward for more in-depth analysis.

Alternative Levee Alignments

SBFCA analyzed setback levees, ring levees and J-levees. Setback levees do not rate well in the categories of land use compatibility, environmental effects, and costs when compared to actions that focus on addressing deficiencies of the existing levee. Ring levees fail to meet the project objectives (reducing risk for the entire planning area) and may increase the risk of flooding outside the area protected by the ring levee. J-levees may not meet all of the project objectives (reducing risk for the entire planning area) and may not avoid hydraulic effects outside the project area. For these reasons and as explained more fully in Chapter 2 of the Final EIR, these alternative levee alignments were not carried forward for additional analysis.

Reoperation of Upstream Reservoirs and Bypasses

Reoperation of reservoirs and bypasses to optimize attenuation of flood flows could potentially reduce flood risk to SBFCA, but may compromise the ability to meet other mandated management objectives and may not reduce risk for the entire planning area. Reoperation of upstream reservoirs and bypasses could not be planned and implemented within SBFCA's area and scope of authority. For these reasons and as explained more fully in Chapter 2 of the Final EIR, this alternative was not carried forward for additional analysis.

Development of Additional Upstream Storage

Similar to reoperation of upstream reservoirs, it is uncertain whether this measure would meet the project objectives of reducing risk for the entire planning area, and SBFCA does not own or control upstream properties for developing additional storage. This measure is less favorable for land use if reservoirs and bypasses would need an increased footprint to allow additional capacity. For these reasons and as explained more fully in Chapter 2 of the Final EIR, this alternative was not carried forward for additional analysis.

SBFCA also analyzed as alternatives construction of the Feather River bypass, raising building pads and river dredging per the criteria described above and were not carried forward for analysis.

IX. FINDINGS RELATED TO CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

A. Cumulative Impact Analysis

CEQA Guidelines section 15130 provides the framework for analysis of impacts associated with implementation of a project and its cumulative impacts. A discussion of cumulative impacts includes the combination of significant and less than significant project-related impacts and all levels of impacts from other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future projects. Cumulative impacts need not be described where the Project has no physical impacts on the environment. Consistent with these requirements, cumulative impacts are discussed in Chapter 4 of the Final EIR.

The EIR's cumulative impacts discussion includes the following list of past, current and likely future projects, including other flood protection projects affecting the Feather River

and the Sacramento River system, projects affecting fish and wildlife that use the proposed project area, and relevant land use plans:

- Central Valley Flood Protection Act
- Sacramento River Flood Control System Evaluation
- Sacramento-San Joaquin Rivers Comprehensive Study
- Sacramento River Bank Protection Project
- Flood Control and Coastal Storm Emergency Act
- Sutter Basin Project
- Yuba Basin Project
- American River Common Features Project
- West Sacramento General Reevaluation Report
- Lower Feather River Corridor Management Program
- Three Rivers Levee Improvement Program
- Natomas Levee Improvement Program
- West Sacramento Levee Improvement Program
- Butte County General Plan 2030
- City of Biggs General Plan 1997-2015
- City of Gridley General Plan
- Sutter County 2030 General Plan
- City of Yuba City General Plan
- City of Live Oak General Plan
- CALFED Ecosystem Restoration Program
- Bay Delta Conservation Plan
- PG&E's Palermo to East Nicolaus

The Project, in combination with the related projects listed above, is anticipated to cause cumulatively significant impacts in the following resource areas:

- Air Quality
- Wildlife
- Fish and Aquatic Resources
- Visual Resources
- Cultural Resources

X. <u>STATEMENT OF OVERRIDING CONSIDERATIONS</u>

CEQA requires a public agency to balance the benefits of a proposed project against its unavoidable environmental risks in determining whether to approve the project. SBFCA proposes to approve the project despite certain significant unavoidable adverse impacts identified in the Feather River West Levee Project EIR. The entire EIR includes 3 volumes: (1) the Draft EIR, (2) the Final EIR, and (3) the Responses to Comments document.

A. Impacts of the Project

As detailed in this Findings document and in the EIR, the EIR concludes that the Project will have significant, unavoidable impacts in the following resource areas: air quality, noise, vegetation and wetlands, visual resources, and cultural resources.

The EIR also concludes that there will be cumulative effects on the environment in the following resource categories, due to their combination with reasonably foreseeable past, present and future projects listed in Chapter 6 of the Draft EIR: air quality, wildlife, fish and aquatic resources, visual resources, and cultural resources.

B. Environmental Commitments and Mitigation Measures

The mitigation measures incorporated into the EIR and the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan demonstrate a commitment by the Board to avoid, minimize, and compensate for environmental impacts of the Project. Environmental commitments include the following:

- Avoidance measures for valley elderberry longhorn beetle.
- Avoidance measures for Giant garter snake.
- Avoidance measures for Swainson's hawk.
- Avoidance measures for Raptors.
- Measures for protected and riparian trees.
- Invasive plant species prevention measures.
- Construction limitations near residences.
- Use of native wildflower species in erosion control seed mix.
- Soil borrow site reclamation plan.
- Post-construction operations and maintenance.
- Stormwater pollution prevention plan.
- Bentonite slurry spill contingency plan.
- Spill prevention, control and counter-measure plan.
- Monitoring of turbidity in adjacent water bodies.
- Replant trees and shrubs along PG&E utility line relocations, in conformance with utility line vegetation clearance zones.

Mitigation measures incorporated into the Project, and discussed in the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan, include the following:

Flood Control and Geomorphic Conditions

• FC-MM-1: Coordinate with owners and operators, prepare drainage studies as needed, and remediate effects through project design

Water Quality and Groundwater Resources

• WQ-MM-1: Implement provisions for dewatering

Air Quality

- AQ-MM-1: Provide advance notification of construction schedule and 24-hour hotline to residents
- AQ-MM-2: Implement fugitive dust control plan if unmitigated emissions exceed PM10 or PM2.5 thresholds
- AQ-MM-3: General measures to reduce emissions
- AQ-MM-4: Fleet-wide emission reductions for large off-road equipment
- AQ-MM-5: Pay required fees to FRAQMD and BCAQMD to offset annual construction NOx emissions to net zero for emissions in excess of General Conformity de minimis thresholds or to quantities below applicable FRAQMD and BCAQMD CEQA thresholds (where applicable)

Climate Change and Greenhouse Gas

• CC-MM-1: Implement measures to minimize GHG emissions during construction

<u>Noise</u>

- NOI-MM-1: Employ noise-reducing construction practices
- NOI-MM-2: Employ vibration-reducing construction practices

Vegetation and Wetlands

- VEG-MM-1: Compensate for the loss of woody riparian trees
- VEG-MM-2: Install exclusion fencing and/or K-rails along the perimeter of the construction work area and implement general measures to avoid effects on sensitive natural communities and special-status species
- VEG-MM-3: Conduct mandatory contractor/worker awareness training for construction personnel
- VEG-MM-4: Retain a biological monitor
- VEG-MM-5: Compensate for the loss of wetlands and other waters
- VEG-MM-6: Compensate for loss of protected trees
- VEG-MM-7: Retain qualified botanists to conduct floristic surveys for special-status plants during appropriate identification periods
- VEG-MM-8: Avoid or compensate for substantial effects on special-status plants

Wildlife

- WILD-MM-1: Fence and avoid habitat for Antioch Dunes anthicid, Sacramento anthicid, and Sacramento Valley tiger beetle and implement protective measures
- WILD-MM-2: Conduct VELB surveys prior to elderberry shrub transplantation
- WILD-MM-3 Implement measures to protect VELB and its habitat
- WILD-MM-4: Compensate for effects on VELB and its habitat
- WILD-MM-5: Conduct preconstruction surveys for Western pond turtle and monitor construction activities if turtles are observed
- WILD-MM-6: Avoid and minimize construction effects on Giant Garter Snake
- WILD-MM-7: Avoid and minimize potential maintenance impacts on suitable habitat for Giant Garter Snake and Western Burrowing Owl
- WILD-MM-8: Compensate for permanent loss of suitable Giant Garter Snake habitat
- WILD-MM-9: Restore temporarily disturbed Giant Gartner Snake aquatic and upland habitat to pre-project conditions
- WILD-MM-10: Conduct vegetation removal activities outside the breeding season for birds
- WILD-MM-11: Conduct focused surveys for nesting Swainson's hawk prior to construction and implement protective measures during construction
- WILD-MM-12: Compensate for the permanent loss of foraging habitat for Swainson's hawk
- WILD-MM-13: Conduct nesting surveys for special-status and non-special-status birds and implement protective measures during construction
- WILD-MM-14: Conduct surveys for western burrowing owl prior to construction and implement protective measures if found
- WILD-MM-15: Compensate for the loss of occupied western burrowing owl habitat
- WILD-MM-16: Identify suitable roosting habitat for bats and implement avoidance and protective measures

Population, Housing and Environmental Justice

• POP-MM-1: Property acquisition compensation and resident relocation plan

Utilities and Public Services

• UTL-MM-1: Coordinate with water supply users before and during all water supply infrastructure modifications and implement measures to minimize interruptions to supply

• UTL-MM-2: Verify utility locations, coordinate with utility providers, prepare a response plan, and conduct worker training

Public Health and Environmental Hazards

- PH-MM-1: Complete Phase I and Phase II (if necessary) environmental site assessment investigations and implement required measures
- PH-MM-2: Employment of a toxic release contingency plan
- PH-MM-3: Implementation of construction safety measures
- PH-MM-4: Implementation of an emergency response plan

Cultural Resources

- CR-MM-1: Perform data recovery to retrieve information useful in research
- CR-MM-2: Complete surveys prior to construction, implement a cultural resources discovery plan, provide related training to construction workers, and conduct construction monitoring
- CR-MM-3: Monitor culturally sensitive areas during construction and follow state and federal laws governing human remains if such resources are discovered
- CR-MM-4: Complete inventory of built environment resources in inaccessible parcels, evaluate identified properties, assess effects, and prepare treatment to resolve and mitigate significant effects

C. Benefits of the Project

The Project will enhance public safety in the Sutter Basin by addressing known levee deficiencies on the Feather River. USACE, DWR and SBFCA have commissioned studies to determine the type, location and severity of deficiencies in the SBFCA project area. The Feather River west levee suffers from risks of the following levee failure mechanisms: through seepage, under seepage, slope stability and geometry, erosion, and levee encroachments.

SBFCA was formed to proactively reduce flood risk reduction in the basin. At that time, FEMA was revising its Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) in the study area in a way that would likely lead to the study area being mapped within the 100-year floodplain. This would make flood insurance mandatory for all Federally guaranteed loans as well as impose significant restrictions on development. SBFCA began by comprehensively evaluating the Feather River west levee to determine the magnitude and severity of any deficiencies and the resulting level of flood protection.

SBFCA has proposed the Project to address the identified deficiencies and reduce flood risk for the Sutter basin communities. Specifically, the Project has the following benefits:

• Protects existing populations and minimizes exposure to flooding for agricultural commodities, infrastructure use, and other property.

- Reduces flood risk from Feather River toward a target of 200-year protection for Yuba City and to the north of the planning area in compliance with state mandates for 200-year protection for urbanized areas and in avoidance of FEMA restrictions that would compromise agricultural sustainability.
- Addresses known deficiencies and observed performance issues.
- Constructs a project as soon as possible to reduce flood risk as quickly as possible.
- Constructs a project that is economically, environmentally, politically and socially acceptable.
- Facilitates compatibility with the CVFPP and Sutter Basin Feasibility Study such that proposed activities would be "no regrets" and not inconsistent with any future plans.
- Facilitates compatibility with recreation and restoration goals in the planning area.

The Board hereby finds that any remaining significant effects on the environmental found to be unavoidable as described in these Findings are acceptable due to overriding concerns as described above.

D. Conclusion

Having reduced the effects of the proposed project by adopting mitigation measures, and balanced the benefits of the proposed project against the project's potential unavoidable adverse impacts, the SBFCA Board of Directors hereby determine that the specific overriding economic, legal, social, technological, or other benefits of the proposed project outweigh the potential unavoidable adverse effects on the environment.

Feather River West Levee Project Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

This document is the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) prepared by the Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency (SBFCA) for the Feather River West Levee Project (FRWLP, or project). SBFCA was formed as a joint powers authority in 2007 through a joint exercise of powers agreement by the Counties of Sutter and Butte; the Cities of Yuba City, Gridley, Live Oak, and Biggs; and Levee Districts 1 and 9 (LD 1, LD 9). SBFCA is the Lead Agency for the FRWLP. The MMRP addresses the mitigation measures that would be implemented by SBFCA or its construction contractor.

Table 1. Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program for the Feather River West Levee Project

Project Effect	Mitigation Measure	Responsibility for Implementation	Responsibility for Monitoring	Monitoring Schedule	Monitoring Details
<i>Effect FC-6</i> : Alteration of the Existing Drainage Pattern of the Site or Area	<i>FC-MM-1</i> : Coordinate with Owners and Operators, Prepare Drainage Studies as Needed, and Remediate Effects through Project Design	SFBCA and its engineering and design contractor	SFBCA and its engineering and design contractor	During final project design	During final project design, project engineers will coord systems and landowners served by the systems to evan features to remediate any project-related substantial increase the potential for localized flooding. If substant drainage system could result from a project feature, a design. The study will consider the design flows of any features and develop appropriate plans for relocation of new facilities, as needed, to ensure equivalent funct drainage facilities (e.g., ditches, canals) would be affect adverse effect on runoff amounts and/or patterns, new project alternatives to ensure that the project would m necessary features to remediate project-induced drain completed or as part of the project, depending on site
<i>Effect WQ-3</i> : Effects on Groundwater or Surface Water Quality Resulting from Contact with the Water Table	<i>WQ-MM-1</i> : Implement Provisions for Dewatering	SBFCA or its construction contractor	SBFCA or its construction contractor	Permit to be obtained prior to discharging dewatered effluent to surface water.	Before discharging any dewatered effluent to surface Discharge and Dewatering NPDES permit from the Cer under the Central Valley RWQCB's NPDES Constructio will design and implement measures as necessary so t are met.
				Ongoing inspections of construction area will occur frequently during construction to verify water quality control measures are properly implemented and maintained.	For example, if dewatering is needed during the const and Dewatering NPDES permit would require treatmen Treatment measures will be selected to achieve maxim technology that is economically achievable. Implemen effluent until particulate matter has settled before it is Final selection of water quality control measures will coverage under the appropriate NPDES permit has be begin. SBFCA or its agent will perform routine inspect quality control measures are properly implemented a immediately if there is a non-compliance issue and wi
<i>Effect AQ-2</i> : Exceedance of Applicable Thresholds for Construction Emissions	<i>AQ-MM-1</i> : Provide Advance Notification of Construction Schedule and 24-Hour Hotline to Residents	SBFCA and its construction contractor	SBFCA and its construction contractor	Ongoing during construction. Written notification of proposed construction activities delivered to residents and other uses prior to commencing construction activities.	SBFCA will provide advance written notification of the other air quality–sensitive uses within 500 feet of the overview of the proposed project and its purpose, as w schedule. It also will include the name and contact info for ensuring that reasonable measures are implement The construction contractor will post a publicly visible regarding dust complaints. This person will respond a number of the appropriate air quality agency (FRAQM with the agencies' regulations.
				Liaison respond to complaints within 48 hours.	
<i>Effect AQ-2</i> : Exceedance of Applicable Thresholds for Construction Emissions	<i>AQ-MM-2</i> : Implement Fugitive Dust Control Plan If Unmitigated Emissions Exceed PM10 or PM 2.5 Thresholds	SBFCA's construction contractor	SBFCA's construction contractor	Measures to be implemented ongoing during construction.	The construction contractor will implement all applica by FRAQMD and BCAQMD, including those listed below construction contract. 1) Prior to mobilizing to the job site the construction and BCAOMD
	The Conordo			Dust control plan to be submitted prior to	and BCAQMD. 2) Water active unpaved areas at all construction s frequently as required, with the frequency of water

bordinate with owners and operators of local drainage valuate pre- and post-project drainage needs and design al drainage disruption or alteration in runoff that would antial alteration of runoff patterns or disruption of a local a drainage study will be prepared as part of final project my existing facilities that would be crossed by project on or other modification of these facilities and construction actioning of the system during and after construction. If no fected, but project features would have a substantial new drainage systems will be included in the design of a not result in new or increased localized flooding. Any ainage problems will be installed before the project is te-specific conditions.

e water, SBFCA or its contractors will obtain a Low Threat Central Valley RWQCB if the dewatering is not covered tion General Permit. As part of the permit, the permittee o that the discharge limits identified in the relevant permit

struction of any cutoff walls, the Low Threat Discharge nent or proper disposal of the water prior to discharge. kimum sediment removal and represent the best available ented measures could include the retention of dewatering t is discharged, use of infiltration areas, and other BMPs. Il be subject to approval by SBFCA. SBFCA will verify that been obtained before allowing dewatering activities to ections of the construction area to verify that the water and maintained. SBFCA will notify its contractors will require compliance.

the proposed construction activities to all residences and ne construction site. Notification will include a brief s well as the proposed construction activities and nformation of SBFCA's project manager or a representative nted to address a problem.

ble sign with the telephone number and person to contact d and take corrective action within 48 hours. The phone MD or BCAQMD) also will be visible to ensure compliance

icable and feasible fugitive dust control measures required low. This requirement will be incorporated into the

tion contractor will submit a dust control plan to FRAQMD

sites at least twice daily in dry conditions or more tering based on the type of operation, soil, and wind

Project Effect	Mitigation Measure	Responsibility for Implementation	Responsibility for Monitoring	Monitoring Schedule	Monitoring Details
				construction. Watering to occur at least twice daily or more during dry conditions.	 exposure. 3) Prohibit all grading activities and water all areas miles per hour). 4) Limit onsite vehicles to a speed that prevents vis 5) Cover all trucks hauling dirt, sand, or loose mate 6) Cover active and inactive storage piles where ap 7) Cover or hydroseed unpaved areas that will rem 8) Apply soil stabilizers to active and inactive areas 9) Install wheel washers at the entrance to constru 10) Sweep streets if visible soil material is carried or least once per day unless conditions warrant a mor 11) Install wind fencing and phase grading operation
<i>Effect AQ-2</i> : Exceedance of Applicable Thresholds for Construction Emissions	<i>AQ-MM-3</i> : General Measures to Reduce Emissions	SBFCA's construction contractor	SBFCA's construction contractor	Ongoing during construction.	 No open burning of removed vegetation. Vegetation energy facilities. Develop a traffic plan to minimize traffic flow intinclude advance public notice of routing, use of public shuttle service. Schedule operations affecting traffic traffic lanes. Provide a flag person to guide traffic p Reduce use, trips, and unnecessary idling of heavies used for more than 5 consecutive minutes as required 4) Construction equipment exhaust emissions will vehicles and equipment found to exceed opacity limin hours or remove the equipment from service. Maintain all construction equipment in proper to 6) Locate stationary diesel-powered equipment and sensitive receptors. Use existing power sources (e.g., power lines) or generators, when feasible. Substitute gasoline-powered for diesel-powered 9) Portable engines and portable engine-driven equipment of on-road and off-road motor vehicles, r the state or a local district permit. The owner/oper consultations with ARB or the air districts to determed public and sensitive.
<i>Effect AQ-2</i> : Exceedance of Applicable Thresholds for Construction Emissions	<i>AQ-MM-4</i> : Fleet-Wide Emission Reductions for Large Off-Road Equipment	SBFCA's construction contractor	SBFCA's construction contractor	Equipment inventory to be completed prior to start of construction. Plan submitted to FRAQMD and BCAQMD prior to start of construction.	Prior to mobilizing to the job site, the construction cor (make, model, engine year, horsepower, emission rate equipment (50 horsepower and greater) that will be us construction project. The construction contractor ther pieces of equipment. The construction contractor will provide a plan, for ap the heavy-duty off-road equipment to be used at the p equipment, will achieve a project-wide fleet-average r to the most recent ARB fleet average at time of constru- calculator downloaded from the Sacramento Metropol similar tool approved by FRAQMD and BCAQMD) to p Metropolitan Air Quality Management District 2009). use of late model engines, low-emission diesel produc Moyer Guidelines), or installation of after-treatment e contacted to review and approve the alternative meas

as of disturbed soil under windy conditions (more than 20

- visible dust emissions to extend beyond unpaved roads. Iterials.
- appropriate.
- emain inactive for extended periods.
- as where appropriate.
- ruction sites for all exiting trucks.
- d out from the construction site. Sweeping will be done at ore frequent application.
- tions where appropriate.
- tative material will be chipped or delivered to waste or
- interference from construction activities. The plan may ublic transportation, and satellite parking areas with a ffic for off-peak hours. Minimize obstruction of throughproperly and ensure safety at construction sites.
- eavy equipment. Shut down idling equipment that is not uired by California law.
- ll not exceed 40% opacity or Ringelmann 2.0. Operators of limits will take action to repair the equipment within 72
- tune according to manufacturer's specifications. and haul truck staging areas as far as practical from
- or clean fuel generators rather than conventional diesel
- ed equipment when feasible.
- equipment units used at the project work site, with the , may require ARB Portable Equipment Registration with erator will be responsible for arranging appropriate ermine registration and permitting requirements prior to
- contractor will assemble a comprehensive inventory list ates) of all heavy-duty off-road (portable and mobile) e used an aggregate of 40 or more hours for the nen will apply the following mitigation measure to those
- approval by FRAQMD and BCAQMD, demonstrating that e project sites, including owned, leased, and subcontractor e reduction of 20% for NOX and 45% for DPM, compared truction. SBFCA will use the construction mitigation politan Air Quality Management District web site (or perform the fleet average evaluation (Sacramento). Acceptable options for reducing emissions may include ucts, alternative fuels, engine retrofit technology (Carl t emission control devices. FRAQMD and BCAQMD will be asures.

Project Effect	Mitigation Measure	Responsibility for Implementation	Responsibility for Monitoring	Monitoring Schedule	Monitoring Details
<i>Effect AQ-2</i> : Exceedance of Applicable Thresholds for Construction Emissions	<i>AQ-MM-5</i> : Pay Required Fees to FRAQMD and BCAQMD to Offset NOX Emissions to Net Zero (0) for Emissions in Excess of General Conformity de minimis thresholds or to Quantities below Applicable FRAQMD and BCAQMD CEQA thresholds (where applicable)	SBFCA's construction contractor	SBFCA's construction contractor	Consultation with FRAQMD and BCAQMD prior to receiving grading permits.	After implementing the general tailpipe emission cont construction emissions, SBFCA will pay offsite mitigati emissions. Emissions in excess of the federal de minim not in excess of the de minimis thresholds, but above a to quantities below the numeric thresholds. Prior to issuance of grading permits for the project, SB the best construction information and the appropriate SBFCA will submit calculations to FRAQMD and BCAQI duration of the construction phase of the project. SBFC required fee payment based on the most recent Carl M project plans or the issuance of grading permits, the SI mitigation fee has been paid to FRAQMD and BCAQMD has been approved by FRAQMD, BCAQMD, and SBFCA.
<i>Effect AQ-3</i> : Exceedance of the Federal General Conformity Thresholds during Construction	<i>AQ-MM-1</i> : Provide Advance Notification of Construction Schedule and 24-Hour Hotline to Residents	See <i>Effect AQ-2, AQ-</i> MM-1	See Effect AQ-2, AQ-MM-1	See <i>Effect AQ-2, AQ-</i> MM-1	See <i>Effect AQ-2, AQ-MM-1</i>
<i>Effect AQ-3</i> : Exceedance of the Federal General Conformity Thresholds during Construction	<i>AQ-MM-2</i> : Implement Fugitive Dust Control Plan If Unmitigated Emissions Exceed PM10 or PM 2.5 Thresholds	See <i>Effect AQ-2, AQ-</i> <i>MM-2</i>	See <i>Effect AQ-2,</i> <i>AQ-MM-2</i>	See <i>Effect AQ-2, AQ-</i> MM-2	See <i>Effect AQ-2, AQ-MM-2</i>
<i>Effect AQ-3</i> : Exceedance of the Federal General Conformity Thresholds during Construction	<i>AQ-MM-3</i> : General Measures to Reduce Emissions	See <i>Effect AQ-2, AQ-</i> MM-3	See <i>Effect AQ-2,</i> <i>AQ-MM-3</i>	See <i>Effect AQ-2, AQ-</i> MM-3	See <i>Effect AQ-2, AQ-MM-3</i>
<i>Effect AQ-3</i> : Exceedance of the Federal General Conformity Thresholds during Construction	<i>AQ-MM-4</i> : Fleet-Wide Emission Reductions for Large Off-Road Equipment	See <i>Effect AQ-2, AQ-</i> MM-4	See <i>Effect AQ-2, AQ-</i> MM-4	See Effect AQ-2, AQ-MM-4	See <i>Effect AQ-2, AQ-MM-4</i>
<i>Effect CC-1</i> : Increase in GHG Emissions during Construction Exceeding Threshold	<i>CC-MM-1</i> : Implement Measures to Minimize GHG Emissions during Construction	SBFCA's construction contractor	SBFCA's construction contractor	Ongoing during project construction	 The following measures should be considered to lower 1) Comply with all applicable future GHG regulation construction. 2) Use biodiesel fuel to fuel a substantial portion of 3) Encourage construction workers to carpool. 4) Recycle at least 50% of construction waste and d 5) Purchase at least 10% of the building materials a project site. 6) Use electricity from utility power lines rather tha 7) Purchase GHG offset for project GHG emissions (haul trucks plus commute vehicles) exceeding future applicable at the time of construction. If no GHG sig time of permitting, a presumptive GHG threshold of life of the levee project) should be used to define the threshold matches the lowest industrial project three in California as of the date of this study. All purchase California Climate Action Registry, the Chicago Climate
<i>Effect NOI-1</i> : Exposure of Sensitive Receptors to	<i>NOI-MM-1</i> : Employ Noise- Reducing Construction	SBFCA's construction contractor	SBFCA's construction	Ongoing during construction.	To the extent feasible construction contractors shall co does not exceed applicable noise standards specified b

ntrol measures listed in AQ-MM-4 to reduce daily-average ation fees to FRAQMD and BCAQMD to offset NOX imis thresholds shall be reduced to net zero (0). Emissions e applicable air district CEQA thresholds shall be reduced

SBFCA will consult with FRAQMD and BCAQMD to define ate computational tools to be used for the calculations. AQMD documenting the tons of NOX to be offset over the BFCA will consult with FRAQMD and BCAQMD to define the Moyer program cost value. Prior to the approval of SBFCA will submit proof that the offsite air quality MD, and that the construction air quality mitigation plan CA.

ver GHG emissions during construction. ions at the time of project-level permitting and

of the diesel-powered equipment and vehicles.

l demolition debris.

s and imported soil from sources within 100 miles of the

than fossil fuel, where appropriate.

s (direct emissions plus indirect emissions from on-road ture Federal, state, or local significance thresholds significance thresholds have been formally adopted at the of 7,000 MT per year of CO2e (amortized over the 50-year the offset requirement. The 7,000 MT/year presumptive hreshold that has been proposed by any air quality agency ased offsets must be verifiable under protocols set by the limate Exchange, or comparable auditing programs.

control noise from construction activity such that noise d by the Cities of Yuba City, Marysville, Live Oak, and Biggs;

Project Effect	Mitigation Measure	Responsibility for Implementation	Responsibility for Monitoring	Monitoring Schedule	Monitoring Details
Temporary Construction- Related Noise	Practices		contractor		 Sutter County; and Butte County. Where there is not a Leq at noise-sensitive uses between the hours of 7:00 a 10:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. Measures that can be implem 1) Locate noise-generating equipment as far away a uses. 2) Equip all construction equipment with standard noise and equip all internal combustion engines with manufacturer's standard specifications. 3) Establish equipment and material haul routes the hauling to the hours between 7:00 a.m. and 10:00 p route. 4) Employ electrically powered equipment in place practical, where electric equipment is readily availa work as effectively and efficiently as equipment powers. 6) Provide a noise-reducing enclosure around statio 7) Provide temporary construction noise barriers b proximity to residential and other noise-sensitive u with parked truck trailers, soil piles, or material sto
<i>Effect NOI-2</i> : Exposure of Sensitive Receptors to Temporary Construction- Related Vibration	<i>NOI-MM-2</i> : Employ Vibration-Reducing Construction Practices	SBFCA's construction contractor	SBFCA's construction contractor A qualified acoustical consultant or engineering firm to conduct vibration monitoring. A designated complaint coordinator to respond to noise complaints received during construction.	Ongoing during construction. Inspection of potentially affected buildings to be conducted prior to construction and following completion of construction.	The construction contractor will, to the extent feasible driving equipment and occupied or vibration-sensitive minimum distance of 50 feet will be maintained betwe vibration-sensitive buildings or structures. For cases w will be notified in writing prior to construction activity buildings. SBFCA will inspect the potentially affected b cracks in paint, plaster, concrete, and other building el consultant or engineering firm to conduct vibration me the actual vibration levels during construction. Follow second inspection to inventory changes in existing cra- result of construction-induced vibration. If new damage the damaged repaired or will reimburse the property of In addition, if construction activity is required within 1 buildings, a designated complaint coordinator will be n complaints received during such periods of construction documents complaints received, actions taken, and the
<i>Effect VEG-1</i> : Disturbance or Removal of Riparian Trees	<i>VEG-MM-1</i> : Compensate for the Loss of Woody Riparian Trees	SBFCA	SBFCA	Mitigation will be implement- ted during Fall 2013. Riparian tree restoration areas will be monitored annually during years 1 through five following completion of mitigation project implementa- tion	For direct effects on woody riparian trees that cannot riparian habitat to ensure no net loss of habitat function site-specific information and determined through coor agencies during the permitting process. Compensation 2:1 = 2 acres restored/created/enhanced or credits put SBFCA is preparing a mitigation and monitoring plan. I habitat that is a combination of permittee-responsible economy of scale and higher quality habitat due to larg mitigation will occur, monitoring and maintenance act final mitigation and monitoring plan will be approved removal of any riparian habitat.
<i>Effect VEG-1</i> : Disturbance or	VEG-MM-2: Install	SBFCA or its	SBFCA or its	Exclusion fencing	To clearly demarcate the project boundary and preven

a specific noise standard noise will be limited to 60 dBA-0 a.m. and 10:00 p.m. or 45 dBA-Leq between the hours of emented to control noise include the following. y as practical from residences and other noise-sensitive

rd noise attenuation devices such as mufflers to reduce with intake and exhaust silencers in accordance with

that avoid residential uses to the extent practical, limit) p.m., and specify maximum acceptable speeds for each

ce of equipment with internal combustion engines where ilable, and where this equipment accomplishes project owered with internal combustion engines.

ch as bells, whistles, and horns to those situations that are

tionary noise-generating equipment.

s between active construction sites that are in close e uses. Temporary barriers can be constructed or created stock piles.

ble, maintain a minimum distance of 150 feet between pile ive buildings or structures. To the extent feasible, a ween other construction equipment and occupied or s where this is not feasible, residents or property owners rity that construction may occur in close proximity to their d buildings prior to construction to inventory existing elements. SBFCA will retain a qualified acoustical monitoring at potentially affected buildings to measure owing completion of construction, SBFCA will conduct a racks and new cracks or damage, if any, that occurred as a hage is found, then SBFCA will promptly arrange to have y owner for appropriate repairs.

n 100 feet of residences or other vibration-sensitive e responsible for handling and responding to any ction. A reporting program will be required that the effectiveness of these actions in resolving disputes.

ot be avoided, SBFCA will compensate for the loss of tions and values. Compensation ratios will be based on oordination with the appropriate state and Federal on will be provided based on the ratio determined (e.g., purchased for every 1 acre removed).

n. Mitigation will consist of off-site, in-kind replacement ole mitigation and mitigation bank credits to allow for arge patch size. The plan identifies how and where activities, success criteria, and funding assurances. The ed by the appropriate regulatory agencies prior to the

ent special-status species from moving through the

Project Effect	Mitigation Measure	Responsibility for Implementation	Responsibility for Monitoring	Monitoring Schedule	Monitoring Details
Removal of Riparian Trees	Exclusion Fencing and/or K-rails along the Perimeter of the Construction Work Area and Implement General Measures to Avoid Effects on Sensitive Natural Communities and Special- Status Species	construction contractor	construction contractor A qualified biologist hired by SBFCA	installed one week prior to start of construction activities and removed after construction of project phase is complete.	project area, SBFCA or its contractors will install tempe (including access roads, staging areas, etc.) 1 week prid ensure that the temporary fencing is continuously main and that construction equipment is confined to the des areas and access thereto. The fence will be made of sui status wildlife with potential to occur in the project are buried to a depth of at least 4 inches to ensure that the a DFG-approved biological monitor will be onsite durin wildlife outside the work area boundaries. Federally an authorized by the USFWS and DFG. The exclusion fenci project phase is completed. Exclusionary construction around the perimeter of sensitive vegetation communi throughout the period during which such effects occur resource and warn that no effect on the community is a least 20 feet between the resource and construction ac good condition throughout the construction period.
<i>Effect VEG-1</i> : Disturbance or Removal of Riparian Trees	<i>VEG-MM-3</i> : Conduct Mandatory Contractor/Worker Awareness Training for Construction Personnel	A qualified biologist hired by SBFCA	A qualified biologist hired by SBFCA	Training will occur for construction personnel when they are first brought on the job during the construction period.	A qualified biologist will conduct mandatory contracto personnel. The awareness training will be provided to avoid effects on sensitive biological resources (e.g., rip- wildlife habitat) and the penalties for not complying w construction personnel about the life history of special importance of maintaining habitat, and the terms and o Proof of this instruction will be submitted to USFWS, D The training also will cover the restrictions and guideli to reduce or avoid effects on special-status species dur responsible for ensuring that crew members adhere to
<i>Effect VEG-1</i> : Disturbance or Removal of Riparian Trees	<i>VEG-MM-4</i> : Retain a Biological Monitor	SBFCA or its construction contractor	A qualified biologist hired by SBFCA	Ongoing during the construction period	SBFCA or its contractors will retain qualified biologists biological resources (e.g., special-status species, riparia will assist the construction crew, as needed, to comply guidelines. In addition, the biologists will be responsible the exclusion fencing adjacent to sensitive biological re-
<i>Effect VEG-2</i> : Loss of Wetlands and Other Waters of the United States as a Result of Project Construction	<i>VEG-MM-2</i> : Install Exclusion Fencing and/or K-rails along the Perimeter of the Construction Work Area and Implement General Measures to Avoid Effects on Sensitive Natural Communities and Special- Status Species	See <i>Effect VEG-1, VEG-</i> <i>MM-2</i>	See <i>Effect VEG-1,</i> <i>VEG-MM-2</i>	See <i>Effect VEG-1, VEG-</i> <i>MM-2</i>	See Effect VEG-1, VEG-MM-2
<i>Effect VEG-2</i> : Loss of Wetlands and Other Waters of the United States as a Result of Project Construction	<i>VEG-MM-3</i> : Conduct Mandatory Contractor/Worker Awareness Training for Construction Personnel	See <i>Effect VEG-1, VEG-</i> MM-3	See <i>Effect VEG-1,</i> <i>VEG-MM-3</i>	See <i>Effect VEG-1, VEG-</i> <i>MM-3</i>	See Effect VEG-1, VEG-MM-3
<i>Effect VEG-2</i> : Loss of Wetlands and Other Waters of the United States as a Result of Project Construction	<i>VEG-MM-4</i> : Retain a Biological Monitor	See <i>Effect VEG-1, VEG-</i> MM-4	See <i>Effect VEG-1,</i> VEG-MM-4	See <i>Effect VEG-1, VEG-</i> <i>MM-4</i>	See Effect VEG-1, VEG-MM-4
Effect VEG-2: Loss of Wetlands	VEG-MM-5: Compensate for	SBFCA	SBFCA	Mitigation will be	Compensation for the loss of wetlands will include rest

apporary exclusion fencing along the project boundaries rior to the start of construction activities. SBFCA will aintained until all construction activities are completed esignated work areas, including any offsite mitigation uitable material that will not allow any of the specialarea to pass through or over, and the bottom will be hese species cannot crawl under the fence. A USFWS- and ring installation of the fencing to survey and relocate and state-listed species will be relocated only if nong will be removed only after construction of the on fencing and explanatory signage will also be placed unities that could be affected by construction activities ur. Signage will explain the nature of the sensitive s allowed. The fencing will include a buffer zone of at activities. All exclusionary fencing will be maintained in

tor/worker awareness training for construction to all construction personnel to brief them on the need to iparian habitat, special-status species, special-status with permit requirements. The biologist will inform all ial-status species with potential for occurrence onsite, the d conditions of the BO or other authorizing document. , DFG, or other overseeing agency, as appropriate. elines that must be followed by all construction personnel uring project construction. The crew foreman will be to the guidelines and restrictions.

sts to monitor construction activities adjacent to sensitive rian habitat, wetlands, elderberry shrubs). The biologists ly with all project implementation restrictions and ible for ensuring that SBFCA or its contractors maintain resources.

estoring or enhancing in-kind wetland habitat at a

Project Effect	Mitigation Measure	Responsibility for Implementation	Responsibility for Monitoring	Monitoring Schedule	Monitoring Details
and Other Waters of the United States as a Result of Project Construction	the Loss of Wetlands and Other Waters			implement- ted during Fall 2013. Monitoring activities will begin immediately following.	mitigation ratio that will be developed in coordination habitat functions and values. SBFCA is preparing a mit off-site, in-kind replacement habitat that is a combinat bank credits to allow for economy of scale and higher identifies how and where mitigation will occur, monit funding assurances. The final mitigation and monitori agencies before the loss of any wetlands or waters.
<i>Effect VEG-3</i> : Disturbance or Removal of Protected Trees as a Result of Project Construction	<i>VEG-MM-2</i> : Install Exclusion Fencing and/or K-rails along the Perimeter of the Construction Work Area and Implement General Measures to Avoid Effects on Sensitive Natural Communities and Special- Status Species	See <i>Effect VEG-1, VEG-</i> <i>MM-2</i>	See <i>Effect VEG-1,</i> <i>VEG-MM-2</i>	See <i>Effect VEG-1, VEG-</i> <i>MM-2</i>	See <i>Effect VEG-1, VEG-MM-2</i>
<i>Effect VEG-3</i> : Disturbance or Removal of Protected Trees as a Result of Project Construction	<i>VEG-MM-3</i> : Conduct Mandatory Contractor/Worker Awareness Training for Construction Personnel	See <i>Effect VEG-1, VEG-</i> MM-3	See <i>Effect VEG-1,</i> VEG-MM-3	See <i>Effect VEG-1, VEG-</i> <i>MM-3</i>	See <i>Effect VEG-1, VEG-MM-3</i>
<i>Effect VEG-3</i> : Disturbance or Removal of Protected Trees as a Result of Project Construction	<i>VEG-MM-4</i> : Retain a Biological Monitor	See <i>Effect VEG-1, VEG-</i> MM-4	See <i>Effect VEG-1,</i> <i>VEG-MM-4</i>	See <i>Effect VEG-1, VEG-</i> <i>MM-4</i>	See Effect VEG-1, VEG-MM-4
<i>Effect VEG-3</i> : Disturbance or Removal of Protected Trees as a Result of Project Construction	<i>VEG-MM-6</i> : Compensate for Loss of Protected Trees	SBFCA	SBFCA	Mitigation will be implement- ted during Fall 2013. Riparian tree restoration areas will be monitored annually during years 1 through five following completion of mitigation project implementa- tion	For impacts on protected trees that fall under the juris tree permit for the removal of any protected trees dur removed with trees at or near the location of the effect (e.g., tree administrator, parks and recreation departm that die within 3 years of the initial planting. Replacement trees are required at a ratio of 1:1 (i.e., 1 diameter of tree removed). Effects on trees also may b Mitigation will be subject to approval by the appropria replacement species, location, health and vigor, habita compensation for tree loss. For impacts on protected trees in oak woodlands unde implement one of the four CEQA oak woodlands mitiga projected trees and the planting of oaks will not consti
<i>Effect VEG-4</i> : Potential Loss of Special-Status Plant Populations Caused by Habitat Loss Resulting from Project Construction	<i>VEG-MM-2</i> : Install Exclusion Fencing and/or K-rails along the Perimeter of the Construction Work Area and Implement General Measures to Avoid Effects on Sensitive Natural Communities and Special- Status Species	See <i>Effect VEG-1, VEG-</i> <i>MM-2</i>	See <i>Effect VEG-1,</i> <i>VEG-MM-2</i>	See <i>Effect VEG-1, VEG-</i> <i>MM-2</i>	See Effect VEG-1, VEG-MM-2
<i>Effect VEG-4</i> : Potential Loss of Special-Status Plant Populations Caused by Habitat	<i>VEG-MM-3</i> : Conduct Mandatory Contractor/Worker	See Effect VEG-1, VEG- MM-3	See <i>Effect VEG-1,</i> VEG-MM-3	See <i>Effect VEG-1, VEG-</i> MM-3	See Effect VEG-1, VEG-MM-3

on with regulatory agencies to ensure no net loss of nitigation and monitoring plan Mitigation will consist of nation of permittee-responsible mitigation and mitigation er quality habitat due to large patch size. The plan nitoring and maintenance activities, success criteria, and oring plan will be approved by the appropriate regulatory

risdiction of a local tree ordinance, SBFCA will apply for a uring construction. SBFCA will replace trees that must be ect or another location approved by the appropriate party tment). SBFCA also will replace any replacement trees

, 1-inch diameter of replacement tree for every 1-inch / be mitigated through payment of an in-lieu fee. riate party and will take into account species affected, itat value, and other factors to determine fair

der a county's jurisdiction, the project applicant will igation alternatives to compensate for the loss of stitute more than 50% of the required mitigation.

Project Effect	Mitigation Measure	Responsibility for Implementation	Responsibility for Monitoring	Monitoring Schedule	Monitoring Details
Loss Resulting from Project Construction	Awareness Training for Construction Personnel				
<i>Effect VEG-4</i> : Potential Loss of Special-Status Plant Populations Caused by Habitat Loss Resulting from Project Construction	<i>VEG-MM-4</i> : Retain a Biological Monitor	See <i>Effect VEG-1, VEG-</i> MM-4	See <i>Effect VEG-1,</i> VEG-MM-4	See <i>Effect VEG-1, VEG-</i> MM-4	See Effect VEG-1, VEG-MM-4
<i>Effect VEG-4</i> : Potential Loss of Special-Status Plant Populations Caused by Habitat Loss Resulting from Project Construction	<i>VEG-MM-7</i> : Retain Qualified Botanists to Conduct Floristic Surveys for Special-Status Plants during Appropriate Identification Periods	SBFCA	A qualified botanist hired by SBFCA	Surveys will be conducted prior to project construction and during reported blooming or other periods when special- status plants are evident and identifiable.	SBFCA will retain qualified botanists to survey the biol status plants before project implementation. The botan botanical survey guidelines (California Department of identified to the level necessary to determine whether with unusual or significant range extensions. The guid special-status plants that could occur in the area are ev blooming period. To account for different special statu field surveys may be required in spring and summer. If any special-status plants are identified during the su of the plants, document the location and extent of the s Form, and submit the completed Survey Form to the C required will be based on the results of these surveys.
<i>Effect VEG-4</i> : Potential Loss of Special-Status Plant Populations Caused by Habitat Loss Resulting from Project Construction	<i>VEG-MM-8</i> : Avoid or Compensate for Substantial Effects on Special-Status Plants	SBFCA	SBFCA	During pre- construction survey timeframe.	If one or more special-status plants are identified in th will redesign or modify proposed project components special-status plants wherever feasible. If special-statu implementation of Mitigation Measures <i>VEG-MM-2</i> (ba <i>VEG-MM-4</i> (biological monitor) would avoid significan If complete avoidance of special-status plants is not fea would be compensated for by offsite preservation at a Suitable habitat for affected special status–plant specie and managed in perpetuity. Detailed information will I of the preservation area, the feasibility of protecting an parties. Other pertinent information also will be provide the resource agencies.
<i>Effect WILD-1</i> : Potential Mortality of or Loss of Habitat for Antioch Dunes Anthicid, Sacramento Anthicid, and Sacramento Valley Tiger Beetle	<i>WILD-MM-1</i> : Fence and Avoid Habitat for Antioch Dunes Anthicid, Sacramento Anthicid, and Sacramento Valley Tiger Beetle and Implement Protective Measures	SBFCA or its construction contractor	A qualified biologist hired by SBFCA	During the construction period.	The area of potentially suitable habitat will be identified construction. No foot or vehicle traffic will be allowed construction is complete. If avoidance is not possible, of cannot be avoided, a qualified entomologist will survey three beetle species to determine their presence. If rec wildlife agencies, the beetles may be relocated to suita habitat to be affected.
<i>Effect WILD-2</i> : Potential Mortality or Disturbance of VELB and its Habitat (Elderberry Shrubs)	<i>WILD-MM-2</i> : Conduct VELB Surveys Prior to Elderberry Shrub Transplantation	SBFCA or its construction contractor	A qualified biologist hired by SBFCA	During the construction period.	A qualified biologist will survey elderberry shrubs to be conducted in accordance with the Conservation Guided The biologist will survey the area surrounding the shru additional elderberry shrubs that need to be removed. diameter of each stem, and examining elderberry shru
<i>Effect WILD-2</i> : Potential Mortality or Disturbance of VELB and its Habitat (Elderberry Shrubs)	<i>WILD-MM-3</i> : Implement Measures to Protect VELB and its Habitat	SBFCA or its construction contractor	A qualified biologist with VELB/elderberry experience hired by SBFCA	Buffer area fences around elderberry shrubs will be inspected weekly by a qualified biologist during ground-disturbing activities and monthly after ground-disturbing	Elderberry shrubs/clusters within 100 feet of the cons during construction. A qualified biologist will mark the during construction. Orange construction barrier fenci areas. The buffer area distances will be proposed by th activities will be permitted in the buffer zone other tha will be posted along fencing for the duration of constru- dripline is within 10 feet of the work area, k-rails will b

iological study area to document the presence of specialtanists will conduct a floristic survey that follows the DFG of Fish and Game 2009). All plant species observed will be ther they qualify as special-status plants or are plant species idelines also require that field surveys be conducted when evident and identifiable, generally during the reported atus-plant identification periods, one or more series of

surveys, the botanist will photograph and map locations e special status–plant population on a CNDDB Survey e CNDDB. The amount of compensatory mitigation vs.

the study area during preconstruction surveys, SBFCA ts of the project to avoid indirect or direct effects on atus plants can be avoided by redesigning projects, barrier fencing), *VEG-MM-3* (awareness training), and ant effects on special-status plants.

feasible, the effects of the project on special-status plants to a ratio to be negotiated with the resource agencies. Excises will be purchased in a conservation area, preserved, Il be provided to the agencies on the location and quality and managing the area in perpetuity, and the responsible vided, to be determined through future coordination with

ified on construction plans and fenced prior to the start of ed in the fenced area. The fencing will be removed when e, or new areas of potential habitat are identified and vey the suitable habitat areas for the presence of these recommended by the entomologist and supported by the itable habitat prior to the start of construction in the

o be transplanted prior to transplantation. Surveys will be delines for the VELB (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1999b). hrub to be transplanted to ensure that there aren't ed. Surveys will consist of counting and measuring the rubs for the presence of VELB exit holes.

Instruction area that will not be removed will be protected the elderberry shrubs and clusters that will be protected noting will be placed at the edge of the respective buffer the biologist and approved by USFWS. No construction than those activities necessary to erect the fencing. Signs truction. In some cases, where the elderberry shrub ll be placed at the shrub's dripline to provide additional

Project Effect	Mitigation Measure	Responsibility for Implementation	Responsibility for Monitoring	Monitoring Schedule	Monitoring Details
				activities until project construction is complete or until the fences are removed.	protection to the shrub from construction equipment a shrubs and k-rails at shrub driplines will be installed a furnished, constructed, maintained, and later removed provisions, and as directed by the project engineer. Te commercial-quality woven polypropylene, orange in c be inspected weekly by a qualified biologist during gro disturbing activities until project construction is comp biological monitor and the resident engineer. The biolo contractor maintains the buffer area fences around eld SBFCA will ensure that the project site will be watered airborne and accumulating on elderberry shrubs in an Biological inspection reports will be provided to the pro-
<i>Effect WILD-2</i> : Potential Mortality or Disturbance of VELB and its Habitat (Elderberry Shrubs)	<i>WILD-MM-4</i> : Compensate for Effects on VELB and its Habitat	SBFCA	A qualified biologist with VELB/elderberry experience hired by SBFCA	Transplanting will take place before construction begins. Elderberry shrubs within the project construction area that cannot be avoided will be transplanted during the plant's dormant phase (November through the first 2 weeks of February).	Before construction begins, SBFCA will compensate for shrubs that cannot be avoided to a USFWS-approved c Elderberry seedlings or cuttings and associated native
<i>Effect WILD-3</i> : Potential Mortality or Disturbance of Western Pond Turtle	<i>WILD-MM-5</i> : Conduct Preconstruction Surveys for Western Pond Turtle and Monitor Construction Activities if Turtles are Observed	SBFCA or its construction contractor	A qualified biologist familiar with turtles hired by SBFCA	A biologist will conduct surveys for western pond turtle in one before and within 24 hours of beginning work in suitable aquatic habitat. Surveys will be timed to coincide with the time of day and year when turtles are most likely to be active (during the cooler part of the day between 8 a.m. and 12 p.m. during spring and summer).	A qualified biologist will conduct surveys for western p work in suitable aquatic habitat. Prior to conducting th for turtle basking (logs, rocks, brush thickets) and dete should include a 30-minute wait time after arriving on areas. The survey should consist of a minimum 15-mir observed. If western pond turtles are observed during during construction activities in the aquatic habitat wh remove, if possible, any entrapped turtle. The biologica overwintering areas in proximity to suitable aquatic habitat turtles. The biological monitor's DFG scientific collection
<i>Effect WILD-4</i> : Potential Disturbance or Mortality of and Loss of Suitable Habitat for Giant Garter Snake	<i>WILD-MM-6</i> : Avoid and Minimize Construction Effects on Giant Garter Snake	SBFCA or its construction contractor	A qualified biologist familiar with giant garter snakes hired by SBFCA	During the construction period of May 1 through October 1 (giant garter snake active period) to the extent feasible.	To the maximum extent possible, all construction active within 200 feet of aquatic habitat will be conducted du October 1).
<i>Effect WILD-4</i> : Potential Disturbance or Mortality of and Loss of Suitable Habitat for Giant Garter Snake	<i>WILD-MM-7</i> : Avoid and Minimize Potential Maintenance Impacts on Suitable Habitat for Giant Garter Snake and Western Burrowing Owl	SBFCA or its construction contractor	SBFCA or its construction contractor	Plan to be developed prior to construction. Burning and vegetation mowing to take place from May 1–October 1.	 SBFCA will ensure, through an operations and mainter that impact suitable habitat along the levee are minimized include measures that avoid and reduce potential injust burrowing owl, and minimize the loss of burrows that coordination with USFWS and DFG and may include so 1) Minimize vegetation control by burning and cond 1–October 1) of giant garter snake. 2) No maintenance activities (i.e., mowing, rodentice)

At and activities. Temporary fences around the elderberry d as the first order of work. Temporary fences will be red, as shown on the plans, as specified in the special Temporary fencing will be 4 feet (1.2 meters) high, a color. Buffer area fences around elderberry shrubs will ground-disturbing activities and monthly after groundnplete or until the fences are removed, as approved by the ological monitor will be responsible for ensuring that the elderberry shrubs throughout construction.

ed down as necessary to prevent dust from becoming and adjacent to the project site.

project lead and USFWS.

for direct effects on elderberry shrubs by transplanting d conservation area (i.e., the Star Bend Mitigation Area). ve species will also be planted in the conservation area.

n pond turtle one week and 24 hours prior to beginning the surveys, the biologist should locate the microhabitats etermine a location to quietly observe turtles. Each survey on site to allow startled turtles to return to open basking ninute observation time per area where turtles could be ng either survey, a biological monitor should be present where the turtle was observed and will capture and gical monitor also will be mindful of suitable nesting and habitat and periodically inspect these areas for nests and cting permit will include capture and relocation of turtles.

tivity in giant garter snake aquatic and upland habitat during the snake's active period (between May 1 and

tenance plan or other plan, that maintenance activities mized to the maximum extent feasible. The plan should jury and mortality of giant garter snake and western at these species utilize. The plan should be developed in some of the following measures.

onduct vegetation mowing during the active period (May

nticide use, burrow filling or removal) should occur within

Project Effect	Mitigation Measure	Responsibility for Implementation	Responsibility for Monitoring	Monitoring Schedule	Monitoring Details
				Grouting of burrows to take place during May 1– October 1.	 200 feet of toe drains at the base of the levee, as the snake and thus have a higher level of sensitivity. 3) Avoid grouting of burrows. If grouting must occu (May 1-October 1). A qualified biologist will examine western burrowing owl and conduct early morning western burrowing owl. Once the burrow is deterministall exclusion fencing with a one-way exit so that back in. The exclusion fencing and one-way exit should be a straight or the planning and conducting maintenances of the straight or their sign are observed. 6) Coordinate compensation for permanent loss of burrowing owl through regional habitat conservation.
<i>Effect WILD-4</i> : Potential Disturbance or Mortality of and Loss of Suitable Habitat for Giant Garter Snake	<i>WILD-MM-8</i> : Compensate for Permanent Loss of Suitable Giant Garter Snake Habitat	SBFCA	SBFCA	Before construction activities are initiated.	Compensation for permanent effects on giant garter si in the Programmatic Consultation. SBFCA will comper and upland habitat for giant garter snake by purchasin approved conservation bank. The habitat at the conse garter snake. Prior to the start of construction (exclud this reach), SBFCA will provide funding to the conserv credits. The transaction will take place through a purc within 30 days, and before any construction activities with copies of the credit sale agreement and fund tran
<i>Effect WILD-4</i> : Potential Disturbance or Mortality of and Loss of Suitable Habitat for Giant Garter Snake	<i>WILD-MM-9:</i> Restore Temporarily Disturbed Aquatic and Upland Habitat to Pre-Project Conditions	SBFCA	SBFCA	Upon completion of construction.	Upon completion of the construction, SBFCA will restor giant garter snake to pre-project conditions. Restorati detailed in a mitigation and monitoring plan that will to the start of construction. If additional giant garter s PG&E facility relocations, consultation with USFWS we affected habitat to pre-project conditions.
<i>Effect WILD-5</i> : Potential Loss or Disturbance of Nesting Swainson's Hawk and Loss of Nesting and Foraging Habitat	<i>WILD-MM-10</i> : Conduct Vegetation Removal Activities outside the Breeding Season for Birds	SBFCA or its construction contractor	SBFCA or its construction contractor	During the construction period of September 1 through January 31 to the extent feasible.	To the maximum extent feasible, SBFCA will schedule removal/trimming during the nonbreeding season of cannot be removed in accordance with this timeframe additional protective measures will be implemented (remove trees with active Swainson's hawk or other ac protected, removal of trees with active nests and active prohibited. Removal of vegetation for relocation of PG&E facilities birds (September 1–January 31) to the maximum exter surveys for nesting birds and additional protective me Measure WILD-MM-13.
<i>Effect WILD-5</i> : Potential Loss or Disturbance of Nesting Swainson's Hawk and Loss of Nesting and Foraging Habitat	<i>WILD-MM-11</i> : Conduct Focused Surveys for Nesting Swainson's Hawk prior to Construction and Implement Protective Measures during Construction	SBFCA or its construction contractor	A qualified biologist (with raptor behavior experience)	Surveys to be conducted between February and July the spring prior to construction. Daily monitoring to be conducted during construction activities occurring during the breeding season to watch for any signs of stress.	During the spring prior to construction, focused surve area and in a buffer area up to 0.5 mile around the pro- based on the type of habitat present and line of sight f breeding habitat. Buffer areas containing unsuitable n the project area will not be surveyed. Biologists will for adjacent to the project area that have the highest likel determine the status of nesting will be dependent on t hawks. If needed, biologists will coordinate with DFG would generally be conducted between February and DFG.

hese areas are more likely to be used by giant garter

ccur, conduct during the active period of giant garter snake nine the burrow to be grouted for evidence of use by ng surveys of the burrow to confirm it is not occupied by rmined to be unoccupied by western burrowing owl, hat any giant garter snakes can exit the burrow and not go should be left in place for 24 hours before grouting.

e levee and requirements for maintenance personnel to ce activities.

vl and their sign and to avoid removing burrows in areas

of burrow habitat for giant garter snake and western ation plans/ natural community conservation plans.

snake aquatic and upland habitat will follow the guidance ensate for the permanent loss of suitable aquatic habitat sing preservation credits equal at a USFWS and DFG servation bank will be protected in perpetuity for giant uding Reach 13, as there is no giant garter snake habitat in rvation bank for giant garter snake habitat preservation urchase and sale agreement, and funds must be transferred es are initiated. SBFCA will provide the USFWS and CDFW ansfer.

store temporarily affected suitable and upland habitat for ation of aquatic vegetation and annual grassland will be Il be reviewed and approved by USACE and USFWS prior snake habitat will be temporarily removed because of would be reinitiated and PG&E will restore temporarily

le vegetation (trees, shrubs, ruderal areas) of birds (September 1–January 31). If vegetation removal ne, preconstruction surveys for nesting birds and I (see Mitigation Measure WILD-MM-13). SBFCA will not active raptor nests. Because white-tailed kite is fully civities that may result in loss of white-tailed kites are

ies will be conducted during the nonbreeding season of ctent feasible. When this is not possible, preconstruction measures will be implemented as described in Mitigation

veys for Swainson's hawk will be conducted in the project project area. The size of the buffer area surveyed will be at from the construction area to surrounding suitable e nesting habitat and/or with an obstructed line of sight to l focus on suitable nest trees within and immediately kelihood for disturbance. The number of surveys needed to n the conditions during the surveys and behavior of the G regarding the extent and number of surveys. Surveys and July. Survey methods and results will be reported to

Project Effect	Mitigation Measure	Responsibility for Implementation	Responsibility for Monitoring	Monitoring Schedule	Monitoring Details
					If active nests are found, SBFCA will maintain a 0.25-m through consultation with DFG, between construction determined that young have fledged. In addition, a qua be present on site (daily) during construction activitie signs of stress. If nesting birds are observed to exhibit stress, construction activities will cease until the quali young have fledged.
<i>Effect WILD-5</i> : Potential Loss or Disturbance of Nesting Swainson's Hawk and Loss of Nesting and Foraging Habitat	<i>WILD-MM-12</i> : Compensate for the Permanent Loss of Foraging Habitat for Swainson's Hawk	SBFCA or its construction contractor	SBFCA or its construction contractor	After conducting pre- construction surveys for Swainson's hawks.	Permanent removal of suitable foraging habitat for Sw habitat management lands as described in DFG's Staff Hawks in the Central Valley of California (California De off-site management lands to be provided will depend nearest active nest site. The mitigation ratio varies fro acceptable to DFG, SBFCA also may be able to purchase habitat from a DFG-approved mitigation or conservation collected during Swainson's hawk surveys conducted of the appropriate mitigation ratio. If no active nests are conducted, and DFG will be contacted to determine the
<i>Effect WILD-6</i> : Potential Mortality or Disturbance of Nesting Special-Status and Non–Special Status Birds and Removal of Suitable Breeding Habitat	<i>WILD-MM-10</i> : Conduct Vegetation Removal Activities outside the Breeding Season for Birds	See Effect WILD-5, WILD-MM-10	See <i>Effect WILD-5,</i> WILD-MM-10	See <i>Effect WILD-5, WILD- MM-10</i>	See <i>Effect WILD-5, WILD-MM-10</i>
<i>Effect WILD-6</i> : Potential Mortality or Disturbance of Nesting Special-Status and Non–Special Status Birds and Removal of Suitable Breeding Habitat	<i>WILD-MM-13</i> : Conduct Nesting Surveys for Special- Status and Non–Special Status Birds and Implement Protective Measures during Construction	SBFCA or its construction contractor	A quailed biologist hired by SBFCA	Surveys will be conducted prior to the start of construction and between February 1 and June 1.	SBFCA will retain qualified wildlife biologists with knows surveys before the start of construction. A minimum of February 1 and June 1. Surveys will include a search of areas, field crops) in the construction area. In addition surveyed for nesting raptors, and a 50-foot buffer area nests are detected during these surveys, no additional If active nests are found in the survey area, no-disturb avoid disturbance or destruction of the nest site until the september 1) or until a qualified wildlife biologist detected the project area (this date varies by species). The extent coordination with USFWS and DFG and will depend on sight between the nest and the disturbance, ambient letter protective measures may be required for state-lite or bank swallow) to ensure that mortality does not occur for these species. Because some bird species are difficult to detect (i.e., v avoiding work adjacent to suitable habitat during the even if active nests are not found.
<i>Effect WILD-7</i> : Potential Loss or Disturbance of Western Burrowing Owl and Loss of Nesting and Foraging Habitat	<i>WILD-MM-7</i> : Avoid and Minimize Potential Maintenance Impacts on Suitable Habitat for Giant Garter Snake and Western Burrowing Owl	See Effect WILD-4, WILD-MM-7	See Effect WILD-4, WILD-MM-7	See <i>Effect WILD-4, WILD- MM-7</i>	See <i>Effect WILD-4, WILD-MM-7</i>
<i>Effect WILD-7</i> : Potential Loss or Disturbance of Western Burrowing Owl and Loss of	<i>WILD-MM-10</i> : Conduct Vegetation Removal Activities outside the	See Effect WILD-5, WILD-MM-10	See Effect WILD-5, WILD-MM-10	See <i>Effect WILD-5, WILD-</i> <i>MM-10</i>	See Effect WILD-5, WILD-MM-10

-mile buffer or other distance determined appropriate on activities and the active nest(s) until it has been qualified biologist (experienced with raptor behavior) will ties occurring during the breeding season to watch for any bit agitated behavior indicating that they are experiencing alified biologist, in consultation with DFG, determines that

Swainson's hawks will be mitigated by providing offsite ff Report Regarding Mitigation for Impacts to Swainson's Department of Fish and Game 1994). The final acreage of nd on the distance between the project area and the from 0.5:1 to 1:1 of habitat preserved for each acre lost. If ase mitigation credits for Swainson's hawk foraging ation bank. Information on the nearest nest will be d under Mitigation Measure WILD-MM-11 to determine re found during this survey, a search of the CNDDB will be the nearest active nest.

nowledge of the relevant species to conduct nesting of three separate surveys will be conducted between of all suitable nesting habitat (trees, shrubs, ruderal on, a 500-foot area around the project area will be rea will be surveyed for other nesting birds. If no active hal measures are required.

rbance buffers will be established around the nest sites to il the end of the breeding season (approximately etermines that the young have fledged and moved out of tent of the buffers will be determined by the biologists in on the level of noise or construction disturbance, line-oft levels of noise and other disturbances, and other istances may vary between species. Larger buffer areas or e-listed species (bald eagle, western yellow-billed cuckoo, occur if SBFCA does not obtain an incidental take permit

., western yellow-billed cuckoo), measures such as e early portion of the breeding season may be required,

Project Effect	Mitigation Measure	Responsibility for Implementation	Responsibility for Monitoring	Monitoring Schedule	Monitoring Details
Nesting and Foraging Habitat	Breeding Season for Birds				
or Disturbance of Western Su Burrowing Owl and Loss of Bu Nesting and Foraging Habitat Co Im	<i>WILD-MM-14</i> : Conduct Surveys for Western Burrowing Owl prior to Construction and Implement Protective Measures if Found	SBFCA or its construction contractor	A qualified biologist hired by SBFCA	Conduct surveys between February 15 and April 15, and April 15 and July 15, and September 1 to January 31.	DFG recommends western burrowing owl surveys wh 500 feet of a project site. Breeding season and non-bre with DFG's 2012 Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitig and Game 2012c). Breeding season will have four surv and 2) a minimum of three surveys at least three weel survey after June 15. Non-breeding season surveys wi non-breeding season (September 1 to January 31).
					A survey report will be prepared at the conclusion of s but is not limited to, a description of the proposed pro dates, and a description of disturbances or other activ 2012 Staff Report).
					 If burrowing owls are found during any of the surveys below will be used. Because ample lead time is necess should begin as soon as possible after presence of bur the surveys described above, an initial take avoidance than 14 days prior to and 24 hours before initiating gr biologist to conduct preconstruction surveys for active. Report. Burrowing owls may re-colonize a site after or surveys will be conducted if a few days pass between further mitigation is required. If burrowing owls are for monitoring, and reporting of such measures as descrift summarized below. 1) Do not disturb occupied burrows during the bre 2) Establish a 250-foot-wide buffer where no const qualified biologist determines through non-invasiv begun or that juveniles are foraging independently 3) Avoid affecting burrows occupied during the non-resident burrowing owls. 4) Avoid destruction of unoccupied burrows and pl collapsed. 5) Develop and use a worker awareness program to commitment to burrowing owl protection. 6) Conduct additional take avoidance surveys as def 7) Conduct ongoing surveillance of the project site 8) Minimize effects on burrowing owls and their har measures during project activities. Recommended site-specific buffers and visual screens will be determined and the project activities.
<i>Effect WILD-7</i> : Potential Loss or Disturbance of Western Burrowing Owl and Loss of Nesting and Foraging Habitat	<i>WILD-MM-15</i> : Compensate for the Loss of Occupied Western Burrowing Owl Habitat	SBFCA or its construction contractor	SBFCA or its contractor	Best practices to be develop, as needed, after pre-construction surveys are conducted for western burrowing owl.	If western burrowing owls have been documented to current scientific literature supports the conclusion th mitigation is required. The current scientific literature used, SBFCA may consult with the DFG to develop effe
<i>Effect WILD-8</i> : Potential Injury, Mortality or Disturbance of Tree-Roosting Bats and Removal of Roosting Habitat	<i>WILD-MM-10</i> : Conduct Vegetation Removal Activities outside the Breeding Season for Birds	See <i>Effect WILD-5,</i> WILD-MM-10	See Effect WILD-5, WILD-MM-10	See Effect WILD-5, WILD- MM-10	See Effect WILD-5, WILD-MM-10
<i>Effect WILD-8</i> : Potential Injury, Mortality or Disturbance of	<i>WILD-MM-16</i> : Identify Suitable Roosting Habitat	SBFCA or its construction	A qualified biologist hired by	Conduct tree removal/trimming	If tree removal/trimming cannot be conducted betwee will examine trees to be removed or trimmed for suita

whenever burrowing owl habitat is present on or within preeding season surveys will be conducted in accordance igation (2012 Staff Report) (California Department of Fish urveys: 1) one survey between February 15 and April 15 peks apart between April 15 and July 15, with at least one will consist of four surveys spread evenly throughout the

of surveys for submission to DFG. The report will include, roject or proposed activity, proposed project start and end civities occurring onsite or nearby (see Appendix D of the

ys, compensatory mitigation best practices as described ssary for putting compensation in place, these efforts urrowing owls is determined. Regardless of results from ce (preconstruction) surveys will be conducted no less ground disturbing activities. SBFCA will retain a qualified ive burrows according to methodology in the 2012 Staff only a few days. As such, subsequent take avoidance n project activities. If no burrowing owls are found, no e found, SBFCA will use avoidance, minimization measures, ribed in the 2012 Staff Report (Mitigation Methods) and

reeding season (February 1–August 31).

Instruction will occur around occupied burrows unless a sive methods that egg laying and incubation have not and are capable of independent survival.

place visible markers near burrows to ensure they are not

to increase the onsite worker recognition of and

described above.

te for burrowing owls during project activities.

habitat by using buffer zones, visual screens, and other d buffer distances in the 2012 Staff Report will be used or termined through information collected during site-

o occupy burrows at the project site in the last 3 years, that the site should be considered occupied and irre also provides best practices. If best practices cannot be ffective mitigation alternatives.

veen September 15 and October 30, qualified biologists itable bat roosting habitat before removal/trimming. High-

Project Effect	Mitigation Measure	Responsibility for Implementation	Responsibility for Monitoring	Monitoring Schedule	Monitoring Details
Tree-Roosting Bats and Removal of Roosting Habitat	for Bats and Implement Avoidance and Protective Measures	contractor	SBFCA	between September 15 and October 30.	quality habitat features (large tree cavities, basal hollo intact thatch, etc.) will be identified and the area arour culled insect parts, staining, etc.). Riparian woodland, o be considered potential habitat for solitary foliage-roo spectrum bat detectors may be needed if identification discussed with DFG prior to the start of surveys. Measures to avoid and minimize impacts to sensitive b DFG
<i>Effect POP-1</i> : Displacement of Existing Housing Units	<i>POP-MM-1</i> : Property Acquisition Compensation and Resident Relocation Plan	SBFCA	SBFCA	As needed during the construction period.	 Permanent acquisition, relocation, and compensation s and state relocation laws, which are the Uniform Act o regulation, 49 CFR Part 24; and California Government appropriate compensation be provided to displaced la relocated to comparable replacement housing. A revie residences shows that there are adequate vacant resid for resident relocations. In cases where project construction is temporarily dispassistance for residents to relocate temporarily during residents for reasonable rent and living expenses incut Temporary Resident Relocation Plan to guide tempora Temporary Resident Relocation Plan will, at a minimut 1) Outline the process for providing notice of reloca 2) Provide guidelines for relocation services and co 3) Ensure that 24-hour security for vacated homes 4) Provide for temporary occasional access of vacat periods). 5) Ensure all compensation and relocation activities relocation laws, which are identified above. 6) Ensure that the Temporary Resident Relocation for a federation for compensation and relocation assistance resulting for 7) Ensure that the properties are returned to the prunaffected by residual dust or debris, in a manner or commencement of construction. 8) Provide for cleaning or restoration of affected pr
<i>Effect UTL-1</i> : Potential Temporary Disruption of Irrigation/Drainage Facilities and Agricultural and Domestic Water Supply	<i>UTL-MM-1</i> : Coordinate with Water Supply Users before and during All Water Supply Infrastructure Modifications and Implement Measures to Minimize Interruptions of Supply	SBFCA	SBFCA	Implemented as needed before and during all water supply infrastructure modifications during construction activities.	 The project proponent will ensure the following measure for domestic and irrigation water supply interruptions 1) Coordinate the timing of all modifications to domestic and infrastructure owners and water supply 2) Include detailed scheduling of the phases of modes irrigation water supply infrastructure components specifications. 3) Plan and complete modifications of irrigation infressible. 4) Provide for alternative water supply, if necessary infrastructure must be conducted during a period wirrigator. 5) Ensure either that users of irrigation water supply with the project, experience a substantial interrupt normal, planned farming operations; or compensate substantial decrease in an existing level of service (area) in kind for losses associated with the reduction

llows, loose or peeling bark, larger snags, palm trees with ound these features searched for bats and bat sign (guano, d, orchards, and stands of mature broadleaf trees should roosting bat species. Passive monitoring using full on of bat species is required. Survey methods should be

bats species will be determined in coordination with

n services will be conducted in compliance with Federal t of 1970 (42 USC 4601 et seq.) and implementing ent Code Section 7267 et seq. These laws require that landowners and tenants, and that residents may be view of Census Tract information for the affected sidences (see Table 3.12-2) within the same Census Tracts

lisruptive to nearby residents, SBFCA will provide ng construction activities and provide compensation to curred as a result of relocation. SBFCA will develop a orary relocation services and compensation. The num, serve the following functions.

- ocation.
- compensation.
- es is provided.
- cated homes by residents (for long-duration construction

ies are conducted in compliance with Federal and state

- n Plan in no way offsets, eliminates, or reduces rights to g from required property rights.
- property owners in an undamaged, clean condition , r consistent with the condition of the property prior to

property improvements.

- asures are implemented to avoid and minimize potential ons during construction activities.
- omestic and irrigation water supply infrastructure with ply users.
- odifications or replacement of existing domestic and ts in project design and in construction plans and
- infrastructure for the non-irrigation season to the extent
- ary, when modification or replacement of irrigation I when it otherwise would be in normal use by an

pply do not, as a result of physical interference associated ption in irrigation supply when such supply is needed for rate users of irrigation water supply that experience a e (that meets the established standards for the project tion in level of service.

Project Effect	Mitigation Measure	Responsibility for Implementation	Responsibility for Monitoring	Monitoring Schedule	Monitoring Details
<i>Effect UTL-2</i> : Damage of Public Utility Infrastructure and Disruption of Service	<i>UTL-MM-2</i> : Verify Utility Locations, Coordinate with Utility Providers, Prepare a Response Plan, and Conduct Worker Training	SBFCA	SBFCA	All activities will be conducted prior to beginning construction.	 The project proponent will ensure the following measing damage to utilities and service disruptions during corrensure that existing utilities are not damaged and tha 1) Obtain utility excavation or encroachment permission potential to affect utility lines, and include all necessing specifications. 2) Before starting construction, coordinate with the existing lines and to implement orderly relocation relocating utilities when possible. Provide notificate appropriate agencies. 3) Before starting construction, verify utility locati Underground Service Alert services. Clearly mark a any earthmoving activity. 4) Before starting construction, prepare a response line. The plan will identify chain-of-command rules responsibilities to ensure the safety of the public a training to respond to these situations. 5) Stage utility safety and the safety of the public a training to respond to these situations.
Effect PH-1: Temporary Exposure or Release of Hazardous Materials During Construction	Environmental Commitment: Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan	SBFCA	SBFCA		Because ground disturbance for the project would be the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) N general construction activity stormwater permit. The (RWQCB) administers the NPDES storm water permit coverage under the NPDES general construction activ prepare a stormwater pollution prevention plan (SWI implemented to control accelerated erosion, sedimen construction. The SWPPP would be prepared prior to The specific BMPs that would be incorporated into the be site-specific and would be prepared by the constru- RWQCB Field Manual. However, the plan likely would and sediment control BMPs. Timing of construction. The construction contractor v typical construction season to avoid ground disturbar Staging of construction equipment and materials. To t staged in areas that have already been disturbed. Minimize soil and vegetation disturbance. The constru- and the disturbance/destruction of existing vegetatio establishment of designated equipment staging areas, zones prior to the commencement of any grading ope Stabilize grading spoils. Grading spoils generated dur staging areas. Silt fences, fiber rolls, or similar devices stockpiles to intercept runoff and sediment during sto covered with an appropriate geotextile to increase pr Install sediment barriers. The construction contractor prevent sediment-laden runoff from leaving the const Stormwater drain inlet protection. The construction contra- traps, sandbag barriers, and/or other similar devices. Permanent site stabilization. The construction contra- permanently stabilize all graded or otherwise disturb methods may include the installation of biodegradabl methods may include the installation of organic mulcl control seed mix. Implementation of a SWPPP would se

asures are implemented to avoid and minimize potential onstruction. Implementing these measures will help hat service interruptions are minimized. mits as necessary before initiating any work with the

essary permit terms in construction contract

the CVFPB and utility providers in the area to locate n of utilities that need to be removed or relocated. Avoid ration of potential interruptions in services to the

tions through field surveys and the use of the any buried utility lines in the area of construction before

se plan to address potential accidental damage to a utility es for notifying authorities and appropriate actions and and the workers. Contractors will conduct worker tility relocations to minimize service interruptions.

e greater than 1 acre, SBFCA would obtain coverage under National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) ee Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board hit program in Sutter and Butte counties. Obtaining ivity permit generally requires that the project applicant VPPP) that describes the BMPs that would be entation, and other pollutants during and after project to commencing earth-moving construction activities. the erosion and sediment control plan and SWPPP would ruction contractor in accordance with the California ld include one or more of the following standard erosion

would conduct all construction activities during the ance during the rainy season.

the extent possible, equipment and materials would be

cruction contractor would minimize ground disturbance ion. This would be accomplished in part through the as, ingress and egress corridors, and equipment exclusion perations.

aring construction would be temporarily stockpiled in es would be installed around the base of the temporary torm events. If necessary, temporary stockpiles may be protection from wind and water erosion.

or may install silt fences, fiber rolls, or similar devices to struction area.

contractor may install silt fences, drop inlet sediment s.

ractor would install structural and vegetative methods to bed areas once construction is complete. Structural ole fiber rolls and erosion control blankets. Vegetative ch and tackifier and/or the application of an erosion d substantially minimize the potential for project-related

Project Effect	Mitigation Measure	Responsibility for Implementation	Responsibility for Monitoring	Monitoring Schedule	Monitoring Details
					erosion and associated adverse effects on water qualit Offsite Tracking. Install rumble plates and crushed roc offsite tracking of mud from construction vehicles.
<i>Effect PH-2</i> : Exposure of the Environment to Hazardous Materials during Ground- Disturbing Activities	<i>PH-MM-1</i> : Complete Phase I and Phase II (if Necessary) Environmental Site Assessment Investigations and Implement Required Measures	SBFCA or its contractor	SBFCA or its contractor	Assessments will be conducted prior to beginning construction. Measures will be implemented before ground-disturbing or demolition activities begin.	 SBFCA will conduct Phase I Environmental Site Assess Assessments or other appropriate testing. If necessary will include an analysis of soil or groundwater sample covered by previous investigations. Recommendations Assessments to address any contamination that is four disturbing activities. In addition, SBFCA will implement demolition activities begin, in order to reduce health his substances. 1) Prepare a site plan that identifies any necessary uses, including excavation and removal of contamin the project site. The plan will include measures that contaminated soil and building debris removed from the event that contamination to the appropriate ree the contaminated groundwater is encour- will report the contamination to the appropriate ree the contractor will be required to comply with the 2) Retain licensed contractors to remove all underg 3) Notify the appropriate Federal, state, and local appropriate Federal, state, and local appropriate Federal, state or local regulatory agence 4) Prepare a worker health and safety plan before to minimum, all contaminants that could be encountered public health, and environmental protection equipris activities; emergency response procedures; the mo officer. The plan will describe actions to be taken sh including protocols for handling hazardous materia procedures to be taken in the event of a spill.

lity. ock at project site entrance and exit locations to control

essments and, if necessary, Phase II Environmental Site ary, before construction activities begin, the assessment oles for the potential contamination sites that were not ons in Phase I and Phase II Environmental Site ound will be implemented before initiating groundtent the following measures before ground-disturbing or h hazards associated with potential exposure to hazardous

ry remediation activities appropriate for proposed land ninated soils, and redistribution of clean fill material on hat ensure the safe transport, use, and disposal of rom the site, as well as any other hazardous materials. In puntered during site excavation activities, the contractor regulatory agencies, dewater the excavated area, and treat ninants before discharge into the sanitary sewer system. he plan and applicable Federal, state, and local laws. erground storage tanks.

l agencies if evidence of previously undiscovered soil or ng construction activities. Any contaminated areas will be ions of the Environmental Health Division for Sutter, Butte, rnia Department of Toxic Substances Control, or other encies.

e the start of construction activities that identifies, at a tered during construction activity; all appropriate worker, ipment and procedures to be used during project nost direct route to the nearest hospitals; and a site safety should hazardous materials be encountered onsite, rials and preventing their spread, and emergency

Project Effect	Mitigation Measure	Responsibility for Implementation	Responsibility for Monitoring	Monitoring Schedule	Monitoring Details
<i>Effect PH-2</i> : Exposure of the Environment to Hazardous Materials during Ground- Disturbing Activities	<i>PH-MM-2</i> : Employment of a Toxic Release Contingency Plan	SBFCA's construction contractor	SBFCA's construction contractor	Implemented prior to beginning construction.	The construction contractor will coordinate with region release contingency plan, pursuant to California Gover regional and local planning agencies incorporate such plan will ensure the effective and efficient use of resour firefighting; hazardous materials response and cleanu medical emergency services.
<i>Effect PH-3</i> : Temporary Exposure to Safety Hazards from the Construction Site and Vehicles	<i>PH-MM-3</i> : Implementation of Construction Site Safety Measures	SBFCA's construction contractor	SBFCA's construction contractor	Ongoing throughout the construction period.	The construction contractor will ensure that all worke precautions will be followed at all times during constr will also require that all workers have valid drivers' lic be provided to ensure public safety.
<i>Effect PH-3</i> : Temporary Exposure to Safety Hazards from the Construction Site and Vehicles	<i>PH-MM-4</i> : Implementation of an Emergency Response Plan	SBFCA's construction contractor	SBFCA's construction contractor	Ongoing throughout the construction period.	Development of an emergency response plan will ensu will be responded to in the appropriate manner. The c response plan, taking into consideration the location of emergency response access routes and response times
Effect CR-1: Effects on Identified Archaeological Sites Resulting From Construction of Levee Construction and Ancillary Facilities	<i>CR-MM-1</i> : Perform Data Recovery to Retrieve Information Useful in Research	SBFCA	SBFCA	Data recovery plan to be prepared prior to commencing data recovery activities.	 Prior to data recovery SBFCA will prepare a brief data the following steps (CEQA Guidelines § 15126.4(B)(3) the material associated with these sites that is useful i 1) Data recovery excavations will be performed to a order to retrieve scientifically important material. I material removed will be divided and screened throw to capture both the gross cultural constituents and mesh. Excavation will be conducted in 10-centimet cultural materials is recorded. Removed material we their horizontal and vertical location relative to an recorded in the field with GPS to at least 10-centime 2) Faunal material (animal bone) will be segregated species pursued, relative abundance and diversity of prey were processed by the prehistoric occupants. 3) Obsidian glass will be retrieved and studied throw source of the obsidian to be identified) and obsidiar determination of the time when the material was su 4) Soil samples will be retrieved, with their horizon (a method of separating light organic material such identify plant species pursued by prehistoric orgin, the I identify a most likely descendant (MLD). The MLD appropriate dignity. If the NAHC fails to identify the how to reinter the remains as described in Californi PRC Section 5097.98(e), are performed of 11 formation of data recovery excavations SI summarize the results of these studies relative to rate.

gional and local planning agencies to incorporate a toxic vernment Code Section 8574.16, which requires that ch a measure within their planning. Implementation of this ources in the areas of traffic and crowd control; nup; radio and communications control; and provision of

kers are properly trained to operate equipment. Safety struction to avoid accidents. The construction contractor licenses and insurance. Proper signage and detours will

isure that any accidents that occur at the construction site e construction contractor will develop the emergency n of nearby emergency response agencies as well as nes.

ta recovery plan that describes how SBFCA will perform 3)[c]). SBFCA will perform the following steps to retrieve ll in research:

to retrieve a sample of the affected portion of these sites, in l. Excavation will be conducted in arbitrary levels, and hrough a combination of ¼" and 1/8 " mesh screen, so as ad the finer material that can only be captured in fine eter levels so that the horizontal association of different l will be segregated by type and bagged with labels noting an established datum point. The datum point will be imer horizontal and vertical accuracy.

ted and studied by a qualified faunal analyst to identify the y of different species present, and the manner in which the s.

rough both X-ray fluorescence (a method that allows the ian hydration analysis (a method that allows approximate subject to human modification).

ontal and vertical location recorded, for flotation analysis ch as fine plant remains from the deposit, in order to pulations).

ment contain human remains, provisions for such remains n these deposits during data recovery, the county coroner and Safety Code Section 7050.5. After the coroner e NAHC will be contacted and given the opportunity to

D will be given the opportunity to reinter the remains with the MLD or if the parties cannot reach agreement as to rnia PRC Section 5097.98(e), the landowner will reinter isturbance. SBFCA will ensure the protections prescribed ned.

t is determined that, contrary to available evidence, the ns will cease.

SBFCA will prepare a data recovery report and regional research questions in the data recovery report. tion center of the CHRIS. SBFCA will also store the at an appropriate facility for curation.

ps for currently inaccessible areas once rights of entry

Project Effect	Mitigation Measure	Responsibility for Implementation	Responsibility for Monitoring	Monitoring Schedule	Monitoring Details
Disturb Unidentified Archaeological Sites	Surveys Prior to Construction, Implement a Cultural Resources Discovery Plan, Provide Related Training to Construction Workers, and Conduct Construction Monitoring		qualified archaeological monitor	to start of construction. Archaeological monitor on-site during construction at sensitive geographic locations.	 have been obtained: SBFCA will complete an inventory and evaluation resources. The work will be led or supervised by cultural relaterior's professional qualification standards prov All newly identified resources will be mapped and by recording data points with GPS hardware throug Mapping of previously identified resources will be 1 to describe the current boundaries of the resource. SBFCA will evaluate the eligibility of identified reresources can feasibly be preserved in place, or if da above, is appropriate. The methods of preservation provided in CEQA Guidelines § 15126.4(b)(3). Prior to ground-disturbing construction, FRWLP proprint the contract conditions of the construction contract event of the inadvertent discovery of cultural resources. 1) An archaeological monitor will be present to obs sensitive for unidentified cultural resources. Such leidentified cultural resource(s) sites (within a 200-fr of identified resources) and where ground-disturbit water features. In the event of an archaeological resource discov find, based on the direction of the archaeological mif no monitor is present. A qualified archaeologist w recommendations for further evaluation and treatm 3) Discovered resources will be mapped and descrit recording data points digitally with GPS hardware. SBFCA will evaluate identified resources to deter historical resources. Treatment will follow the stand Guidelines §15126.4(b)(3). Ji fhuman remains are discovered as part of the d and NAHC to make the determinations and perform and Safety Code Section 7050.5 and PRC §5097.98. Federal land, work in the immediate vicinity will ce of the Federal agency where the remains were discov After notification from the relevant agency represent NAGPRA (25 USC §3002[a]). SBFCA will develop a list of cultural resources staff wh will also develop training materials for construction will be onduct training for construction workers that provide this wilt agait
<i>Effect CR-3</i> : Potential to Disturb Human Remains	<i>CR-MM-3</i> : Monitor Culturally Sensitive Areas during Construction, Follow State and Federal Law Governing Human Remains if Such Resources are Discovered during	SBFCA	A qualified archaeologist hired by SBFCA	Archaeological monitor on-site during construction at sensitive geographic locations.	 SBFCA will retain a qualified archaeologist to monitor archaeological resources and human remains, as requiactions will be taken. 1) If human remains are discovered as part of the divicinity and within the radius necessary to avoid function coordinate with the county coroner and NAHC to misteps prescribed in California Health and Safety Coordinate

ion report for cultural resources, including archaeological

- resources specialists who meet the Secretary of the ovided in 36 CFR Part 61.
- and described on DPR forms. Mapping will be completed ough which data can be imported and managed digitally. e limited to updates of existing records where necessary ce.
- resources for listing on the CRHR and determine if these f data recovery following Mitigation Measure CR-MM-1, on in place shall be considered in the order of priority
- oponents will include a cultural resources discovery plan actor, incorporating the following actions to be taken in the rces.
- bserve construction at geographic locations that are a locations will consist of construction areas near 9-foot radius around the known boundaries bing construction will occur within 1,500 feet of major
- overy, work will cease in the immediate vicinity of the monitor or the apparent distribution of cultural resources t will assess the significance of the find and make atment as necessary.
- cribed on DPR forms. Mapping will be completed by e.
- termine if they are unique archaeological sites or andards and order of priority described in CEQA
- e deposit, SBFCA will coordinate with the county coroner rm the management steps prescribed in California Health 8. 6) If Native American human remains are discovered on cease, and SBFCA will contact the relevant representative scovered, as prescribed in 25 USC §3002(d) (NAGPRA). sentative and treatment of the remains as required under remains will follow the ownership priority described in
- who can respond to cultural resources discoveries; SBFCA workers regarding management direction following l be provided to the supervisory field staff. SBFCA will ides an overview of cultural resources identification and
- or areas of sensitivity for previously unidentified quired under Mitigation Measure CR-MM-2. The following
- e deposit or in isolation, work will cease in the immediate further disturbance. SBFCA, and the contractors will make the determinations and perform the management Code §7050.5 and PRC §5097.98. This coordination

Project Effect	Mitigation Measure	Responsibility for Implementation	Responsibility for Monitoring	Monitoring Schedule	Monitoring Details
	Construction				 requires the following steps. a) The county coroner investigation regarding the cause of death is required prehistoric Native American origin, the coroner will identify the most likely descendant (MLD), and the I remains with appropriate dignity. If the NAHC fails agreement as to how to reinter the remains as described the remains at a location not subject to further distuin PRC §5097.98(e) are performed, such as the use of with the relevant county. 2) If Native American human remains are discovered cease, and SBFCA will contact the relevant represent discovered, as prescribed in 25 USC §3002(d) (NAG representative and treatment of the remains as required the remains will follow the ownership priority described in the relevant in the relevant in the relevant of the remains as required the remains will follow the ownership priority described in the remains will follow the owne
<i>Effect CR-4</i> : Direct and Indirect Effects on Built Environment Resources Resulting from Construction Activities	<i>CR-MM-4</i> : Complete Inventory of Built Environment Resources in Inaccessible Parcels, Evaluate Identified Properties, Assess Effects, and Prepare Treatment to Resolve and Mitigate Significant Effects	SBFCA	SBFCA	Inventory and evaluation report to be prepared prior to construction.	 mitigation measure in the training performed under SBFCA will ensure that an inventory and evaluation repareas where effects on built environment resources mathered direct disturbance, damage through vibration, and/2) The work will be led or supervised by architectur professional qualification standards provided in 36 3) Inventory methods and evaluation will include phistorical research using primary and secondary sof 4) Identified resources will be mapped and described performed by recording data points digitally with G 5) For all identified resources, SBFCA will determin §15064.5[a]), significant historical resources under registers. 6) The recorded resources and the resource evaluated inventory report, SBFCA will also determine if individe subject to significant effects. SBFCA will make suffollowing actions. a) Demolish or materially alter the qualities that n CEQA Guidelines §15064.5[b][2][A],[C]). b) Demolish or materially alter the qualities that pits identification in a historical resources survey n SBFCA establishes by a preponderance of evidence significant (State CEQA Guidelines §15064.5[b][2] c) Cause a substantial significant change in the sig 7) For all resources subject to significant effects SBFCA will prioritize avoidance and preservation in place of contributing or unaffected buildings would remain standard treatment such as documentation through American Landscape Survey, Historic American Eng completed. Interpretive displays, online resource, a as appropriate.

er will be notified so that he/she may determine if an nired. If the coroner determines that the remains are of vill notify the NAHC. b) Upon notification, the NAHC will ne MLD will be given the opportunity to reinter the ells to identify the MLD or if the parties cannot reach scribed in PRC §5097.98(e), the landowner will reinter sturbance. SBFCA will ensure the protections prescribed se of conservation easements and recording of the location

ered on Federal land, work in the immediate vicinity will entative of the Federal agency where the remains were AGPRA). After notification from the relevant agency equired under NAGPRA, work may continue. Disposition of escribed in NAGPRA (25 USC §3002[a]).

l for encountering human remains and an overview of this ler Mitigation Measure CR-MM-2.

report is completed for all areas currently inaccessible may occur.

ire area where effects may occur. Such effects consist of d/or changes to the setting.

tural historians who meet the Secretary of the Interior's 36 CFR Part 61.

e pedestrian surveys, photographic documentation, and sources, interviews, and oral histories.

ibed on forms provided by DPR. Mapping will be a GPS hardware.

ine if they are historical resources (State CEQA Guidelines ler CEQA (PRC §21084.1), and/or eligible for local

lations will be summarized in an inventory report. In the dividual resources qualifying as historical resources will such a finding if the FRWLP would result in any of the

t make the resource eligible for listing in the CRHR (State

at justify the inclusion of the resource on a local register or y meeting the requirements of PRC §5024.1(g), unless ence that the resource is not historically or culturally [2][B]).

significance of a historical resource (PRC §21084.1). SBFCA will develop and implement treatment. Treatment ce or relocation of individual CRHR-eligible buildings (nonin in place). Where avoidance or relocation is not feasible, the Historic American Buildings Survey, Historic Engineering Record, or district documentation will be e, and historic contexts or walking tours may also be used,

Notice of Determination	Appendix D
 To: ➢ Office of Planning and Research U.S. Mail: Street Address: P.O. Box 3044 1400 Tenth St., Rm 113 Sacramento, CA 95812-3044 Sacramento, CA 95814 ➢ County Clerk County Of: Sutter, 433 2nd St., Yuba City, CA 95991 Address: Butte, 25 County Center Dr., Oroville, CA 95965 	From: Public Agency: Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency Address: 1227 Bridge Street, Suite C Yuba City, CA 95991 Contact:Mike Inamine Phone:(530) 740-2448 Lead Agency (if different from above): Address: Contact:
	Phone:
SUBJECT: Filing of Notice of Determination in comple Resources Code.	ance with Section 21108 or 21152 of the Public
State Clearinghouse Number (if submitted to State Clearinghouse Nu	nghouse): <u>2011052062</u>
Project Title: Feather River West Levee Project	
Project Applicant: Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency	
Project Location (include county): Sutter and Butte Counties	
Project Description: The Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency (SBFCA) is proposing the reduce flood risk in the Sutter Basin, which includes portions of S FRWLP is focused on the corridor along the west levee of the Fe approximately 4 miles north of the confluence with the Sutter Byp toward the land side of the existing levees and 100 feet toward the area in which levee improvements, such as seepage berms, state	Sutter and Butte Counties. The project area for the eather River from Thermalito Afterbay on the north to bass on the south. This corridor is roughly 500 feet he water side. This corridor was determined as the
This is to advise that the Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency (X) Lead Agency or Re	has approved the above esponsible Agency)
described project on <u>April 10, 2013</u> and has made th (date) described project.	e following determinations regarding the above
 The project [X will invit will not] have a significant effect An Environmental Impact Report was prepared for the A Negative Declaration was prepared for this project Mitigation measures [X were inverse not] made a contract of the project of the projec	his project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA. t pursuant to the provisions of CEQA. ndition of the approval of the project.

- 4. A mitigation reporting or monitoring plan [X] was □ was not] adopted for this project.
 5. A statement of Overriding Considerations [X] was □ was not] adopted for this project.
- 6. Findings [X] were in were not made pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.

This is to certify that the final EIR with comments and responses and record of project approval, or the negative Declaration, is available to the General Public at:

Sutter County, 1160 Civic Center Blvd, Yuba City, CA 95993; Butte County, 25 County Center, Ste 105, Oroville, Ca

Signature (Public Agency):	m	Title:	Executive Director
Date: 4/11/2013	Date Received for	filing a	

Authority cited: Sections 21083, Public Resources Code. Reference Section 21000-21174, Public Resources Code. APR 12 2013 Revised 2011 STATE CLEARING HOUSE

Notice of Determination Appendix D

Attachment

Feather River West Levee Project Description

The Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency (SBFCA) is proposing the Feather River West Levee Project (FRWLP) to reduce flood risk in the Sutter Basin, which includes portions of Sutter and Butte Counties. The project area for the FRWLP is focused on the corridor along the west levee of the Feather River from Thermalito Afterbay on the north to approximately 4 miles north of the confluence with the Sutter Bypass on the south. This corridor is roughly 500 feet toward the land side of the existing levees and 100 feet toward the water side. This corridor was determined as the area in which levee improvements, such as seepage berms, stability berms, relief wells, setback levees, erosion protection, and slurry cutoff walls, are likely to occur. The corridor is approximately 41 miles long. The project area would also include borrow/spoil sites or project mitigation sites outside of this corridor.

SBFCA's goal is to achieve a minimum of 200-year flood protection for the more urbanized areas with population centers and 100-year flood protection for the remaining more rural agricultural parts of the planning area. Specific levee deficiencies along the Feather River West Levee are through-seepage, under-seepage, erosion, levee instability, and encroachments. There are also improvement needs for long-term operation and maintenance of the flood management corridor. The FRWLP as proposed by SBFCA will address these deficiencies and needs for that portion of the perimeter of the planning area to assist in incrementally reducing local flood risk.

This is to certify that the final EIR with comments and responses and record of project approval, or the negative Declaration, is available to the General Public at: Sutter County, 1160 Civic Center Blvd, Yuba City, CA 95993; Butte County, 25 County Center, Ste 105, Oroville, CA 95965; <u>http://www.sutterbutteflood.org</u>

###

COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA	OFFICIAL RECEIPT	Nº284857
Cleek 4 the B	ound	4/11/13
Issuing Office or Department	to here future hat	EFPOSE Control
THE SUM OF THE HOUSEM	of fourty Dive + 25/165	3,045.25
	NOD/EIR - FEather Rive	r vo. Leuse Peupet
PAID BY CASH	RECEIVED BY_LISU BINA	
CK. M.O. X BK. NO.11-4267	6 TITLE Deputy	

State of California—Natural Resources Agency DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE	RECEIPT#
2013 ENVIRONMENTAL FILING FEE CASH RECEIPT	43821 0
	STATE CLEARING HOUSE # (If applicable)
SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE. TYPE OR PRINT CLEARLY	2011052002
LEADAGENCY TER BUTTE Flood Control Agency	DATE 4-11-13
COUNTY/STATE AGENCY OF FILING	DOCUMENTNUMBER
PROJECT TITLE PROJECT LEVER PROJECT	
PROJECTAPPLICANT NAME	FROME NUMBER 2448
PROJECTAPPLICANITADDRESS	SPATE ZPCOPE5991
PROJECT APPLICANT (Check appropriate box):	State Agency Private Entity
CHECKAPPLICABLE FEES:	
	\$2,995.25 \$ 2,715.25
Environmental Impact Report (EIR)	\$2,995.25 \$ <u>2,115.25</u> \$2,156.25 \$
	+-,
Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND)	\$2,156.25 \$ \$850.00 \$ \$1,018.50 \$
 Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND) Application Fee Water Diversion (State Water Resources Control Board Only) 	\$2,156.25 \$ \$850.00 \$
 Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND) Application Fee Water Diversion (State Water Resources Control Board Only) Projects Subject to Certified Regulatory Programs (CRP) County Administrative Fee Project that is exempt from fees 	\$2,156.25 \$ \$850.00 \$ \$1,018.50 \$
 Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND) Application Fee Water Diversion (State Water Resources Control Board Only) Projects Subject to Certified Regulatory Programs (CRP) County Administrative Fee Project that is exempt from fees Notice of Exemption 	\$2,156.25 \$ \$850.00 \$ \$1,018.50 \$
 Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND) Application Fee Water Diversion (State Water Resources Control Board Only) Projects Subject to Certified Regulatory Programs (CRP) County Administrative Fee Project that is exempt from fees Notice of Exemption DFW No Effect Determination (Form Attached) 	\$2,156.25 \$ \$850.00 \$ \$1,018.50 \$
 Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND) Application Fee Water Diversion (State Water Resources Control Board Only) Projects Subject to Certified Regulatory Programs (CRP) County Administrative Fee Project that is exempt from fees Notice of Exemption DFW No Effect Determination (Form Attached) 	\$2,156.25 \$ \$850.00 \$ \$1,018.50 \$
 Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND) Application Fee Water Diversion (State Water Resources Control Board Only) Projects Subject to Certified Regulatory Programs (CRP) County Administrative Fee Project that is exempt from fees Notice of Exemption DFW No Effect Determination (Form Attached) Other 	\$2,156.25 \$ \$850.00 \$ \$1,018.50 \$
Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND) Application Fee Water Diversion (State Water Resources Control Board Only) Projects Subject to Certified Regulatory Programs (CRP) County Administrative Fee Project that is exempt from fees Notice of Exemption DFW No Effect Determination (Form Attached) Other PAYMENT METHOD: Cash Credit Check Other	\$2,156.25 \$ \$850.00 \$ \$1,018.50 \$ \$50.00 \$ 50.00 \$ TOTALRECEIVED \$ 3,045.25
 Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND) Application Fee Water Diversion (State Water Resources Control Board Only) Projects Subject to Certified Regulatory Programs (CRP) County Administrative Fee Project that is exempt from fees Notice of Exemption DFW No Effect Determination (Form Attached) Other 	\$2,156.25 \$ \$850.00 \$ \$1,018.50 \$ \$50.00 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,0000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,0000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,0000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50
Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND) Application Fee Water Diversion (State Water Resources Control Board Only) Projects Subject to Certified Regulatory Programs (CRP) County Administrative Fee Project that is exempt from fees Notice of Exemption DFW No Effect Determination (Form Attached) Other PAYMENT METHOD: Cash Credit Check Other	\$2,156.25 \$ \$850.00 \$ \$1,018.50 \$ \$50.00 \$ 50.00 \$ TOTALRECEIVED \$ 3,045.25

Notice of Determination

1 - 11 2

INC	Drice of Determination	Appendix D		
To:		From:		
M	Office of Planning and Research U.S. Mail: Street Address:	Public Agency: Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency Address: 1227 Bridge Street, Suite C		
	P.O. Box 3044 1400 Tenth St., Rm 113	Yuba City, CA 95991		
	Sacramento, CA 95812-3044 Sacramento, CA 95814	Contact:Mike Inamine		
		Phone:(530) 740-2448		
\times	County Clerk County of: Sutter, 433 2nd St., Yuba City, CA 95991	Lead Agency (if different rom above):		
	Address: Butte, 25 County Center Dr.,			
	Oroville, CA 95965	Address: APR 1 1 2013		
		Contact: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS		
		Phone: Clerk of the Board		
Re	BJECT: Filing of Notice of Determination in compli sources Code.			
Sta	te Clearinghouse Number (if submitted to State Clearing and the state Clearing and the state Clearing and the state of the	nghouse):2011052062		
Pro	ject Title: Feather River West Levee Project			
Pro	ject Applicant: Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency			
Pro	ject Location (include county): Sutter and Butte Counties			
The redu FRV app towa	ject Description: Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency (SBFCA) is proposing the ace flood risk in the Sutter Basin, which includes portions of S VLP is focused on the corridor along the west levee of the Fe roximately 4 miles north of the confluence with the Sutter Byp ard the land side of the existing levees and 100 feet toward th a in which levee improvements, such as seepage berms, stab	utter and Butte Counties. The project area for the ather River from Thermalito Afterbay on the north to bass on the south. This corridor is roughly 500 feet be water side. This corridor was determined as the		
This	s is to advise that the Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency	has approved the above		
	(🔀 Lead Agency or 🗌 Re	esponsible Agency)		
	(date)	e following determinations regarding the above		
des	cribed project.			
1. T	he project [🛛 will 🗌 will not] have a significant effect	on the environment.		
2. 🛛	An Environmental Impact Report was prepared for th	nis project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.		
	A Negative Declaration was prepared for this project	pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.		
	1itigation measures [🛛 were 🗌 were not] made a cor			
	mitigation reporting or monitoring plan [🛛 was 🗌 wa			
	statement of Overriding Considerations [X was 🗌 v			
6. F	indings [🛛 were 🔲 were not] made pursuant to the p	provisions of CEQA.		
neg	s is to certify that the final EIR with comments and resp ative Declaration, is available to the General Public at: tter County, 1160 Civic Center Blvd, Yuba City, CA 95993; B			
Sigr	nature (Public Agency):	Title: <u>Executive Director</u>		
Date	e: Date Recei	ved for filing at OPR:		

Authority cited: Sections 21083, Public Resources Code. Reference Section 21000-21174, Public Resources Code.

Notice of Determination Appendix D

Attachment

Feather River West Levee Project Description

a (2 7 2

The Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency (SBFCA) is proposing the Feather River West Levee Project (FRWLP) to reduce flood risk in the Sutter Basin, which includes portions of Sutter and Butte Counties. The project area for the FRWLP is focused on the corridor along the west levee of the Feather River from Thermalito Afterbay on the north to approximately 4 miles north of the confluence with the Sutter Bypass on the south. This corridor is roughly 500 feet toward the land side of the existing levees and 100 feet toward the water side. This corridor was determined as the area in which levee improvements, such as seepage berms, stability berms, relief wells, setback levees, erosion protection, and slurry cutoff walls, are likely to occur. The corridor is approximately 41 miles long. The project area would also include borrow/spoil sites or project mitigation sites outside of this corridor.

SBFCA's goal is to achieve a minimum of 200-year flood protection for the more urbanized areas with population centers and 100-year flood protection for the remaining more rural agricultural parts of the planning area. Specific levee deficiencies along the Feather River West Levee are through-seepage, under-seepage, erosion, levee instability, and encroachments. There are also improvement needs for long-term operation and maintenance of the flood management corridor. The FRWLP as proposed by SBFCA will address these deficiencies and needs for that portion of the perimeter of the planning area to assist in incrementally reducing local flood risk.

This is to certify that the final EIR with comments and responses and record of project approval, or the negative Declaration, is available to the General Public at: Sutter County, 1160 Civic Center Blvd, Yuba City, CA 95993; Butte County, 25 County Center, Ste 105, Oroville, CA 95965; http://www.sutterbutteflood.org

###

DECLARATION OF FEES DUE

(California Fish and Game Code Section 711.4)

FOR CLERK USE ONLY

NAME A Su 12	ND ADDRESS OF LEAD AGENCY/APPLICANT Her Butte Flood Control Agen 27 Bridge Dt. #C, Yuba Cit	ncy $ca 95$	991
Project 7	Fitle: Feather River West Levee Project	FILING NO.	PLEM
	FICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT:		APR 1 1 2013
1.	NOTICE OF EXEMPTION/STATEMENT OF EXEMP	TION	
	[] A. Statutorily or Categorically Exempt		CANDACE J. GRUBBS, BUTTE CO. CLERK
•	\$50.00 (Fifty Dollars) Butte County Clerk's Fee		K-LAGNET
2.	NOTICE OF DETERMINATION - FEE REQUIRED		
	[] A. Negative Declaration		
	\$2,101.50 (Two Thousand One Hundred One Dollars and F	Fifty Cents) State F	Filing Fee
	\$50.00 (Fifty Dollars) Butte County Clerk's Fee		
	B. Environmental Impact Report		
	\$2,919.00 (Two Thousand Nine Hundred Nineteen Dollars)	State Filing Fee	
	\$50.00 (Fifty Dollars) Butte County Clerk's Fee	-	
3.	OTHER (Specify) General Rule Exemption		
÷	[1] \$50.00 (Fifty Dollars) Butte County Clerk's Fee		

This form must be completed and submitted with all environmental documents filed with the Butte County Clerk's Office.

All applicable fees must be paid at the time of filing any environmental documents with the Butte County Clerk's Office.

One original and two (2) copies of all necessary documents are required for filing purposes.

The \$50.00 (Fifty Dollars) handling fee is required per filing in addition to the filing fee specified in Fish and Game Code Section 711.4 (d).

Make checks payable to Butte County Clerk-Recorder.

Notice of Determination	Appendix D
To: X Office of Planning and Research U.S. Mail: Street Address: P.O. Box 3044 1400 Tenth St., Rm 11 Sacramento, CA 95812-3044 Sacramento, CA 95814 X County Clerk County of: Sutter, 433 2nd St., Yuba City, CA 95991 Address: Butte, 25 County Center Dr., Oroville, CA 95965	Contact Mike Inamine
	Phone:
SUBJECT: Filing of Notice of Determination in com Resources Code.	pliance with Section 21108 or 21152 of the Public
State Clearinghouse Number (if submitted to State Clear	aringhouse):2011052062
Project Title: Feather River West Levee Project	
Project Applicant: Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency	
Project Location (include county): Sutter and Butte Countie	→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→
Project Description: The Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency (SBFCA) is proposing reduce flood risk in the Sutter Basin, which includes portions of FRWLP is focused on the corridor along the west levee of the approximately 4 miles north of the confluence with the Sutter E toward the land side of the existing levees and 100 feet toward area in which levee improvements, such as seepage berms, st This is to achieve that the Sutter Butto Flood Control Agence	of Sutter and Butte Counties. The project area for the Feather River from Thermalito Afterbay on the north to Bypass on the south. This corridor is roughly 500 feet d the water side. This corridor was determined as the tability berms, relief wells, setback levees, erosion
This is to advise that the Sutter Butte Flood Control Agence (X) Lead Agency or	
described project on <u>April 10, 2013</u> and has made (date) described project.	the following determinations regarding the above
 The project [X] will interproject will not have a significant effect. An Environmental Impact Report was prepared for interproject. A Negative Declaration was prepared for this project. 	r this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.

- 3. Mitigation measures [X] were [] were not] made a condition of the approval of the project.
- 4. A mitigation reporting or monitoring plan [X] was U was not] adopted for this project.
- 5. A statement of Overriding Considerations [X] was was not] adopted for this project.
- 6. Findings [X were were not] made pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.

This is to certify that the final EIR with comments and responses and record of project approval, or the negative Declaration, is available to the General Public at:

Sutter County, 1160 Civic Center Blvd, Yuba City, CA 95993; Butte County, 25 County Center, Ste 105, Oroville, Ca

Signature (Public Agency):	Title: _	Executive Director
Date:	Date Received for filing	at OPR:

Authority cited: Sections 21083, Public Resources Code. Reference Section 21000-21174, Public Resources Code.

Notice of Determination Appendix D

Attachment

Feather River West Levee Project Description

The Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency (SBFCA) is proposing the Feather River West Levee Project (FRWLP) to reduce flood risk in the Sutter Basin, which includes portions of Sutter and Butte Counties. The project area for the FRWLP is focused on the corridor along the west levee of the Feather River from Thermalito Afterbay on the north to approximately 4 miles north of the confluence with the Sutter Bypass on the south. This corridor is roughly 500 feet toward the land side of the existing levees and 100 feet toward the water side. This corridor was determined as the area in which levee improvements, such as seepage berms, stability berms, relief wells, setback levees, erosion protection, and slurry cutoff walls, are likely to occur. The corridor is approximately 41 miles long. The project area would also include borrow/spoil sites or project mitigation sites outside of this corridor.

SBFCA's goal is to achieve a minimum of 200-year flood protection for the more urbanized areas with population centers and 100-year flood protection for the remaining more rural agricultural parts of the planning area. Specific levee deficiencies along the Feather River West Levee are through-seepage, under-seepage, erosion, levee instability, and encroachments. There are also improvement needs for long-term operation and maintenance of the flood management corridor. The FRWLP as proposed by SBFCA will address these deficiencies and needs for that portion of the perimeter of the planning area to assist in incrementally reducing local flood risk.

This is to certify that the final EIR with comments and responses and record of project approval, or the negative Declaration, is available to the General Public at: Sutter County, 1160 Civic Center Blvd, Yuba City, CA 95993; Butte County, 25 County Center, Ste 105, Oroville, CA 95965; http://www.sutterbutteflood.org

###

	Clerk-Recorder's [County of Butte			
	CANDACE J. County Clerk-Re	GRUBBS ecorder		
20 10 10	1 FISH AND GAME CLERKS FEE 50.00 1 OFFICIAL RECORD, REGULAR COP 10.00			
	TOTAL	60.00	ŭ.	
	CASH CHECK 1166	10.00 50.00		
	TOTAL TENDERED	60.00		
	CHANGE	0.00		
	04/11/2013 3:42PM TC	2013041100121 ECR-REC10		
	Thank Yo Have a Nice			
47 - 16	Requested By: Public			
State of California—Natural Resources Agency				
DEPARTMENT OF FISHAND WILDLIFE 2013 ENVIRONMENTAL FILING FEE C	ASH RECEIPT	RECEIPT#	439024	
		STATE CLE	ARING HOUSE # (If applicable)	
SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE. TYPE OR PRINT CLEA	$I = I \Lambda$		DATE	
COUNTY/STATEAGENCY OF FILING	ntrol Agencey	4	UCUMENT NUMBER	
PROJECTITLE PROJECTITLE PROJECTITLE	ever Drovert			
PROJECTAPPLICANTNAME HE FLOOD	eve Project	1.	PHONE NUMBER	
PROJECTAPPLICANTADDRESS CL CL CTV Locity STATE ZIPCODE				
PROJECT APPLICANT (check appropriate box):	Other Special Distri	ct 🔲 State Age	ency	
CHECK APPLICABLE FEES:		\$2,995.2		
 Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND) 		\$2,995.2 \$2,156.2		
Application Fee Water Diversion (State Water Resources Control Board Only)		\$850.0		
Projects Subject to Certified Regulatory Programs (CRP)	\$1,018.5 \$50.0	A-2 -0	
 Project that is exempt from fees Notice of Exemption 				
DFW No Effect Determination (Form Attached)			^	
PAYMENT METHOD:	See Sutter Co Recieg	+#438210)	»	
Cash Credit Check Other	Sustant & percep	TOTAL RECEIVE	D \$ 50.00	
SIGNATURE		Superviso	r Clerk Recorder	
WHITE - PROJECT APPLICANT YELLOW - DFW//	ASB PINK-LEAD AGENCY	GOLDEN ROD - COU	JNTY CLERK DFG 753.5a (Rev. 11/12)	

.
State of California—Natural Resources Agency	
DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE	
2013 ENVIRONMENTAL FILING FEE CASH RECEIPT	RECEIPT# 438210
	STATE CLEARING HOUSE # (If applicable)
EE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE. TYPE OR PRINT CLEARLY	
Dutter butts thord i content de	SINCY DATE U-11-12
OUNPY/STATE AGENCY OF FILING	DOCUMENTNUMBER
ROJECTTITLE	
ROJECTAPPLICANTNAME WEST LEVER PRO	rect
ROJECTAPPLICANTADDRESS	PHONE NUMBER 2448
1777 WUILING STALL WIND AT	STATE ZIPCODE C.C.
ROJECT APPLICANT (Check appropriate box):	y Ut 15th
Local Public Agency School District Other Spec	cial District 🔲 State Agency 🔲 Private Entity
Environmental Impact Report (EIR)	1 age ac
Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND)	\$2,995.25 \$ <u>115.15</u>
Application Fee Water Diversion (State Water Resources Control Board On	\$2,156.25 \$
Projects Subject to Certified Regulatory Programs (CRP)	
County Administrative Fee	\$1,018.50 \$
Project that is exempt from fees	\$50.00 \$50.00
 Notice of Exemption DFW No Effect Determination (Form Attached) 	
 DFW No Effect Determination (Form Attached) Other 	
YMENT METHOD:	\$
	y may 20 6
	6046 20
Cash Credit Check Other	TOTAL RECEIVED \$ 5,045,75
	TOTAL RECEIVED \$ 3,046,25
SNATURE	Deputy
	Deputy
SNATURE	Diputy_
SNATURE	Deputy
SNATURE WHITE - PROJECT APPLICANT YELLOW - DFW/ASB PINK - LEAD	AGENCY GOLDEN ROD - COUNTY CLERK DFG 753.5a (Rev. 11/12)
SNATURE USAGE USAGE USA	AGENCY GOLDEN ROD-COUNTY CLERK DFG 753.5a (Rev. 11/12)
SNATURE USAGE USAGE USA	AGENCY GOLDEN ROD - COUNTY CLERK DFG 753.5a (Rev. 11/12)
SNATURE USAGE USAGE USA	AGENCY GOLDEN ROD-COUNTY CLERK DFG 753.5a (Rev. 11/12)
SNATURE USAGE USAGE USA	AGENCY GOLDEN ROD-COUNTY CLERK DFG 753.5a (Rev. 11/12)
SNATURE WHITE - PROJECT APPLICANT YELLOW - DFW/ASB PINK - LEAD COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICIA CELLY A COUNTY OF SUTTER	AGENCY GOLDEN ROD-COUNTY CLERK DFG 753.5a (Rev. 11/12)
COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STATE OF	AGENCY GOLDEN ROD-COUNTY CLERK DFG 753.5a (Rev. 11/12)
SNATURE WHITE-PROJECT APPLICANT YELLOW-DFW/ASB PINK-LEAD COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICIA USUING Office or Department	AGENCY GOLDEN ROD-COUNTY CLERK DFG 753.5a (Rev. 11/12)
COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICIA Issuing Office or Department RECEIVED FROM THE SUM OF THE SUM OF COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICIA COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STATE OF CALI	AGENCY GOLDEN ROD-COUNTY CLERK DFG 753.5a (Rev. 11/12)
SNATURE WHITE - PROJECT APPLICANT YELLOW - DFW/ASB PINK - LEAD COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICIA CUELL A DE DOCA Issuing Office or Department RECEIVED FROM DOCA TO DOCA (F. THE SUM OF MALL ADDITIONAL OF THE SUM OF MALL ADDITIONAL OF THE SUM OF TH	AGENCY GOLDEN ROD-COUNTY CLERK DFG 753.58 (Rev. 11/12) RECEIPT NO 284857 4/14/3 2544857 4/14/3 2544857 4/14/3 2544857 25458 25458 25458 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 2
SNATURE WHITE - PROJECT APPLICANT YELLOW - DFW/ASB PINK - LEAD COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICIA CUELL A DE DOCA Issuing Office or Department RECEIVED FROM DOCA TO DOCA (F. THE SUM OF MALL ADDITIONAL OF THE SUM OF MALL ADDITIONAL OF THE SUM OF TH	AGENCY GOLDEN ROD-COUNTY CLERK DFG 753.58 (Rev. 11/12) RECEIPT NO 284857 4/14/3 2544857 4/14/3 2544857 4/14/3 2544857 25458 25458 25458 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 2
COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA CELL 4 The Board Issuing Office or Department RECEIVED FROM DOMA TO LOS (F. THE SUM OF THE SUM OF TH	AGENCY GOLDEN ROD-COUNTY CLERK DFG 753.58 (Rev. 11/12) RECEIPT NO 284857 4/14/3 2544857 4/14/3 2544857 4/14/3 2544857 25458 25458 25458 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 2
COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA CHERT THE SUM OF FOR EAST HOME FREES - MODERE	AGENCY GOLDEN ROD-COUNTY CLERK DFG 753.58 (Rev. 11/12) RECEIPT NO 284857 4/14/3 2544857 4/14/3 2544857 4/14/3 2544857 25458 25458 25458 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 2

RESOLUTION NO. 2013-06

RESOLUTION OF THE SUTTER BUTTE FLOOD CONTROL AGENCY ADOPTING FINDINGS, APPROVING THE MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PLAN, AND APPROVING THE FEATHER RIVER WEST LEVEE PROJECT

WHEREAS, the Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency ("SBFCA") proposes the Feather River West Levee Project (the "Project") to reduce flood risk in the Sutter Basin;

WHEREAS, SBFCA is the lead agency for environmental review of the Project under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA");

WHEREAS, a Notice of Preparation for a Draft Environmental Impact Report ("Draft EIR") was prepared and released for public comment on May 20, 2011;

WHEREAS, the release of the Notice of Preparation initiated a 30-day public comment period that ended on June 19, 2011. SBFCA invited members of the public to provide additional comment through July 8, 2011;

WHEREAS, During the public review period, public scoping meetings were held on June 27 and June 28, 2011, at 3:30 pm and 6:30 pm on each day, to receive agency and public comments regarding the scope of the environmental analysis for the EIR. Notice of these meetings was given in accordance with Government Code Sections 65355 and 65453;

WHEREAS, a Draft EIR was prepared and circulated for public review and comment between December 28, 2012 and February 13, 2013;

WHEREAS, on January 15, 2013, and January 16, 2013, SBFCA held three public comment meetings during which it received and considered testimony from the public, concerning the Project and the Draft EIR. Notice of these meetings was given in accordance with Government Code Sections 65355 and 65453;

WHEREAS, SBFCA received written comments on the Draft EIR from individuals, organizations and public agencies;

WHEREAS, a Final Environmental Impact Report ("Final EIR") that incorporated the Draft EIR by reference and provided responses to public comments was prepared and distributed to the public on April 1, 2013;

WHEREAS, SBFCA discussed the Final EIR during its meeting on April 10, 2013 and provided the opportunity for the public to give comments on the Final EIR during that meeting;

WHEREAS, SBFCA has, by means of Resolution No. 2013-05, certified that the EIR has been prepared in full compliance with the terms of the California Environmental Quality Act,

that the Board has reviewed and considered the information contained in the EIR prior to taking any action to approve or disapprove the Project, and that the EIR represents the independent judgment and analysis of the Board;

WHEREAS, pursuant to CEQA the Board must make and adopt written findings for each significant effect of the Project, accompanied by a brief explanation of the rationale for each finding. The written findings state that for each significant impact of the Project, either changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the Project which avoid or substantially lessen the significant environmental effect as identified in the Final EIR, or specific economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations, including provision of employment opportunities for highly trained workers, make infeasible the mitigation measures or project alternatives identified in the Final EIR;

WHEREAS, pursuant to CEQA SBFCA must adopt a program for reporting on or monitoring the changes which it has either required in the project or made a condition of approval to avoid or substantially lessen significant environmental effects;

WHEREAS, the District through this resolution wishes to adopt its Findings and the related Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE SUTTER BUTTE FLOOD CONTROL AGENCY **RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:**

SBFCA hereby approves and adopts the Findings attached hereto as Attachment 1. A, which are incorporated herein, pursuant to CEQA Guidelines §§ 15091, 15092 and 15093.

SBFCA hereby approves and adopts the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting 2 Plan, which is attached hereto as Attachment B and incorporated herein by reference.

SBFCA hereby approves the Feather River West Levee Project. 3.

ADOPTED this 10th day of April, 2013.

James Gallagher, Chair

STATE OF CALIFORNIA - THE RESOURCES AGENCY

EDMUND G. BROWN JR., GOVERNOR

CENTRAL VALLEY FLOOD PROTECTION BOARD 3310 El Camino Ave., Rm. 151SACRAMENTO, CA 95821 (916) 574-0609 FAX: (916) 574-0682 PERMITS: (916) 574-2380 FAX: (916) 574-0682



October 30, 2012

Colonel William J. Leady District Engineer U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Sacramento District 1325 J Street Sacramento, California 95814

Subject: Feather River West Levee Project, Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency

Dear Colonel Leady:

Based on the Policy and Procedural Guidance for the Approval of Modification and Alteration of U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Projects dated October 23, 2006, and the Clarification Guidance dated November 17, 2008, and on behalf of Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency (SBFCA) of Sutter and Butte Counties, the Central Valley Flood Protection Board (Board) is requesting permission from the USACE to alter a portion of the Sacramento River Flood Control Project (SRFCP). The Board is making this request pursuant to 33 U.S.C. Section 408.

The Board has conducted a preliminary review of the 65% project plans and specifications, geotechnical and hydraulic analyses, and other reports submitted by SBFCA for the alteration of 41 miles of federal flood control project levee located on the west side (right bank) of the Feather River from Thermalito Afterbay in Butte County, at the northern end of the project (Station 2368+00), to a point approximately four (4) miles north of the Feather River's confluence with Sutter Bypass in Sutter County, at the southern end of the project (Station 202+50). The Board has determined that SBFCA will accomplish this alteration in a manner that will not be injurious to the public interest and will not impair the usefulness of the SRFCP. Attached is the information you require to accompany this request, as outlined in your October 23, 2006 and November 17, 2008 guidance documents.

If the proposed project, upon completion, is formally incorporated within the federal SRFCP by the USACE, the State of California, acting through the Board, will accept the altered project for operation and maintenance and hold and save the United States free from damage due to the constructed works.

Within 180 days of completion of the project alteration, the Board will provide both information to the USACE for the purposes of preparing a revised Operation and Maintenance Manual for this portion of the SRFCP, and as-built Plans and Specifications for the alteration.

Colonel William J. Leady October 30, 2012 Page 2

In order to achieve the flood control benefits of this work, beginning with the 2013-2014 flood season, the Board is requesting that the USACE make any necessary determination so that SBFCA may proceed with this alteration by June 2013.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (916) 574-0609, or your staff may contact David R. Williams, Senior Engineer of the Board Projects Section, at (916) 574-2379.

Sincerely,

Jays- Punia

Jay S. Punia Executive Officer

Enclosure

cc: Mr. Michael Inamine Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency 1227 Bridge Street, Suite C Yuba City, California 95991

> Mr. Bill Hampton, General Manager Levee District No. 1 of Sutter County 243 Second Street Yuba City, California 95991

> Mr. David Lamon, Chairman Levee District No. 9 of Sutter County 1471 Coats Drive Yuba City, California 95993

Mr. Mark List, Chief Maintenance Support Branch Department of Water Resources Maintenance Areas 3, 7, & 16 3310 El Camino Ave. Sacramento, California 95821

Ms. Karen Hull, Superintendent Sutter maintenance Yard Department of Water Resources PO Box 40, State Hwy 20 Sutter, California 95982 **DECLARATION OF FEES DUE**

(California Fish and Game Code Section 711.4)

FOR CLERK USE ONLY

NAME A Su 12	ND ADDRESS OF LEAD AGENCY/APPLICANT Her Butte Flood Control Agen 27 Bridge Dt. #C, Yuba Cit	ncy $ca 95$	791
Project 7	Fitle: Feather River West Levee Project	FILING NO.	PLEM
	FICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT:		APR 1 1 2013
1.	NOTICE OF EXEMPTION/STATEMENT OF EXEMP	TION	
	[] A. Statutorily or Categorically Exempt		CANDACE J. GRUBBS, BUTTE CO. CLERK
•	\$50.00 (Fifty Dollars) Butte County Clerk's Fee		K-LAGNET
2.	NOTICE OF DETERMINATION - FEE REQUIRED		
	[] A. Negative Declaration		
	\$2,101.50 (Two Thousand One Hundred One Dollars and F	Fifty Cents) State F	Filing Fee
	\$50.00 (Fifty Dollars) Butte County Clerk's Fee		
	B. Environmental Impact Report		
	\$2,919.00 (Two Thousand Nine Hundred Nineteen Dollars)	State Filing Fee	
	\$50.00 (Fifty Dollars) Butte County Clerk's Fee	-	
3.	OTHER (Specify) General Rule Exemption		
÷	[1] \$50.00 (Fifty Dollars) Butte County Clerk's Fee		

This form must be completed and submitted with all environmental documents filed with the Butte County Clerk's Office.

All applicable fees must be paid at the time of filing any environmental documents with the Butte County Clerk's Office.

One original and two (2) copies of all necessary documents are required for filing purposes.

The \$50.00 (Fifty Dollars) handling fee is required per filing in addition to the filing fee specified in Fish and Game Code Section 711.4 (d).

Make checks payable to Butte County Clerk-Recorder.

Not	tice of Determination	on	Appendix D
F S S C C	Difice of Planning and Resear <i>J.S. Mail:</i> P.O. Box 3044 Sacramento, CA 95812-3044 County Clerk County Of: <u>Sutter, 433 2nd St.,</u> Address: <u>Butte, 25 County Cen</u> Oroville, CA 95965	<i>Street Address:</i> 1400 Tenth St., Rm 113 Sacramento, CA 95814 Yuba City, CA 95991	From: Public Agency: Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency Address: 1227 Bridge Street, Suite C Yuba City, CA 95991 Contact:Mike Inamine Phone:(530) 740-2448 Lead Agency (if different from above): Address:
			Contact: Phone:
Resc	JECT: Filing of Notice of E Durces Code. Clearinghouse Number (if s		ance with Section 21108 or 21152 of the Public
Proje	ct Title: Feather River West L	evee Project	
Proje	ct Applicant: Sutter Butte Floo	od Control Agency	
Proje	ct Location (include county)	Sutter and Butte Counties	
The S reduce FRWL appro toward area in	e flood risk in the Sutter Basin, P is focused on the corridor alo ximately 4 miles north of the co d the land side of the existing le n which levee improvements, su	which includes portions of S ong the west levee of the Fea nfluence with the Sutter Byp vees and 100 feet toward th uch as seepage berms, stab	Feather River West Levee Project (FRWLP) to utter and Butte Counties. The project area for the ather River from Thermalito Afterbay on the north to ass on the south. This corridor is roughly 500 feet e water side. This corridor was determined as the ility berms, relief wells, setback levees, erosion
This i	is to advise that the Sutter B	utte Flood Control Agency	sponsible Agency)
	ibed project on <u>April 10, 20</u> (date) ibed project.	and has made the	e following determinations regarding the above
2. X		eport was prepared for th	on the environment. is project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA. pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.

- 3. Mitigation measures [X were indicate were not] made a condition of the approval of the project.
- 4. A mitigation reporting or monitoring plan [X] was D was not] adopted for this project.
- 5. A statement of Overriding Considerations [X] was involved was not] adopted for this project.
- 6. Findings [X were interview were not] made pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.

This is to certify that the final EIR with comments and responses and record of project approval, or the negative Declaration, is available to the General Public at:

Sutter County, 1160 Civic Center Blvd, Yuba City, CA 95993; Butte County, 25 County Center, Ste 105, Oroville, Ca

Signature (Public Agency):	Title: _	Executive Director
Date:	Date Received for filing	at OPR:

Authority cited: Sections 21083, Public Resources Code. Reference Section 21000-21174, Public Resources Code.

Notice of Determination Appendix D

Attachment

Feather River West Levee Project Description

The Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency (SBFCA) is proposing the Feather River West Levee Project (FRWLP) to reduce flood risk in the Sutter Basin, which includes portions of Sutter and Butte Counties. The project area for the FRWLP is focused on the corridor along the west levee of the Feather River from Thermalito Afterbay on the north to approximately 4 miles north of the confluence with the Sutter Bypass on the south. This corridor is roughly 500 feet toward the land side of the existing levees and 100 feet toward the water side. This corridor was determined as the area in which levee improvements, such as seepage berms, stability berms, relief wells, setback levees, erosion protection, and slurry cutoff walls, are likely to occur. The corridor is approximately 41 miles long. The project area would also include borrow/spoil sites or project mitigation sites outside of this corridor.

SBFCA's goal is to achieve a minimum of 200-year flood protection for the more urbanized areas with population centers and 100-year flood protection for the remaining more rural agricultural parts of the planning area. Specific levee deficiencies along the Feather River West Levee are through-seepage, under-seepage, erosion, levee instability, and encroachments. There are also improvement needs for long-term operation and maintenance of the flood management corridor. The FRWLP as proposed by SBFCA will address these deficiencies and needs for that portion of the perimeter of the planning area to assist in incrementally reducing local flood risk.

This is to certify that the final EIR with comments and responses and record of project approval, or the negative Declaration, is available to the General Public at: Sutter County, 1160 Civic Center Blvd, Yuba City, CA 95993; Butte County, 25 County Center, Ste 105, Oroville, CA 95965; http://www.sutterbutteflood.org

###

	Clerk-Recorder's I County of Butte	Department f	
	CANDACE J. County Clerk-Re	GRUBBS ecorder	
	1 FISH AND GAME CLERKS F 1 OFFICIAL RECORD, REGUL	EE 50.00 AR COP 10.00	
	TOTAL	60.00	4
	CASH CHECK 1166	10.00 50.00	
	TOTAL TENDERED	60.00	
	CHANGE	0.00	
	04/11/2013 3:42PM TC	2013041100121 ECR-REC10	
	Thank Yo Have a Nice	Day!	
10 M	Requested By: Public		
State of California—Natural Resources Agency DEPARTMENT OF FISHAND WILDLIFE		RECEIPT#	т.
2013 ENVIRONMENTAL FILING FEE C	ASH RECEIPT		439024
SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE. TYPE OR PRINT CLEA	RLY	STATE CLE	ARING HOUSE # (If applicable)
Sutter Butte Flood Co	ntrol Agencer	•	DATE 4-11-13
COUNTY/STATE AGENCY OF FILING Buffe County Clerk-Rec	order		DÓCUMENTNUMBER
Feather River West L.	ever Project		
PROJECTAPPLICANTADDRESS	Sutter Butte Flood Control Agencen \$30)740-2448		
1227 Bridge St. Ste C Yuba City Ca 95991			530)740-2448
1227 Bridge St. Ste C	Juba City	STATE	\$30) 740-2448 ZIPCODE 95991
PROJECT APPLICANT Check appropriate box): Local Public Agency School District	Juba City Other Special Distri	- Ca	\$30)740-2448 ZIPCODE 95991
PROJECT APPLICANT Oheck appropriate box): Local Public Agency CHECK APPLICABLE FEES: Environmental Impact Report (EIR)	Uba City Other Special Distri	ct State Age \$2,995.2	\$30) 740-2448 ZIPCODE 95991 ency Private Entity 25 \$
PROJECT APPLICANT Check appropriate box): Local Public Agency School District CHECK APPLICABLE FEES:		ct 🗌 State Ag	\$30) 740-2448 ZIPCODE 95991 ency Private Entity 25 \$ 25 \$
PROJECT APPLICANT (bheck appropriate box): Local Public Agency School District CHECK APPLICABLE FEES: Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND) Application Fee Water Diversion (State Water Ress Projects Subject to Certified Regulatory Programs (ources Control Board Only)	ct State Ag \$2,995.2 \$2,156.2 \$850.0 \$1,018.5	\$30) 740-2448 ZIPCODE 95991 ency Private Entity 25 \$ 25 \$ 00 \$ 50 \$
PROJECT APPLICANT @heck appropriate box): Local Public Agency School District CHECK APPLICABLE FEES: Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND) Application Fee Water Diversion (State Water Ress Projects Subject to Certified Regulatory Programs (County Administrative Fee Project that is exempt from fees	ources Control Board Only)	ct State Ag \$2,995.2 \$2,156.2 \$850.0	\$30) 740-2448 ZIP CODE 95991 ency Private Entity 25 \$ 25 \$ 00 \$ 50 \$
PROJECT APPLICANT Oneck appropriate box): Local Public Agency School District CHECK APPLICABLE FEES: Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND) Application Fee Water Diversion (State Water Ress) Projects Subject to Certified Regulatory Programs (County Administrative Fee Project that is exempt from fees Notice of Exemption DFW No Effect Determination (Form Attached)	ources Control Board Only) CRP)	ct State Age \$2,995.2 \$2,156.2 \$850.0 \$1,018.5 \$50.0	\$30) 740-2448 ZIPCODE 95991 ency Private Entity 25 \$ 25 \$ 00 \$ 50 \$
PROJECT APPLICANT Oneck appropriate box): Local Public Agency School District CHECK APPLICABLE FEES: Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND) Application Fee Water Diversion (State Water Ress) Projects Subject to Certified Regulatory Programs (County Administrative Fee Project that is exempt from fees Notice of Exemption DFW No Effect Determination (Form Attached)	ources Control Board Only) CRP)	ct ☐ State Ag \$2,995.2 \$2,156.2 \$850.0 \$1,018.5 \$50.0 \$1,018.5 \$50.0	\$30) 740-2448 ZIPCODE 95991 ency Private Entity 25 \$ 25 \$ 25 \$ 25 \$ 26 \$ 27 \$ 28 \$ 29 \$ 20 \$
PROJECT APPLICANT Oneck appropriate box): Local Public Agency School District CHECK APPLICABLE FEES: Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND) Application Fee Water Diversion (State Water Ress) Projects Subject to Certified Regulatory Programs (County Administrative Fee Project that is exempt from fees Notice of Exemption DFW No Effect Determination (Form Attached) Other PAYMENT METHOD: Cash	ources Control Board Only) CRP)	ct ☐ State Ag \$2,995.2 \$2,156.2 \$850.0 \$1,018.5 \$50.0 \$1,018.5 \$50.0	\$30) 740-2448 ZIPCODE 95991 ency Private Entity 25 \$ 25 \$ 25 \$ 25 \$ 26 \$ 27 \$ 28 \$ 29 \$ 20 \$
PROJECT APPLICANT (bheck appropriate box): Local Public Agency School District CHECK APPLICABLE FEES: Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND) Application Fee Water Diversion (State Water Ress) Projects Subject to Certified Regulatory Programs (county Administrative Fee Project that is exempt from fees Notice of Exemption DFW No Effect Determination (Form Attached)	cources Control Board Only) CRP)	ct ☐ State Ag \$2,995.2 \$2,156.2 \$850.0 \$1,018.5 \$50.0 \$1,018.5 \$50.0	\$30) 740-2448 ZIPCODE 95991 ency Private Entity 25 \$ 25 \$ 00 \$ 50 \$

.

State of California—Natural Resources Agency	
DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE	
2013 ENVIRONMENTAL FILING FEE CASH RECEIPT	RECEIPT# 438210
	STATE CLEARING HOUSE # (If applicable)
EE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE. TYPE OR PRINT CLEARLY	
Dutter butte + lond (under) 40	SINCY DATE U-11-12
OUNPY/STATE AGENCY OF FILING	DOCUMENTNUMBER
ROJECTTITLE	
ROJECTAPPLICANTNAME WEST LEVER PRO	rect
ROJECTAPPLICANTADDRESS	PHONE NUMBER 2448
1777 WUILING STALL WILL AT	STATE ZIPCODE C.C.
ROJECT APPLICANT (Check appropriate box):	y Ut 15th
Local Public Agency School District Other Spec	cial District 🔲 State Agency 🔲 Private Entity
Environmental Impact Report (EIR)	1 age ac
Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND)	\$2,995.25 \$ <u>115.15</u>
Application Fee Water Diversion (State Water Resources Control Board On	\$2,156.25 \$
Projects Subject to Certified Regulatory Programs (CRP)	
County Administrative Fee	\$1,018.50 \$
Project that is exempt from fees	\$50.00 \$50.00
 Notice of Exemption DFW No Effect Determination (Form Attached) 	
 DFW No Effect Determination (Form Attached) Other 	
YMENT METHOD:	\$
	y may 20 6
	6046 20
Cash Credit Check Other	TOTAL RECEIVED \$ 5,045,75
	TOTAL RECEIVED \$ 3,046,25
SNATURE	Deputy
	Deputy
SNATURE	Diputy_
SNATURE	Deputy
SNATURE WHITE - PROJECT APPLICANT YELLOW - DFW/ASB PINK - LEAD	AGENCY GOLDEN ROD - COUNTY CLERK DFG 753.5a (Rev. 11/12)
SNATURE USAGE USAGE USA	AGENCY GOLDEN ROD-COUNTY CLERK DFG 753.5a (Rev. 11/12)
SNATURE USAGE USAGE USA	AGENCY GOLDEN ROD - COUNTY CLERK DFG 753.5a (Rev. 11/12)
SNATURE USAGE USAGE USA	AGENCY GOLDEN ROD-COUNTY CLERK DFG 753.5a (Rev. 11/12)
SNATURE USAGE USAGE USA	AGENCY GOLDEN ROD-COUNTY CLERK DFG 753.5a (Rev. 11/12)
SNATURE WHITE - PROJECT APPLICANT YELLOW - DFW/ASB PINK - LEAD COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICIA CELLY A COUNTY OF SUTTER	AGENCY GOLDEN ROD-COUNTY CLERK DFG 753.5a (Rev. 11/12)
COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STATE OF CALIFORNIA STAT	AGENCY GOLDEN ROD-COUNTY CLERK DFG 753.5a (Rev. 11/12)
SNATURE WHITE-PROJECT APPLICANT YELLOW-DFW/ASB PINK-LEAD COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICIA USUING Office or Department	AGENCY GOLDEN ROD-COUNTY CLERK DFG 753.5a (Rev. 11/12)
COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICIA Issuing Office or Department RECEIVED FROM THE SUM OF THE SUM OF COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICIA COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STATE OF CALI	AGENCY GOLDEN ROD-COUNTY CLERK DFG 753.5a (Rev. 11/12)
SNATURE WHITE - PROJECT APPLICANT YELLOW - DFW/ASB PINK - LEAD COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICIA CUELL A DE DOCA Issuing Office or Department RECEIVED FROM DOCA TO DOCA (F. THE SUM OF MALL ADDITIONAL OF THE SUM OF MALL ADDITIONAL OF THE SUM OF TH	AGENCY GOLDEN ROD-COUNTY CLERK DFG 753.58 (Rev. 11/12) RECEIPT NO 284857 4/14/3 2544857 4/14/3 2544857 4/14/3 2544857 25456 25456 25456 25456 255 255 256 257 257 257 257 257 257 257 257
SNATURE WHITE - PROJECT APPLICANT YELLOW - DFW/ASB PINK - LEAD COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICIA CUELL A DE DOCA Issuing Office or Department RECEIVED FROM DOCA TO DOCA (F. THE SUM OF MALL ADDITIONAL OF THE SUM OF MALL ADDITIONAL OF THE SUM OF TH	AGENCY GOLDEN ROD-COUNTY CLERK DFG 753.58 (Rev. 11/12) RECEIPT NO 284857 4/14/3 2544857 4/14/3 2544857 4/14/3 2544857 25456 25456 25456 25456 255 255 256 257 257 257 257 257 257 257 257
COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA CELL 4 The Board Issuing Office or Department RECEIVED FROM DOMA TO LOS (F. THE SUM OF THE SUM OF TH	AGENCY GOLDEN ROD-COUNTY CLERK DFG 753.58 (Rev. 11/12) RECEIPT NO 284857 4/14/3 2544857 4/14/3 2544857 4/14/3 2544857 25456 25456 25456 25456 255 255 256 257 257 257 257 257 257 257 257
COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA CHERT THE SUM OF FOR EAST HOME FREES - MODERE	AGENCY GOLDEN ROD-COUNTY CLERK DFG 753.58 (Rev. 11/12) RECEIPT NO 284857 4/14/3 2544857 4/14/3 2544857 4/14/3 2544857 25456 25456 25456 25456 255 255 256 257 257 257 257 257 257 257 257

COUNTY OF SUTTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA	OFFICIAL RECEIPT	Nº284857
Cleek 4 the B	purch	4/11/13
Issuing Office or Department	to be fur sut that	EFRONT CONTROL
THE SUM OF THE HOUSON	of fourty Dive + 25/145	3,045.25
	NUD/EIR - FEather Rive	r vo. Lever Propet
PAID BY CASH	RECEIVED BY_LISU BASA	
СК. М.О. К. вк. NO.1-426	6 TITLE Departy	

State of California—Natural Resources Agency DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE	RECEIPT#
2013 ENVIRONMENTAL FILING FEE CASH RECEIPT	43821 0
	STATE CLEARING HOUSE # (If applicable)
SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE. TYPE OR PRINT CLEARLY	2011052002
LEADAGENCY TER BUTTE Flood Control Agency	DATE 4-11-13
COUNTY/STATE AGENCY OF FILING	DOCUMENTNUMBER
PROJECT TITLE PROJECT LEVER PROJECT	
PROJECTAPPLICANT NAME	FROME NUMBER 2448
PROJECTAPPLICANITADDRESS	SPATE ZPCOPE5991
PROJECT APPLICANT (Check appropriate box):	State Agency Private Entity
CHECKAPPLICABLE FEES:	
	\$2,995.25 \$ 2,715.25
Environmental Impact Report (EIR)	\$2,995.25 \$ <u>2,115.25</u> \$2,156.25 \$
	+-,
Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND)	\$2,156.25 \$ \$850.00 \$ \$1,018.50 \$
 Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND) Application Fee Water Diversion (State Water Resources Control Board Only) 	\$2,156.25 \$ \$850.00 \$
 Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND) Application Fee Water Diversion (State Water Resources Control Board Only) Projects Subject to Certified Regulatory Programs (CRP) County Administrative Fee Project that is exempt from fees 	\$2,156.25 \$ \$850.00 \$ \$1,018.50 \$
 Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND) Application Fee Water Diversion (State Water Resources Control Board Only) Projects Subject to Certified Regulatory Programs (CRP) County Administrative Fee Project that is exempt from fees Notice of Exemption 	\$2,156.25 \$ \$850.00 \$ \$1,018.50 \$
 Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND) Application Fee Water Diversion (State Water Resources Control Board Only) Projects Subject to Certified Regulatory Programs (CRP) County Administrative Fee Project that is exempt from fees Notice of Exemption DFW No Effect Determination (Form Attached) 	\$2,156.25 \$ \$850.00 \$ \$1,018.50 \$
 Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND) Application Fee Water Diversion (State Water Resources Control Board Only) Projects Subject to Certified Regulatory Programs (CRP) County Administrative Fee Project that is exempt from fees Notice of Exemption DFW No Effect Determination (Form Attached) 	\$2,156.25 \$ \$850.00 \$ \$1,018.50 \$
 Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND) Application Fee Water Diversion (State Water Resources Control Board Only) Projects Subject to Certified Regulatory Programs (CRP) County Administrative Fee Project that is exempt from fees Notice of Exemption DFW No Effect Determination (Form Attached) Other 	\$2,156.25 \$ \$850.00 \$ \$1,018.50 \$
Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND) Application Fee Water Diversion (State Water Resources Control Board Only) Projects Subject to Certified Regulatory Programs (CRP) County Administrative Fee Project that is exempt from fees Notice of Exemption DFW No Effect Determination (Form Attached) Other PAYMENT METHOD: Cash Credit Check Other	\$2,156.25 \$ \$850.00 \$ \$1,018.50 \$ \$50.00 \$ 50.00 \$ TOTALRECEIVED \$ 3,045.25
 Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND) Application Fee Water Diversion (State Water Resources Control Board Only) Projects Subject to Certified Regulatory Programs (CRP) County Administrative Fee Project that is exempt from fees Notice of Exemption DFW No Effect Determination (Form Attached) Other 	\$2,156.25 \$ \$850.00 \$ \$1,018.50 \$ \$50.00 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,0000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,0000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,0000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50
Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND) Application Fee Water Diversion (State Water Resources Control Board Only) Projects Subject to Certified Regulatory Programs (CRP) County Administrative Fee Project that is exempt from fees Notice of Exemption DFW No Effect Determination (Form Attached) Other PAYMENT METHOD: Cash Credit Check Other	\$2,156.25 \$ \$850.00 \$ \$1,018.50 \$ \$50.00 \$ 50.00 \$ TOTALRECEIVED \$ 3,045.25

Notice of Determination

1 - 11 2

INC	blice of Determination	Appendix D
To:		From:
Office of Planning and Research		Public Agency: Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency
	U.S. Mail: Street Address:	Address: 1227 Bridge Street, Suite C Yuba City, CA 95991
	P.O. Box 3044 1400 Tenth St., Rm 113	Contact:Mike Inamine
	Sacramento, CA 95812-3044 Sacramento, CA 95814	Phone:(530) 740-2448
\mathbf{X}	County Clerk	
Cou	County of: Sutter, 433 2nd St., Yuba City, CA 95991	Lead Agency (if different rom above):
	Address: Butte, 25 County Center Dr., Oroville, CA 95965	Address: APR 1 1 2013
		Contact: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
		Phone: Clerk of the Board
cu	BJECT: Filing of Notice of Determination in compli	By Deputy Deputy
	sources Code.	ance with Section 21108 of 21152 of the Public
Sta	te Clearinghouse Number (if submitted to State Clearing	nghouse):2011052062
Pro	ject Title: Feather River West Levee Project	
Pro	ject Applicant: Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency	
Pro	ject Location (include county): Sutter and Butte Counties	
Pro	ject Description:	
The	Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency (SBFCA) is proposing the	e Feather River West Levee Project (FRWLP) to
	uce flood risk in the Sutter Basin, which includes portions of S	
	VLP is focused on the corridor along the west levee of the Fe roximately 4 miles north of the confluence with the Sutter Byp	
towa	ard the land side of the existing levees and 100 feet toward th	e water side. This corridor was determined as the
	a in which levee improvements, such as seepage berms, stab	ility berms, relief wells, setback levees, erosion
Thi	s is to advise that the Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency	has approved the above
	(🔀 Lead Agency or 🗌 Re	esponsible Agency)
des		e following determinations regarding the above
dee	(date)	
aes	cribed project.	
1. T	he project [🛛 will 🗌 will not] have a significant effect	on the environment.
2. 🛛	An Environmental Impact Report was prepared for th	nis project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.
	A Negative Declaration was prepared for this project	
	Aitigation measures [X were 🗌 were not] made a cor	
	mitigation reporting or monitoring plan 🖾 was 🗌 wa	
	statement of Overriding Considerations [X was u	
	indings [X] were 🗌 were not] made pursuant to the p	
	s is to certify that the final EIR with comments and resp	
-	ative Declaration, is available to the General Public at:	
Su	tter County, 1160 Civic Center Blvd, Yuba Gity, CA 95993; B	sutte County, 25 County Center, Ste 105, Oroville, Ca
Sigi	nature (Public Agency):	Title: <u>Executive Director</u>
Dat	e:	ved for filing at OPR:

Authority cited: Sections 21083, Public Resources Code. Reference Section 21000-21174, Public Resources Code.

Notice of Determination Appendix D

Attachment

Feather River West Levee Project Description

a (2 7 2

The Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency (SBFCA) is proposing the Feather River West Levee Project (FRWLP) to reduce flood risk in the Sutter Basin, which includes portions of Sutter and Butte Counties. The project area for the FRWLP is focused on the corridor along the west levee of the Feather River from Thermalito Afterbay on the north to approximately 4 miles north of the confluence with the Sutter Bypass on the south. This corridor is roughly 500 feet toward the land side of the existing levees and 100 feet toward the water side. This corridor was determined as the area in which levee improvements, such as seepage berms, stability berms, relief wells, setback levees, erosion protection, and slurry cutoff walls, are likely to occur. The corridor is approximately 41 miles long. The project area would also include borrow/spoil sites or project mitigation sites outside of this corridor.

SBFCA's goal is to achieve a minimum of 200-year flood protection for the more urbanized areas with population centers and 100-year flood protection for the remaining more rural agricultural parts of the planning area. Specific levee deficiencies along the Feather River West Levee are through-seepage, under-seepage, erosion, levee instability, and encroachments. There are also improvement needs for long-term operation and maintenance of the flood management corridor. The FRWLP as proposed by SBFCA will address these deficiencies and needs for that portion of the perimeter of the planning area to assist in incrementally reducing local flood risk.

This is to certify that the final EIR with comments and responses and record of project approval, or the negative Declaration, is available to the General Public at: Sutter County, 1160 Civic Center Blvd, Yuba City, CA 95993; Butte County, 25 County Center, Ste 105, Oroville, CA 95965; http://www.sutterbutteflood.org

###